

The Toronto World

FOUNDED 1880.
A Morning Newspaper Published Every Day in the Year.
WORLD BUILDING, TORONTO.
Corner James and Richmond Streets.
TELEPHONE CALLS:
Main 5205—Private Exchange Connect-
ing All Departments.
MAIN 5308.

will pay for the daily World for one year delivered in the City of Toronto or by mail to any address in Canada, Great Britain or the United States, \$2.00.
will pay for the Sunday World for one year by mail to any address in Toronto or for sale by all newsdealers and newsboys at five cents per copy. Postage extra to United States and all other foreign countries.
Is The World's New Telephone Number.

THURSDAY MORNING, MARCH 9, '11.

CANADA AND IMPERIAL PREFERENCE.

British Liberal and free trade organs have been gloating over the fact that the official agenda of the Imperial conference contains no proposal for an inter-imperial preferential tariff, such as has hitherto figured prominently on the paper. In his speech of Tuesday Sir Wilfrid Laurier declared that the policy of the Dominion Government on this question is just the same as it hitherto has been. Yet the White Paper issued by the Imperial authorities, containing the correspondence passing between the mother country and the self-governing dominions in connection with the impending conference, intimates that Canada had no special proposals to make.

How does this attitude correspond with the declaration of Sir Wilfrid Laurier, that the policy of his government is the same to-day as it has been for the last twelve years? At the conference of 1907 Sir Wilfrid repudiated the proposal for free trade within the empire and adhered to the resolution passed by the conference of 1902, which recognized the principle of preferential free trade between the United Kingdom and the British dominions beyond the seas. If he is still of that opinion, why does his government appear now as the only one of the British self-governing states with no special proposal to submit at the impending conference? Canada is the premier among these states and should take the lead in all policies advancing imperial unity.

CHURCH UNION.

It is unfortunate that the proposal for a federated union of the churches is being permitted to become a hindrance to the organic union, progress towards which has been carried so far. Federal union was brought up a few years ago in Montreal by Rev. John, now Principal, MacKay, with the frankly avowed object of preventing the adoption of organic union by the general assembly of the Presbyterian Church.

There is a great deal to be said for federal union, and there is no doubt that the Anglican and Baptist, and perhaps, some other bodies, could enter on such a union, as they could not, or at any rate will not, in the case of organic union.

But we are inclined to think that the present proposal arises less from the inherent desire for union, than from the hope that the differences of opinion that may be generated by its discussion may block the whole movement.

There is really a bright prospect for the union of the Methodist, Presbyterian and Congregational bodies. Were such a union accomplished federal union might easily follow among the remaining Protestant bodies and the new united church.

History shows that no appeal is ever effective which has sectarian prejudices to overcome. Yet what can Christians in this age of the world do but appeal to their fellows to seek minor differences for the common good? The principle of union is good, since both federalists and unionists approve it. Why should the minority not yield the detail in question? Their liberty would be as great as at present. The general cause would greatly gain.

ON THEIR OWN.

Those who do not hold with the anti-reciprocity views supported by those who are organizing the meeting in Massey Hall to-night should organize one on the other side. The Globe and the Star ought to be able to pack a meeting with supporters of Sir Wilfrid if the benefits of the pact are so apparent, why as readily as its opponents can pack one in protest.

THE SYSTEM AT FAULT.

There is no use arguing about the merits of "the system" with a confirmed opponent of any other system than that in operation. If the present system was right there would be no complaint. There is no severer critic of the present system than its most express defender. The child that needs correction and whose parents refuse to chastise, save by scolding, is not being well brought up. Toronto's infant "system" is in this condition.

If "all the money and liberty that a department could have under any system" has been enjoyed at the city hall by the waterworks or any other department, and if that be the general opinion of the citizens, then all we can do is to sit down to another generation of meddling and muddling. But we do not believe that an improvement is impossible. In fact, we believe that The Evening Telegram could run the city hall better than the city council under the present system runs it.

The Evening Telegram would adopt

the business methods that make its own concerns a success. Business methods are all that is necessary. Business methods will never be available under the much lauded system. The system is popular among its chief supporters because it excludes business methods.

It was undoubtedly the system that prevented the extension of the intake last summer, and the laying of a duplicate intake just as soon as the engineers saw such action to be necessary. It was the shuffling of the system, the backing and filling of the system, the delays and postponements of the system, that carried it over into the winter when it was impossible to do anything.

