

THE CANADIAN MINERAL INDUSTRY EDUCATION FOUNDATION

offers

UNDERGRADUATE SCHOLARSHIPS

in

MINING or MINERAL ENGINEERING and
EXTRACTIVE or PROCESS METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING

\$2,000

to students wishing to enter the first or subsequent professional year of a degree course in Mining or Mineral Engineering and Extractive or Process Metallurgical Engineering.

For applications contact:

The Secretary,
Canadian Mineral Industry Education Foundation,
P.O. Box 45, Commerce Court West, Toronto, Ont.

or

The Dean of Engineering
Applied Science

CLOSING DATE: FEBRUARY 18, 1983

ALBERTA CULTURE'S 16th ANNUAL PLAYWRITING COMPETITION

The opportunity to see your play produced professionally and to win one of Alberta Culture's cash prizes of as much as \$1,500. Whether you're a budding playwright or a seasoned professional, be sure to enter this competition. If you're a high school teacher, encourage your students to write scripts and enter them in any of the competition categories — live theatre, musical theatre or teleplay.

Entries may focus on children's theatre, the multicultural heritage experience, comedies suitable for community theatre or a topic of your choice.

Co-sponsors of this year's competition include ACCESS, Alberta Theatre Projects, Lunchbox Theatre and Chinook Touring Theatre Company.

Deadline for entries is February 15, 1983.

For complete information and application forms, write:

ALBERTA CULTURE
Performing Arts
Playwriting Competition
11th Floor, CN Tower
10004 - 104 Avenue
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 0K5
Telephone 427-6707

Alberta
CULTURE
Performing Arts

Hillel is not disruptive

We, the Hillel Student's Association, would like to express our condemnation and abhorrence of the events which took place on Friday Dec. 3 in the Law Building.

We had sponsored a guest speaker, Col. Yehuda Levy, to come and present information on the controversial and vital topic of the Middle East, to the students on campus in an open forum.

To our utter disbelief, the "People's Front Against Fascism and Racism" along with some Arab Students, entered forcefully into the classroom carrying placards that called us "Fascists", "Racists", and "Hitlerites"! They shouted down our speaker and although the students present protested their behavior, the demonstrators managed to close down our open forum using their, ugly and hateful methods.

We would like to inform these people that we are taking legal steps to ensure that the freedom of speech on campus is never denied again.

We would also like to inform them that, having listened to three different speakers presenting the PLO and Arab side in the past month (Barclay, Edmund Omran and the Palestine Solidarity Day Speakers), that we would never have and never will resort to the low and totalitarian tactics employed by the demonstrators.

We hope that no other group is ever denied the right to speak, as we were.

Robin Winestock
Advisor, Hillel Students' Association

Test the cruise missile

Enclosed are three proposals which have been submitted to the Students' Union, and will be discussed on January 11, 1983.

You have given tremendous publicity to so-called "peace" movements, and hardly one article have I come across which give the other side of the peace issue. In my opinion, proper and fair journalism should give *both* sides of an issue regarding topics such as the Cruise missile and nuclear disarmament. If this proposal on journalism is incorporated, I feel the *Gateway* could increase its status and respectability. Only when people read an equal amount on both sides of an issue, will they gain a true perspective on any controversial issue.

On November 30, I presented the Students' Union with a petition containing 363 signatures collected during the previous week. As this petition was in support of Canada's testing of the unarmed Cruise missile, there are many students who still believe that we must *defend* our *peace* and *freedom*, even if it means testing and installing weapons such as the Cruise missile. What many people have not realized, is that the Cruise missile testing comes *only* in European Russia, at a rate of approximately 2 missiles per week. These SS-20 missiles, aimed at West European cities, carry three independently-targeted nuclear warheads, and have the high velocity that's required for a first-strike attack on Western Europe. On the other hand, the Cruise missiles are subsonic and therefore do *not* have the speed required for a first-strike attack.

I would really like to know why your newspaper has not published any details on *why* NATO has no viable alternative but to test and install Cruise missiles to deter the growing Soviet threat.

The *only* way the Russians will have any incentive to disarm, is if they realize the West is no military pushover!

I sincerely hope and pray that you will publish the following proposals so that students can view "the other side" on how to *prevent* a nuclear war.

Yours in a peaceful world,

Ken Shipka, Business II

1. Pass a resolution to be given to the federal government, calling for continuing *support* of the testing of the Cruise missile within Canadian boundaries. After doing research and listening to *both* sides of the argument, it is my firm conviction that Canada should support NATO and our continuing role in national defense by allowing the testing of the Cruise missile within Canadian boundaries. This measure will help to maintain the Western World's *peace* and *freedom*! I personally phoned Ottawa to talk to Canada's chief negotiator for the testing of the Cruise missile. When I asked him if the Cruise missile can be used as a first-strike weapon, he said that was absolute nonsense.

When the *Gateway* distributed a questionnaire to the student body on November 26, asking students if they were in favour or opposed to the testing of the Cruise missile, only 67 of a possible 20,000 students indicated that they opposed the Cruise missile. That represents only one third of 1 per cent of the student body. Meanwhile, I have substantially more signatures indicating that students *support* the Cruise missile.

2. Pass a resolution to be given to the federal government requesting that Canada's military spending be increased substantially until NATO achieves strength that is at least as strong as the Warsaw Pact. With the exception of the Netherlands, Canada's military contribution is less than any other NATO country. War veterans I have talked to say that this seriously jeopardizes (sic) Canada's ability to help maintain peace, as they understand only too well what happens when democratic nations do not maintain a strength equal or stronger to that of aggressive totalitarian regimes. War veterans have made it clear that what happened during the 1930's could today be repeated. As a war veteran on staff at the University said, "War is so terrible that I would hate to see today's young people ever have to experience war." He gave me his firm endorsement of both the Cruise missiles and the need for a stronger military. In my view, once military strength is sufficiently regained, I feel Canada must then push for bilateral, and verifiable, reductions in both nuclear and conventional arms between NATO and the Warsaw Pact. Only then can we have *safe* reductions in arms that will help to *prevent* war.

