solidarity". The Yugoslavs are Communists and, therefore, do agree with many positions taken by the Soviet Union.-At the same time, however, they fear that the Soviet Union might use this feeling as an entry point in order to infringe ultimately upon Yugoslav independence and exert influence over its decision-making as the price for the Yugoslav desire for "socialist solidarity".

Basic tension

Thus there exists a basic tension in Yugoslavia's foreign policy. On the one hand, the Yugoslavs desire to a certain extent to placate the Soviet Union, which poses a potentially dangerous and imperialist threat to Yugoslavia (but also shares with Yugoslavia certain common principles and visions of a socialist world). This is coupled with Yugoslavia's particular influence, by the example of its success as a Communist country outside the Soviet bloc, on other Communist parties and states. The Yugoslav example could be viewed by some impressionable Communist parties as an encouragement to defy certain Soviet strictures on foreign and/ or domestic policy.

On the other hand, the successful use of the nonalignment policy to manipulate both super-powers has increased Yugoslavia's power and influence in world affairs far beyond what its size, location and level of development would ordinarily have indicated. The Yugoslavs have not forgotten that they survived the 1948 clash with the Kremlin mainly through massive co-ordinated trade and economic, and even military, aid from the West. No demands that would have compromised Yugoslav independence accompanied Western assistance. As a result, the Yugoslavs have maintained their ties to the West more or less closely depending on the intimacy of their relations with the Soviet Union at any given time. Memories of 1948 have insured that Yugoslavia has regarded the U.S.S.R. with at least a touch of caution no matter how friendly relations between the two countries have become.

Yugoslavia tends to react more harshly to potential Soviet threats than to possible Western threats. For example, the Yugoslavs roundly condemned the December 1975 statement of Helmut Sonnenfeldt, Counsellor of the U.S. State Department. Sonnenfeldt had suggested that Yugoslavia should become less dependent upon the United States and should rely more on its own strength in its relations with the Soviet Union. He commented further that world tension

might decline if there were a neteri "organic" relation between the S_0 Union and Eastern Europe. Such remerced implied that the Yugoslavs might terr always be able to count entirely ont an American counterbalance to possiad Soviet imperialism as they had since 19 as Until Sonnenfeldt's statement, America foreign policy had seemed to assume lent need to forestall Soviet attempts to old tend hegemony over Yugoslavia. Such antli American stand had allowed Yugosla950 Y to follow a foreign policy based on extram p ing concessions from both super-powdvard by balancing the interests of one againclare those of the other. The Sonnenfeldt statemera ment implied, however, that this freed the of manoeuvre might not in the future be the the American national interest. The Yuday slavs responded to the Sonnenfeldt one marks with loud and widespread criticis

On the other hand, the remarks ficult Secretary-General Leonid Brezhnev att the Warsaw Pact invasion of Czechos natio vakia in 1968 generated more than verl natio condemnations. Brezhnev justified this the tervention in Czechoslovakia in a stal for t ment, known in the West as the "Brezhn of na Doctrine", of the limited sovereignty socialist countries. The "Doctrine" citadvoca the defence of the socialist achievemery ugosla that were being threatened by immines described counterrevolution of the Czechoslovak "n Rang formers" as the reason for the invasio Active The implication of this rationale was the ment probl the Soviet Union alone decided when interests of the "socialist commonwealt" and a took precedence over international la source The Yugoslavs responded by developing tled a new defence system to guard again the prospect of a Czechoslovak-type inteYugosl vention in Yugoslavia. It is clear, furthenonalis more, that Stane Dolanc, Secretary of thistory Executive Committee of the Presidency peaceful the League of Communists' Central Contract Y mittee, was looking eastward when lalignm warned in 1976: of thos

Th

... this country wishes to make it quitte di clear that it will not tolerate threats the dé its independent, sovereign and noiseemed aligned position from anyone or frompeacef any quarter. To be sure, there are n overt threats of this kind being mad Second today; nevertheless, there have been in pende stances of mischief-making from time tof the time and various malicious conjectureits in are often heard about what will become identiof Yugoslavia tomorrow. Let it be we crease understood that the future of Yugosla to the via lies in the further development conne the socialist system of self-management and and the policy of nonalignment, and leading end there be no illusions that it might h nonal elsewhere.

Successful use of non-alignment to manipulate superpowers has increased influence