defendant) is entitled to set off one-half his costs of defence. The taxing officer found in favour of the plaintiff's contention.

D. L. McCarthy, for defendant.

C. A. Moss, for plaintiff.

THE COURT (FALCONBRIDGE, C.J., STREET, J., BRITTON, J.), held, following Sparrow v. Hill, 7 Q. B. D. 362, 8 Q. B. D. 479, that the taxing officer had adopted the proper mode of taxing the costs of the parties.

Appeal dismissed with costs.

Dent & Thompson, Mitchell, solicitors for plaintiff. Mabee & Makins, Stratford, solicitors for defendant.

JUNE 11TH, 1902.

DECKER v. CLIFF.

Life Insurance—Assignment of Policy—Change of Beneficiary—Creditor.

G. M. Macdonnell, K.C., for defendant.

J. R. Roaf, for plaintiff.

Appeal by defendant from judgment of FALCONBRIDGE, C.J., ante p. 354, dismissed with costs.

JUNE 11TH, 1902.

BURKE v. BURKE.

Master and Servant—Liability of Master for Act of Servant—Trespass to Person—Unnecessary Force—Solicitor.

P. H. Bartlett, London, for plaintiff.

J. M. McEvoy, London, for defendants Burke and Cook.

J. Montgomery, for defendant Robinson.

Appeals by plaintiff and by defendants Burke and Cook from judgment of Ferguson, J., ante p. 127, dismissed with costs.

JUNE 11TH, 1902

DIVISIONAL COURT. SHARKEY v. WILLIAMS.

Sale of Goods—Conditional Sale—Hire Receipt—Removal for Nonpayment.

P. H. Bartlett, London, for plaintiff. J. C. Judd, London, for defendant.

Appeal by plaintiff from judgment of Ferguson, J., ante p. 135, dismissed with costs.