they are better off under the status quo than they would be under any variation of sovereignty.

But we don't have the impression that the Federal Government is doing very much to respond to the complaints.

to the grievances.-of the Quebecois.

Is that impression correct?

MINISTER LALONDE: I think it is not correct.

First of all, when you say we are doing a successful job of defending the status quo", if you mean by this that we are defending the Federal System versus independence, or separation, I hope we are doing a successful job! But we are not for the status quo in the sense that we believe that the Federal arrangement in Canada is the end-all and be-all; and that what was decided was needed in 1867 is more permanent than the Bible, itself.

We are ready to consider changes in the Constitution.

Now, answering the grievances of French Canadians: it is a problem that takes time and, you know, we have been actively pressing changes over the last 10 years at least—but changes that answer grievances that go back to 100 years.

And, if it were not a Democratic system, we might have been more successful; and it might have been relatively easier. But, as long as you live in a democracy and you have to carry the majority of people with yourself,