

16, 1977: Name and Amount: Bryden, John, \$220.00; Cochran, Richard B. 1,308.75; Hoyt, W. L. 39,134.35; Hughes, David C. 1,072.00; Jackson, Leslie 1,764.88; Kenny, Robert 1,339.25; McKenna, Hubert 11,315.39; McNair, John C. 6,407.97; MacVoy, J. 409.80; Malone, Brian 62,088.54; Neil, J. Brain 1,410.00; Olmstead, David 2,455.46; Sargeant, Charles 1,409.00.

MR. ANTONIO GOUVEIA

Question No. 779—Mr. Cossitt:

1. What are the details of the case which the government brought against Mr. Antonio Gouveia, an employee of the Unemployment Insurance Commission in Toronto, and what are the results of any charges made?

2. Was the matter appealed to Mr. Edward B. Jolliffe, Q.C., Deputy Chairman of the Public Service Staff Relations Board and, if so, did (a) he state that "such a cavalier attitude is not impressive" in reference to the attitude of the Crown (b) he find that Mr. Gouveia was persecuted by his superiors (c) he report that the case represented a classic example of how a disciplinary problem should not be dealt with and did he refer to "the inappropriate formulation of five charges and the inevitable failure to prove four of them" (d) his report state that Mr. Gouveia's fiancée was a victim of harassment and "what can only be described as a smear campaign"?

3. Does the case represent government policy insofar as treatment of UIC personnel is concerned and, if not, what steps will be taken to change the situation?

Hon. Bud Cullen (Minister of Employment and Immigration): 1 and 2. See Beauchesne's Rules and Forms of the House of Commons of Canada, citation 171(ff).

3. It was the policy of the UIC and continues to be the policy of the CEIC that its employees are responsible for administering the legislation, regulations and policies with probity, for serving the public without prejudice or preference, and for protecting public moneys. Employees who willfully contravene this policy are subject to disciplinary action and, in some cases, prosecution. Although adjudicator Jolliffe, in his decision of October 11, 1977, was critical of the handling of Mr. Gouveia's case, his imposition of a two-month suspension without pay indicates that he found Mr. Gouveia to have committed a very serious offence. Adjudicator Jolliffe's criticism, however, has prompted the CEIC to investigate the handling of the Gouveia case. The results of this investigation will determine the nature and extent of the corrective action to be taken.

LEGAL FEES

Question No. 992—Mr. MacKay:

What was the total amount paid for outside legal services for the prosecution of the Irving interests under the combines act and to whom was it paid?

Hon. Warren Allmand (Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs): The total amount paid for outside legal services for the prosecution of the Irving interests under the Combines Investigation Act is as follows: William L. Hoyt, Q.C. Hoyt, Mockler, Allen, Dixon & Godin, Fredericton, N.B. (Crown Counsel); Legal Fees \$154,751.50; Disbursements 31,580.34; Total, \$186,331.84.

Point of Order—Mr. Cossitt
CONSUMER AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS

Question No. 998—Mr. Howie:

Since September 1, 1976, did the Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs pay travel or other expenses to or for non-departmental persons or firms in New Brunswick?

Mr. Alan G. Martin (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs): Yes: Hoyt Mockler Allen & Dixon, Fredericton, N.B. \$3,981.75; Community Planning Association of Canada, Saint John, N.B. \$822.20.

GRANTS FOR HOUSING

Question No. 1,523—Mr. Mazankowski:

1. Has the government made any grants, loans or given any kind of assistance to the Ontario Union of Tenants, James House Crescent, Rexdale, Ontario and, if so (a) what was the purpose of the grant, loan or assistance (b) under which legislative authority or otherwise was any grant, loan or assistance issued (c) in the case of a loan, what is the amount outstanding, if any?

2. Has the government made any grants, loans or given any kind of assistance to the Council of Economic Development for Low Income, James House Crescent, Rexdale, Ontario and, if so (a) what was the purpose of the grant, loan or assistance (b) under which legislative authority or otherwise was any grant, loan or assistance issued (c) in the case of a loan, what is the amount outstanding, if any?

3. What are the names and addresses of the shareholders of the (a) Ontario Union of Tenants, James House Crescent, Rexdale, Ontario (b) Council of Economic Development for Low Income, James House Crescent, Rexdale, Ontario?

Hon. John Roberts (Secretary of State): 1. Nil.

2 and 3. Not applicable.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

* * *

POINTS OF ORDER

MR. COSSITT—ANSWER TO QUESTION NO. 347

Mr. Tom Cossitt (Leeds): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order connected with my order paper question No. 347 answered by the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) on June 15, 1978 page 6442 of *Hansard*. In order to state my point of order clearly, the House and yourself, sir, should be aware of the nature of question No. 347 and its answer and, therefore, I shall read both quickly, as follows:

1. Did the Prime Minister during a recent visit to London and the western Ontario area in the course of a speech state that Canadians were too concerned with summer homes in the country and two cars in the yard and, if so (a) what were the exact words he used (b) in what location did he make the statement and to what audience (c) is the Prime Minister aware that radio news broadcasts carried voice clips of such a statement?

2. Has the government adopted a policy to close the Prime Minister's summer residence at Harrington Lake as a restraint and economy measure and (a) if so, on what date (b) if not, for what reason?

3. Has the government adopted a policy to sell one of the two \$85,000 Cadillacs used by the Prime Minister as a restraint and economy measure and (a) if so, on what date (b) if not, for what reason?