Listen to this from The Telegram: Or was it the system that prevented the works department from originating a policy of extending the intake and next prevented the works department from promptly working out that policy last summer?

Last March Engineer Rust recommended the extension of the intake. Had he been permitted "all the money and liberty" he required, the extension would have been made last summer. Who or what prevented him? The system.

The World is in intimate terms with a system that would get the best results out of the men at its disposal. And it would get better men too. But it is a system with some business method in it, and different from that which The Telegram advocates for the city hall.

REGENERATION BY GROUPS.

Social regeneration by free groups is the remedy proposed for present human disabilities and to establish a new order. The advocates of this latest measure of regeneration state that the necessity of living is so dominated and confined by economic conditions that there is no chance for real living. The theory of economists that the creation of artificial scarcity is the surest mode of attaining plenty is attacked. The hidden working of the money machine is dwelt upon. The fact that one-third of an acre of average land will supply a vegetable diet for an adult for a year is taken to show that there need be no pressure for subsistence. An hour a day would be sufficient to cultivate the one-third acre. On this basis it is believed by the advocates of "The New Order" that groups might be organized of those who would club their third-acre, organize an exchange system for work and products, and eliminate all the present business details. An hour a day will stand against a year's board. If life were as simple as a year's board, if humanity would have solved it a million years ago. The complexity of man's nature has made his problem complex, and his social and economic conditions so intricate. After all these ages we have evolved the present conditions, which are still being improved. Our business methods are really admirably simple, neat and ingenious. Unfortunately, they are not operated for purposes of simplicity or benevolence alone. All the factors in human life have a greatest common measure, and a least common multiple. The greatest common measure is God, and the least common multiple is Love. To arrive at either we must know all the factors, and the creation of individual groups does not tend to that result.

PUBLIC SERVICE PROFITS AND RATES.

When the city council cold-shouldered Ald. McMurrich's proposal to fund \$500,000 a year out of the street railway receipts against the acquisition of that system, it acted with less consideration than the merits of the matter deserved. The question involved cannot be lightly dismissed, nor in its essentials does it touch the propriety or otherwise of certifying the present taxpayer for the later good of the community. No doubt the taxpayers are materially relieved by the transference of the city's proportion of the street railway receipts to credit of the general city revenue. But that practice has to be justified before it can be accepted as right in principle.

Ultimately the question is whether a public service should be run to earn a profit over and above payment of its working expenses and provision for all its contingent obligations and liabilities and for its continued efficiency. To maintain the rates of a public service at a point above what is needed for these purposes simply imposes a tax on the public users. Diversion of the profit so obtained relieves the direct taxpayer to that extent—in other words, relieves many of those who do not use the service. This is entirely against the sound principle that rates ought to bear their own burdens. The British parliament has refused to sanction the reduction of rates out of the profits of public services—indeed has prohibited this in many cases. The World has been and is in entire accordance with the view of The Star in this connection.

Doesn't The Star just wish it had some of Sir William Van Horne's "sour grapes?"

Man is ever inconsistent. He will pay fifty cents to see a lady in trouser skirts on the stage, and declares them intolerable on the street.

Theft of Camera.

Fred Brown, 1624 Jarvis-st., was arrested yesterday by Detective Leavitt charged with theft of a camera from Fritz Hertz, 185 George-st. Brown and the camera departed from the George-st. house about the same time.

UNIVERSITY GRADUATE ON RECIPROCITY.

Editor World: I must plead your patience for "another of those reciprocity letters" as time goes on and our government appears either to be intransigent or totally obtuse in regard to the almost universal opposition to their measure, any friend of responsible legislation would feel impelled to take a hand.

May I ask if the Laurier government wishes to provoke a war with the United States? It could scarcely do anything so sure to bring about strained and impossible relations as to follow its present policy. I am ashamed for my country and countrymen when I consider that we are using the perfect freedom which our mother country, entrusted to us to behave like a spot and ignorant child, who in despite of his elders provokes a storm from which he rushes to shelter behind their shields.

Barred all question of the rights or wrongs of the agreement, what right has any government to spring it upon a people so bitterly opposed as to raise all the bad blood and hostility possible? For there is now no doubt in any thinking mind that a referendum on reciprocity would receive an emphatic "No" from the people of this country. On the other hand, how much further will these politicians lead us until we are committed to the transaction as to make withdrawal almost impossible? We have to deal with a proud and self-righteous people, and the cry of annexation which is now rising on the other side of the Atlantic might easily lead to work which would be a million dollars seeking an outlet.