3. The Students' Union should withdraw *all* support to Edmonton's "peace" and nuclear disarmament groups. If these movements are successful in helping to disarm the Western democracies, without substantial reductions in armaments by the U.S.S.R., the Soviets could conceivably obtain first-strike ability with their powerful nuclear SS-20 missiles. The Cuban missile crisis of 1962 clearly shows

the Russians are prepared to risk a nuclear war if they feel they will win. When the peace movement admittedly includes a substantial communist following, I feel, along with other people who have lived under communist regimes, that *communist spread war, not peace*, (sic) as they so fervently claim.

I do support the Students' Union initiative on increasing people's awareness of the need to prevent nuclear war. However, I am appalled that the Students' Union would spend students' income bringing speakers and films to the U of A who represent only one side of the peace issue. True information provides *both* sides of an issue. Propaganda gives only one side. A number of students I encountered openly expressed their deep anger at the Students' Union for spending precious time and money supporting so-called "peace" movements, when their position may not be supported by many people attending the U of A. I, along with a number of other students, feel that controversial topics should *not* concern the Students' Union and the *Gateway*, unless both sides of an issue are equally presented. I firmly believe that if the Students' Union and *Gateway* newspaper presented the views from Canada's War Veterans and those people who have lived in communist nations, a *substantial* majority of University students would endorse my proposals.

Regardless of your verdict, may the Lord grant His blessing as to the outcome. Students' Union, I thank you for taking the time to consider these proposals.

Children lend us the future

Having just read Robert Orr and David Tomlinson's letters (*Gateway*, 2-12-82) I'm rather disturbed by their bigotry (sic) towards the most important issue the human race has ever faced. To justify the nuclear arms race in such black and white terms as defending democracy from communism, does not do justice to their intellect. (Is it democracy we support, or is it free enterprise?, free enterprise does not necessary (sic) mean democracy). How democratic was it for the WORLD when the U.S. brought us to the edge of annihilation during the Cuban Missile Crisis, trying to stop the USSR from doing exactly what they themselves had done, bringing nuclear weapons right up to their border.

Both of you attack today's Peace Movements, Mr. Tomlinson parrots the *Edmonton Sun's* columnist Lubor Zink. "The peace movement brought on WWII and today are undermining western defences, leaving a clear path for a Soviet takeover." To take today's peace statements and put them into historical terms is both dangerous and misleading (sic). Whether it did or did not is no longer an issue. WWII was fought with conventional weapons (I use that term very loosely) it concluded with winners and losers (sic). I don't think I need (sic) emphasise the outcome of WWII with today's nuclear arsenal. To give your argument some credence you quote Winston Churchill, the same Winston Churchill who ordered troops to fire on striking Liverpool dockers with the result that six working men died; the same Winston Churchill who organized the debacle known as the Anzac landings during WWI which results in the deaths of tens of thousands of Commonwealth soldiers. Go see *Gallipoli* Mr. Tomlinson, it's the result of the wisdom of Winston Churchill.

Mr. Orr's points are similar, no alternative to the arms build up, simple red-baiting, emphasising the "sincerity" of communism by quoting Lenin. "Lying, fraud and deception are moral as long as it furthers the advance of communism". I wonder how democratically (sic) sincere Richard Nixon was during Watergate? Was Richard Nixon a communist? The line between good and bad in international politics is very very frail; but yet, we've been manipulated to take on the mentality of an ostrich, to hide our heads in the sand when danger threatens. Nuclear arms as a deterrence is just an effect of our attitude. We must change that attitude for it's my belief, we did not inherit the future from our fathers, we borrowed it from our children - otherwise they won't be around to collect that debt. Speak out against Canada testing cruise missiles.

Your on behalf of the
Coalition for Nuclear Disarmament
Roddy Campbell

Gateway should boycott ads

In the November 2 edition of the *Gateway*, an advertisement for Old Vienna beer appeared on page two. As I'm sure the editorial staff of the *Gateway* knows, Old Vienna is a product of Carling O'Keefe which is in turn owned by a South African company. Nevertheless, the editorial staff of the *Gateway* made a conscious decision to run this advertisement. (The evidence of this decision is provided in miniscule print in the box at the bottom of page four.)

The question, as far as I am concerned, is not whether the staff at the *Gateway* wishes to flout Canadian University Press policy on this matter. Much more important than *Gateway* relations with CUP is the fact that the decision to run the Old Vienna advertisement disregarded the spirit, if not the letter, of University of Alberta Students' Union policy.

This policy, passed in June 1978 and re-endorsed in January 1982, calls for the boycott of all Carling O'Keefe products. In principle, Students' Council represents the views of students on this campus. In light of this, I request that the *Gateway* respect the views of students as expressed by their representatives in this policy and boycott all advertisements for Carling O'Keefe products.

Tony Brouwer, Arts III

Chomyn's waffle showing

In the November 23/82 issue of the *Gateway*, Dwayne Chomyn, Students' Council Arts representative is quoted as saying: "...they didn't vote us in to manage a business."

In the November 30/82, *Gateway*, however, Chomyn appears to have changed his mind about whether the Students' Union should be a business or a service-oriented organization. In the article, Mr. Chomyn "appears" to support Mr. Tim Sayers' concept of a one hundred percent "loss" to clubs in the event of a financially unsuccessful Dinwoodie cabaret.

The "65-35" policy (which was adopted by Council)

continued on page 18