question concorning the value of the nEMISSION OF DUTIES, by the Treaty of Washington. It must be borne in mind that the Com-mission was expected to meet at the close of 1873 or early in 1873. The British Agent, Mr. Rothery, enno out for that purpose, and the Honomrable Peter Mitchell The Dominion Minister of Marine and Einheiter and Einheiter and Einheiter and Statement and Fisheries was provisionally appointed Initiate or a starme and Fisheries was provisionally appointed Initiats Commissioner. The use of the spurious statistics of 1873 in 1877, when the Com-mission did meet, is shown in the 'Documents and Proceedings of the Halifax Commission' of which one illustration may be here given.

### USE OF THE FRAUDS.

You will find on page 1880 of these Documents the figures taken from the U.S. Report on Commerce and Navigation for 1873. These spurious figures are used as the basis of argument against the United States in relation to the REMINSION of PUTER. The item mackerel 3173,323, there given in the table at the bottom of the page, is also found at the foot of the column on page 311 of the U.S. Commerce and Navigation Report for 1873. This column involves the item :---

Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, Mackerel ...... \$5,894.

which is also found in Table A. But reference to the Exports of 1873 in Table A, shows that this amount of dutiable mackerel is made up of :---

Exports of	"Fish	all others"	to	Nova Scotia and N. Britiah Columbia.		B.,	\$4,553. 1.338.
"	Fish	Pickled	to	"	"		1,538.
							\$5,894

Similarly, the column on page 311 of the U. S. Commerce and Navigation Report for 1873 involves dutiable Herring, \$5,204, which forms part of the amount \$81,775 in the quoted table on page 1880 of the Doc. and Pro. of the Halifax Commission. But \$5,204 is made up of the following items with which it is convert in table 4 of crossite of 1972.

grouped in table A of exports of 1873 :-

\$2,144 Fish, pickled, to Nava Scotis and New Brunswick.
2,614 Fish, smoked, to British Guiana.
338 Fish, ot'er cured, """"

- 108 Fish, fresh to Quebec, Ontario, &c.

\$5,204.

Again, the item "All other \$213,534" in the table given on page 1880 of the Documents and Proceedings of the Halifux Com-mission, is found by reference to page 311 of the U. S. Commerca and Navigation Report for 1873 to involve the item :--

# "All other" Quebec, Ontario, &c., \$17,929

This has already been shown to be made up of various other compound fish itoms named in Table A. But Table A is spurious from beginning to end, and the value of the argument based upon another this which which is the first state. another table which involves its spurious figures will now be understood.

It is clear that the entire table on page 311 of the U. S. Com-merce and Navigation Report for 1873 is wholly unreliable, because merce and Navigation Report 107,1573 is wholy unreliance, occurse it involves the opticide stems, seventeen in number, which are found in Table A. These have been shown to possess the honest-ly impossible commercial quality of being mutually interchange-able as regards their respective values in dollars, and yet represen-ing Exports of different things to many separate countries. They are consequently manufactured, and are not the result of legitimato commercial optications of Records commercial operations, or Records.

## SARDINES AND ANOHOVIES.

It will be noticed that "Sardines and Anchovies, preserved in oil or otherwise," which form by far the largest item of the Foreign Fish Exports of the United States to any of the British American possessions, according to the table on page 311 of the "Commerce

and Navigation Reports for 1873" are not included in one of the tables on page 1880 of the "Documents and Proceedings" of the Halifax Commission," and on which table the argument relating to the remission of dutties is in part based. This special exclusion has alforded a clue to perversions it would use to fitting at personal forchast to have

not be litting at present further to describe.

#### IMPORT STATISTICS.

I have limited myself in this communication to illustrations of spurious U. S Export Statistics. U. S. Inport Statistics exhibit manipulation of a wholly different type, but of kindred oharacter to Canadian misrepresentations, thus showing unity of purpose and to contactual misrepresentations, thus showing unity of purpose and concerted action in executing i.e. A similar observation applies to misrepresentations in the United States Commerce and Navigation Reports in other years besides 1873. 1 have furnished an illustra-tion on pages 34 and 35 of the enclosure No. III. and in enclosure No. IV.

### CONCLUSION.

To cut a long subject short, the United States Fish and Fish Oil Statistics of trade are very largely cooked and misrepresented for the year 1873 (to which I confine myself at present) in United States Official Documents,

States Onicit Documence, The artifices employed in the cooking process are similar to the artifices adopted in the manufacture of the Canadian epurious Ex-hibits and Statistical Statements outlined in a communication to the Right Honourable Exd Granville, dated August 2nd, 1881, and A source of that the Right Honourable Extl Granville, dated August 2nd, 1881, and the documents referred to in that communication. A copy of that communication was duly transmitted by me to your predecessor,— and a copy was officially transmitted by the Right Honourable the Exrl of Kimberley to the Canadian Secretary of State, the receipt of which was duly forwarded to me. (See enclosure No. V.) The results of this reciprocal cooking of the Public Documents of both courties dovetail into one another, and irresiatibly appear, as used, to be wholly destructive of the vantage ground which as correct representation would farnish the United States in a discus-sion respecting the remission of duties and kindred subjects, under the provisions of the Treaty of Washington.

Therefore from these factures alone, and quite irrespective of farther available evidence, a conviction of participation in conspir-acy against the interests of the United States, by cortain of its own officers is unavoidable.

Apart from the obligation under which I am now placed of ad-dressing you, Sir, which the failure of my recent visit to England has imposed upon me, as specified in my lotter to Earl Granville, I have reason to know that the urgent efforts which have been made in certain quarters to avoid or defer full and open inquiry into the Fishery Frauds, have been designed, not merely to screen dishonourable dealing of atrocious character, but to shelter a slander Which may be powerfully revived and used when the opportunity for refuting it is past. Meanwhile this slander continues to be a lever for personal gain and alvancement, reg rulters of the interests, dignity and mutual good-feeling of the Governments and people of the United States, the United Kingdom and the people of Canada. And it hereomes my special duty measurements that people of the content of the states of the s

And it becomes my special duty, possessing knowledge of the aubject through unaided discovery, in the just performance of ap-pointed work, and in the exercise of opportunities for inquiry, that I should not fail to use all proper methods to oppose the un-scrupilous craft which employs similar knowledge for corrupt pur-bases and much injustice to universe

peese, and much injustice to uninstructed people. I trust that you will not be led to consider that I am wanting in respectful consideration or bearing if I venture to give publicity to this communication, in consequence of the measures taken by the Canadian Government, and the public interest of the subject.

I have the honour to he,

Your obedient servant,

HENRY YOULE HIND, M. A.

Compiler of the Analytical Index to the Documents of the Halifax Fisheries Commission.

Windsor, Nova Scotia, October 16th, 1882.

ENCLOSURES-Number I. to VII.