VIEWPOINT

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1964

PAGE FIVE

"Bored" Student Wife

As a member of the "forgotten

group" I feel I must do what I

can to alleviate the boredom suf-

fered by less fortunate students' wives. My husband has a solution

which from his point of view is

admirable. It usually goes something like this:

"But, Cath, its due on the 10th

and I was counting on you when I

chose this book anyway, I'll draw

the conclusions if you'll just read

the book and do about a fifteen page review," (amount of desper-

ation in voice varies in inverse proportion to length of interval before deadline).

Does the method work? Well, I can't honestly say I'm too busy and complain of boredom at the

same time. And besides, modesty quite frequently permits me to say that I always was a better

U.A.C. '64

(Mrs. W. F.) Catherine Keyes

To The Editor:

Report Clarified

To The Editor:

I feel I must clarify my column appearing in The Gateway of Nov. 27 on the McGill Conference.

Since it was published, I have been faced with such comments as "so you didn't enjoy yourself at McGill, eh" and "it wasn't a very good conference, I see." While it is true I was somewhat disappointed with the conference, I do feel it was definitely worthwhile attending. This misunderstanding is partly the fault of The Cateway and partly my own.

Gateway, and partly my own.

The title "Delegates' Opinion
Rejected" was affixed to the column after it left my hands. It was probably derived from the section which stated that "those who spoke out strongly against the views presented by the guest lecturer favoring disarmament were rarely recognized in any future question period," and "members of military academies , also failed to gain the recognition of the chairmen at the question period." I also pointed out, however that the participation of each delegate was encouraged, inded demanded, in the study group sessions where "contribution by all was achieved . . by having each delegate present a paper on a pre-assigned topic." The opinions of the delegates, therefore were not rejected, but rather were ignored at certain times of the conference.

I was given the topic on Tues. afternoon, and told to meet the 7 p.m. deadline. I had to leave town at 5, and after doing certain imperative duties on campus, I had less than an hour to pound out the report. Perhaps I should have forgotten about the deadline, and submitted a better organized report for the next issue; one that would not be misinterpreted.

I hope I have cleared up the

issue somewhat.

Yours truly, Dale Enarson

"Integrity" Questioned

To The Editor:

It is a curious fact, that professors whose own integrity is so far above reproach, should so strongly suspect the integrity of their students. Lengthy pre-examination harangues, in which students are advised to use what little integrity they have left, are extremely insulting. An individual professor's past, "sad experiences," should not determine his treatment of all students. Such excessive distrust in any university professor is very damaging, in a professor of English it is devastating, not only to the morality of the students concerned, but also to the prestige of the professor's role as an illuminating example which the student might follow.

Trusting to your integrity I am Howard V. Olson

Law School Forum

presents

Dr. M. R. Lupul

Church, State, and Higher Education in Alberta

Friday, Dec. 11, 8 p.m. Med 2104 Tonight

All was relatively quiet on the Viewpoint front; writers showing unexpected taciturnity.

Bus Stop Shelter

To The Editor:

Although I seldom ride a bus to and from campus, (I live close enough to walk or I get a ride) I must sympathize these cold days with the thousands of students who daily ride the buses to and from campus. Would it not be possible to erect at the two bus stops north and east of SUB some shelters for those who wait for buses. These could be similar to the shelters one finds at various bus stops throughout the city. As these bus stops are surely among the busiest in Edmonton, perhaps the city could be approached to provide these shelters.

I am sure several thousand supporters could be found for this thought for a cold winter's day.

Harry V. Sims

McGooy Cup

To Lorne Yacuk through the Editor:

My partner, John Gishler, and I challenge your right to hold the "McGooy" Cup for 1964. We offer to debate some issue of "Primemost" importance with you to decide if you are to retain this honour.

Don Freeland

? The latest	
of four-letter words has arrived	<u> </u>
It came	
with a number	
and a purpose	
democracy.	
for thinkers	
because of thinkers	•
but it	
is a 4-letter word	
and therefore	,
is ostracized	
banned	
Sold on the black market	
read on the black market	
like pornography	
in secret	ï
because	
it dared to criticize	
HIM	,
By Harry Fasel	2

Liquor Regulations Ignored

Canadian Campuses And Liquor - A Survey

By Canadian University Press

Canadian students' attitude towards university liquor regulations is generally one of overt acceptance or "bottle-under-thetable" rebellion, a nation-wide survey showed this month.

The survey indicated most students show utter indifference or contempt for university liquor regulations; regulations are ignored on almost all Canadian campuses; and students usually get away with it.

Disciplinary action by campus or city and provincial police usually occurs only when drinking is accompanied by rowdy and disorderly public behavior, notably at football games. For example, a student's court at the University of Western Ontario recently fined four students \$50 each for "conduct-unbecoming students" and illegal drinking at a football game between the McGill Redmen and the Western Mustages

Mustangs.

Queen's University reported liquor regulations have been strongly enforced on campus after a bottle was thrown from the football stadium injuring a passer-by on the street last year.

But, in most cases, campus police and university authorities turn a blind eye to breeches of university liquor rules.