Where, oh where, is the grey matter in the brains of leaders who let us into such an impossible position? In an attempt to make a scapegoat for his head, if the worst comes to the worst, possibly the man who has been elected to the next election and present them as "amendable" to our enterprise "because" the "country" was not returned as the poet said.

It is generally acknowledged that opposition in the U.S. Senate was the main reason for the failure of the treaty. And that the senate, which is still Republican in sentiment, is warmly favorable to the terms of the treaty. That, that, made a gift to the Democratic party of the present agreement much as you might present to your enemy who was raising a "red" flag. The Democrats reject it, their constituents will object; if they accept, that scores. And what is gained by accepting, and who are the gainers?

Why, the vested interests of the U.S. of course. Not only is that going to stem the Democratic tide of reciprocity, but it is going to open a new market to some of the scoundrelous trusts of which we daily read in the "muck-raking" papers. The thing is so plain that a child or "a wayward man" who is a fool need not err therein.

Having grabbed up the natural resources of the U.S. so that free land for settlers is practically no more and the desired alternative for the working man has now been reached, the "muck-raking" papers are now going to open a new market to some of the scoundrelous trusts of which we daily read in the "muck-raking" papers. The thing is so plain that a child or "a wayward man" who is a fool need not err therein.

Along comes President Taft with a plaster to cover the sore. There is still free land in Canada, timber to cut, and a market for the products of the forest. The first steps toward making Canada an outlet for malcontents and a field for exportation of the surplus of the U.S. are being called for the erection of a complete new modern brewery, with all the necessary bottling and storage facilities, and the erection of the Reinhardt Salvadore Brewery, Limited. The whole of the buildings will be entirely new, of brick, steel, and reinforced concrete. Work will be commenced at once, and it is expected that the buildings will be completed in the early fall. The building will be under the charge of Mr. R. H. Herbert, architect of this city, who has been given every facility by the city for the erection of the building. A plant which will be second to none in Canada.

ST. ANNE'S CHURCH HAS THE BOW-WOW MINSTRELS AT A RECENT ENTERTAINMENT.

Their picture appears in this week's Sunday World. Place your order with your news-dealer for an extra copy.

WAS A CONSENTING PARTY.

BOWMANVILLE, March 8.—McManus and Brown, the two men who were arrested by Chief Jarvis last Wednesday, charged with criminal assault on the person of a woman, were today brought before Magistrate Hersey this morning. They were acquitted on the charge of assault on the person of a woman, but were sentenced to two months in prison at hard labor for the charge of criminal assault on the person of a woman. They were also bound over to keep the peace for 12 months.

WHERE HE DROPPED HIS WATERMELON.

"Where he dropped his watermelon, you are coming to see me?" "Does he know it, dear? Why, she's nagging me for a year to come and see you before I—what's the matter, Myrtle? What are you scared about?"

CANADA PERMANENT BANKING BY MAIL.

If it is not convenient for you to call at our office, we will make a call on you as conveniently as in person. TORONTO STREET, TORONTO.

MORTGAGE CORPORATION.

Makes Home Baking Easy

ROYAL BAKING POWDER

Absolutely Pure

The only baking powder made from Royal Grape

Dream of Tartar

NO ALUM, NO LIME PHOSPHATE

GOVERNMENT BY COMMISSION.

St. John, N.B., Daily Telegraph: One of two spokesmen for the civic machine who do not want to see present conditions disturbed, continue to insist that the city's affairs are well administered. If they had been even reasonably well administered during the last year there would be no talk of commission. Not only has commission become a proper cause, but unless its opponents resort to fair means for the purpose of preventing the election from having their way, the new plan bid fair to be adopted by a large majority.

NOT TO BE ENCOURAGED.

Editor World: Referring to Rev. Dr. Hincks' discourse "Roman Catholics and Mixed Marriages," I would like to ask the reverend doctor, is it not better for the pope to do all in his power to forbid such marriages, or any other kind of religious views of the party differing? I would give one instance of the mixed marriage and its failure. A young couple of opposite creeds married; children were born, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now, I ask, in all reverence for Protestant and Catholic religions, is it not better, and wiser, to have some one to quash by law of the mixed marriage, and finally the mother passed away. The father, a high-spirited man, forgot his children, and let them manage as best they could. The family drifted away from the father, who always differed with them in every way of thought, all because of religious views. Now,