Reg. v. Hetherington, 5 Jur. 529 (1841). There were three counts each of which set out a passage of the work prosecuted. The first passage begins, "What wretched stuff this Bible (meaning that part of the Holy Bible called the Old Testament) is to be sure! What a random idiot its author must be!" And goes on to advise that it should be burnt, "that posterity may never know that we believed in such abominable trash;" and more to the same purpose in very violent language. The second count is founded on a passage which says: "The great question between you and me is, Is the Bible the Word of God, or is it not? I assert that it is not the Word of God, and you assert that it is. And I not only assert it is not the Word of God but that it is a book containing more blunders, more ignorance, and more nonsense, than any book to be found in the universe." The third count is founded on a passage in which the author says his object is "to expose this book (meaning the Old Testament) in such a manner that the children of the Stockport Sunday school will reject it with contempt" &c. The case was tried before Lord Denman and he "told the jury that if they thought the libel tended to question or cast disgrace upon the Old Testament it was a libel". In term the verdict was upheld, Littledale, J., said: "The Old Testament independently, of its connection with, and of its prospective reference to, christianity, contains the law of Almighty God; and therefore, I have no doubt that this is a libel in law, as it has been found to be in fact by the jury."

Reg. v. Noxon (1841). In this case a jury found the defendant guilty of publishing a profane libel upon proof that he as a bookseller sold a copy of Shelley. "Queen Mab" was thought to contain blasphemy.

Reg. v. Pooley (1857). The defendant was convicted for writing, upon a gate on a public road, some foolish and irreverent words about the potato rot, the bible, and his hatred of christianity.

Cowan v. Milbourne, L. R. 2 Ex. 230 (1867). This was