A probe of disciplinary problems associated with university liquor regulations, the survey asked the editors of campus newspapers to assess student attitudes toward regulations, enforcement by campus police, fraternity practices toward provincial and campus liquor regulations, and police attitudes toward provincial regulations.

A majority of universities reported a total ban on liquor on campus but only one of the dry universities, Sir George Williams, said rules were fully accepted and respected. Of the others, three blamed enforced teetotaling on religious tradition.

"The university was started by a lot of Presbyterians who had queer ideas about drink and the other good things of life," chided the University of Manitoba. Acadia University and McMaster

University concurred, suggesting Baptist abstention had a hand in banning liquor on campus.

Some universities reported liquor regulations are strictly enforced and students are at least careful to obey in the open.

The University of Western Ontario reported students "wouldn't bring a bottle with them" to university functions, but frequently drink beforehand. Liquor is sold to students, frequently minor, at off-campus dances at Newfoundland's Memorial University.

The University of Alberta reported students bow to university regulations but often snub their noses at provincial liquor laws.

On the other hand, at the University of Guelph, liquor regulations are reportedly disregarded. Bottles are openly bandished at football games, although theoretically the penalty for drinking on campus is expulsion.

Three universities said drinks are permitted in designated locations on campus, or at official off-campus university functions. At Bishop's University, where students are allowed a "quiet drink" in residence rooms, and at Carleton University, where alcohol is allowed in residence and in the official student lounge, few problems concerning liquor were reported.

At McGill University, where liquor is banned on campus but allowed at university functions in the off-campus Students' Union, student drinking is apparently no problem.

Of all the universities in the survey, only the University of Waterloo reported no campus liquor regulations. Provincial regulations, however, are strictly enforced. Student drinking on campus is treated as a responsibility of the provincial and campus police.

The survey showed that while city and provincial police strictly enforce provincial liquor laws, most campus police act only when pushed or when offences cannot be ignored.

The minimum drinking age is 21 in all provinces except Quebec. Two Ottawa universities said many students crossed the river

from Ottawa to Hull, Quebec, to obtain liquor. In all provinces, liquor is allowed in place of residence or licensed establishments.

Fraternities often avoid bowing to university and provincial regulations, the survey showed.

"The fratman's attitudes to liquor are much like the engineer's—largely vocal and not very mature," said the University of Manitoba, adding that periodic police raids on off-campus fraternities are often followed by charges under provincial law.

The University of British Co-

The University of British Columbia said though fraternities serve alcohol to minors they "do not get in trouble with the RCMP if they restrict drinking to the fraternity bayes"

fraternity house."

"Some fraternity members drink a great deal, but fraternities here are in so precarious a position that no campus and few provincial regulations are broken," observed Newfoundland's Memorial University.

At McCill University.

At McGill University, fraternity "rushing" is dry, but parties at which alcohol is served are held at private homes. Fraternity open houses were recently banned on campus, but tickets are now readily available for "closed parties" at which liquor is available.

In spite of reported dissatisfaction with campus liquor regulations, the survey showed students are making little effort to change existing rules.

Some university newspapers are forbidden by provincial law or university regulations to accept liquor advertisements.

cept liquor advertisements.

Queen's University and the
University of British Columbia
both reported attempts to get a
pub on campus. "We'll never get
a pub but everybody drinks both
on and off campus anyway," said
UBC. No liquor license is granted
within five miles of the univers-

"There are two hotels with pubs within easy reach, so it is simpler to leave the campus than to make fuss about the lack of facilities," said the University of Manitoba. "Few day students go near the campus after hours. The administration would prefer a dry commuter university to a damp university community."

Thanks, Thanks, Thanks

To The Editor:

The Treasure Van committee of the World University Service would like to take this opportunity to thank all of those people who devoted their time and energies to making Treasure Van '64 a success. It requires a phenomenal amount of man hours to put on a Treasure Van—on this c a m p u s approximately 4,000. These hours are spent not only selling (undoubtedly the largest consumer of hours) but in planning, setting up, advertising, unpacking, and tearing down the sale. All of these hours and all of the people who provide them go without payment other than in the feeling of a job well done.

Edmonton has for the past four years held record sales for Canada, and this success is because of one good reason—the amount of work and effort which goes into making a Treasure Van. It brings its rewards in the envy and admiration of other campuses across Canada for our success and in the fact that somewhere, someone has been provided with a means of livelihood.

The Treasure Van Committee feels that these people must be thanked, a job which would be almost impossible without the help of Gateway. So we give our thanks to those groups which provided sellers; the residences, the fraternities, the education faculty, the agriculture club; and to those people who volunteered and sold. We must also thank those people who helped put up posters, painted banners, assembled displays, decorated the Armed Forces Building, cashiered, stuffed envelopes, addressed invitations, or did any of the other jobs which had to be done.

Also to be thanked are those groups and people who gave help in unnoticeable ways: the Armed Forces personel who provided the space, the shipping and receiving department who picked up and returned items which were borrowed for the sale, the businesses across town which lent cash registers, adding machines, furniture, decorations, and tables, and the university administration who helped in many ways to pull the sale through.

sale through.

To all of these people we wish to express our thanks and our hope for continued co-operation in future years.

Treasure Van Committee 1964