But the expenditure was not own officer. expended?

deputation of the property of the second of

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. work in a successful manner.

that granted the money.

Mr. CLANCY. with the idea that this \$5,000 was probably the people will be very grateful. intended for improving the navigation of the River Sydenham, but I see nothing in the Main Estimates nor in the Supplementary Estimates so far for that work. The char- I cannot do dredging without dredges. As acter of the soil is such up there that unless far as Meaford is concerned the work is the work is done in one season it might under contract. almost as well not be done at all, and unless the work is continued this year what was done last year will be utterly worthless. The navigation will not be much improved unless a dredge is sent there and these bars taken out in one season. Probably there is more trade done in the way of shipping en the Sydenham than on any river of its some means this season. size in Ontario, and I do not know of any port from which there is so much shipping comparatively as from the port of Wallace-I hope the hon, gentleman will conburg. tinue the work this year.

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. My attention has been called to this work and I am confident that when the Supplementary Estimates come down my hon. friend (Mr. Clancy) will not have much to say against the manner in which I will deal with the question.

Mr. McDOUGALL. Is it the intention of the Minister to expend any of this grant Mr. FOS on repairs to Main à Dieu breakwater in contract? Cape Breton County? About three years The MI ago an examination was made of the breakwater and an estimate obtained of what it would require to repair the damage done. It is only a small amount but that breakwater originally cost \$15,000 or \$20,000, is of great importance to the people of that coast, and unless it is repaired this year the whole breakwater may be lost.

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. I will have a note taken of the statement of the hon, gentleman, and we might take a few hundred dollars out of the general vote to repair the damages.

Mr. GILLIES.

I wish to draw the at-Mr. SPROULE. made then, for some reason well known to tention of the hon, gentleman (Mr. Tarte) the hon, gentleman, and still better known to the harbour of Thornbury, a vote for to some of his colleagues; the work was allowed to go into disrepair, and then, of course, this amount was not sufficient. I wharf there which was very much needed, am glad, however, to find \$1.500 additional in the Estimates. When will this amount be dredging is badly required. It is almost impossible for them to get in and out with the small boats unless there is some addi-So soon as we are ready to go on with the tional dredging done, and if the Minister should provide a small sum of money for dredging at that harbour it would be ap-Mr. GILLIES. I hope the season will preciated very much by the people. I wish not be allowed to run by before the work to say a word with regard to Meaford is entered upon. There is no by-election Harbour. The people were very glad to pending in the county now. This work is notice an item last year for that harbour a work of great necessity, and it is a crying but unfortunately the money was not spent. shame that it was not done last year in The work is very much needed there, and consonance with the desire of Parliament I hope the Minister will not allow the season to pass by without making the expen-If he does that, and spends a few diture. I had consoled myself hundred dollars for dredging at Thornbury,

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. Unfortunately we are short of dredges and

The people are quite Mr. SPROULE. satisfied with the Minister making a reasonable effort to do this work, and they appreciate the difficulty of his not having dred-I call the atention of the Minister to it in the hope that he will find a dredge by

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. I quite understand the request of the hon. gentleman.

New dredging plant......\$60,000

Mr. FOSTER. What plant is to be built next year?

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. There is \$25,000 for a new hull for elevator dredge No. 9, which is now at Kamanistiquia River; machinery for the same dredge \$10.000; new boiler for tug "Trudeau" \$3.500, new tug \$21,500.

Mr. FOSTER. Are these being built by

The MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS. We build them in Sorel. We have a ship yard there, and in spite of what my hon. friend (Mr. Foster) said the other evening I am quite sure we are carrying out the My hon. friend (Mr. work cheaper there. Foster) takes great interest in that, and I would be glad, when we have a little more time, if he would go privately into that expenditure.

Mr. FOSTER. I paid a good deal of attention to that for a number of years. and it is a perfect sink hole. Is the hull to be built of iron or wood?