orthoepy stil ruling. Stres on the second syiabl of a noun is not regular. A final dubl lort helps point to $\mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{zel}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{zet}$. This merciles slauter of all dubl consonants the Board's secretary advocated in print long ago, as did Dr Harley. Singl final consonants ar questionabl in coquet, distil (Latin destil-), instil, quartet, quintet, septet, se.xtet, as is 1 in wolen. x and $\propto$ ar reduced to $\mathbf{e}$, advocated generaly by the secretary (see speling, Mar. 1894). Is this satisfactory in general, however so in particular words? The SSB in these circulars proceeds on a sate bisis which shud secure public aprobation. Publishers then, in numbers stedily increasing, wil uze better wordforms.
Report of Committee of Modern Lavg.
Asoc'n on Proposed Fonetic Eng. alfabet.
This pamflet of 10 pages is virtualy the minority report of the Joint Committee as noted on our p. 140. It is a unanimos deliverance (tho "one member voted with some mis sgivings" on use of $j$ with its German value, our y) by Prof's Sheldon and Grandgent of Harvard, Bright of Johns Hopkins, Hempl of Michigan (removing to California) and Weeks of Misuri. 11 vowels and 22 consouants hav symbolsgood! Of 12 points past on, the first 3 ar aproved (use of a, $æ$, a , as in NED) as is the last; the others ar questionabl. One oid sign (a) now gets its proper value. Let another ( 0 ) be uzed properly; a abolisht; and a good $a$-symbol put for misfit $u$. As result ther wud be almost perfect acord with NED, in line with which The HerAld has been for sevn or eight years.
Wieist die Aussprache des Deutschen zu Lehreen? Von Wm Vietor, Prof. etc. Elwert, Marburg. 3d edition, 30 p . 12 mo , 1 Mark.
Frankfurt teachers askt an adress (Vortrag) from Vietor on how German orthoepy shud be taut, as he is an authority on both normal German and its dialects. He lectured them on that and on what is normal German, most of his points being paraleld in English. He says: "Scools shud teach a standard, unprovincial orthoepy, viz., the stage's North German as uzed in serios drama"-a masterly conclusion that deservs translation and reproduction.

## A CRITICAL DISENTER,

1 disent from yur opinion (p. 126) that $\hat{e}$ wil not wel represent $a$ in fate, as ê is uzed in french for open e, becaus, 1), In north Eng. and in Scotland e has the bro'd sound; 2 ), the sounds of one language ar not exactly those of another; 3), the circumflex, being most conspicuos, shud be uzed for the "long" sounds in part, fate, pique, poke, prude, leaving the acute one for the sharp sounds in pat, pet, pit, pot, put, the second of which is as near french
é as e $[? *]$, and releasing the grav accent for bro'd fones in gun, pert, port. Sn, english vowels hav sigos that printers hav.

In Christmas, lundlkerchief, often, on p. 133, sound the evasiv consonant.
Idislike Mr Tuttle's stereotyping vulgar and slovenly diction [orthoepy?].
In many respects american English is more corect and idiomatic than british (at any rate, cokny) uzage; but I prefer hart ailment to "hart trubl" (p. 134); arange for "fix"; sumptuous for "elegant." Rite parlament for parlement (p. 145, italian parlamento, ger. parlament); drop an n from "annualy" on page 148.
N. E. D. is a broken reed to lean on in orthoepy-iu some words, wel; in others, corectues is cast to the winds if disagreeing with pronunciation fasionabl now at Oxford: as, hagiology is hædziolodgi, combine (n.) is kombai $\cdot \mathrm{n}$ Even etymology is grosly rong. Among inuumerabl erors I note: Cheek (impudence) is put under "of the features" with which it has no connection, being akin to german keck. I hav herd cheekish identical with the german keckisch.
E. A. Phipson.
[* French fête is from Old French feste (whence our festal, festive, festival) and its first sylabl rimed with rest, as it did in its erlier form, Folk-Latin fest-. Within tinree centuries French has dropt many an s after e, mesme becoming même, e.g., the e retaining its sound, but prolongd as is the rule in elision. This $\hat{e}$ may be considerdè with apostrofe (sigu of elision) put before the grav accent to form a circumflex. Passy and all Freuch foneticians, as gramarians generaly, sharply distinguish it from é, which coresponds (tho not quite coinciding) with e in they, grey, prey. Like Fr. vowels generaly, é has greater intensity (and, necesarily, les duration) than $e$, and with no vanish (i) any more than Italian vowels, which latter Skeat has taut (on our pages 142,168 ) shud be taken as standard. Mr P.asks to hav Eng., Fr., Ger., etc., put with small initials. This is not a matter of speling at all, but of style. It is manifestly unwise for advocats of a simpler set of wordforms to mix up and cary extraneos subjects. We cary too much now. Impediments clog, trip, hinder and overweigh. Such can turn a scale.-Ed.]

## PROF. SKEAT SPEAKS OUT AGAIN. <br> [From adress before the British A cademy in May last as cabled over.]

The real obstacl to speling reform lies in its oposers' ignorance. Partial reform recomended by Philological Societies in 1881 was ridiculed to deth by riters for the pres, ignorant of etymology and fonetics, totaly unaware of their iguorance.

