

Questions

4. What departments of the federal government were involved?

5. In each of these fiscal years (a) what sums have been granted to the provinces and municipalities in aid of pollution abatement (b) which provinces received such aid (c) what were the names and locations of the projects?

Return tabled.

PUBLIC RELATIONS FIRMS HIRED BY GOVERNMENT TO PRODUCE INFORMATION ON GOVERNMENT POLICIES

Question No. 1,427—Mr. Orlikow:

1. What public relations firms or economic or social research organizations have (a) been commissioned by government departments, agencies or Crown corporations (b) been hired or given contracts to produce pamphlets, brochures, economic surveys, etc., for educating or explaining government policies to the public?

2. By what government department or agency were they hired?

3. What specific task were they given to do?

4. How much money were they paid?

5. Was the choice of the firm concerned arrived at by asking for tenders and, if not, how was the choice made?

Return tabled.

FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO SHARED-COST PROGRAMS WITH QUEBEC

Question No. 1,429—Mr. Beaudoin:

1. For each fiscal year since March 31, 1966, what was the total contribution of the Canadian Government to shared-cost programs with the Government of Quebec or any other party in the constituency of Richmond indicating the percentage and amount provided by each contributor?

2. For the same years, how much was applied to each of the following programs or projects in the constituency of Richmond (a) Old Age Security (b) Blind Persons Allowance (c) Disabled Persons Allowances (d) Unemployment Assistance (e) Health Grants (f) general contributions for hospital construction (g) Hospital Insurance (h) Occupational Training Agreement (i) post-secondary school education grants (j) rehabilitation of disabled persons (k) Civil Defence (l) Winter Works Program (m) health program (n) training for new Canadians (o) crop insurance (p) ARDA (q) Medical Research Fund (r) 4-H clubs (s) tourist information (t) youth travel programs (u) Mothers Allowances?

3. For each year referred to in Part 1, what was the federal government's contribution to those programs in which it was the sole contributor, indicating the exact purpose for which these funds were used?

Return tabled.

FINANCIAL DETAILS, POLYMER CORPORATION, 1967, 1968 AND 1969

Question No. 1,479—Mr. Fortin:

1. With respect to Polymer Corporation Limited in each year 1967, 1968 and 1969, what was (a)

[Mr. Aiken.]

the ratio on working capital and is this ratio considered satisfactory (b) the ratio of liquidity and is this ratio considered satisfactory (c) the net tangible assets per \$1000 (bonds) and is this situation considered satisfactory (d) the net tangible assets per share (e) the percentage of total capitalization contributed by bond-holders (f) the percentage of total capitalization owned by shareholders (g) the interest cover and is this cover considered satisfactory (h) the cash flow (i) the percentage of net profits distributed to shareholders (j) the efficiency or earnings ratio and is this ratio considered satisfactory (k) the return on capital invested and is this return considered satisfactory (l) the return on shareholders' investment and is this return considered satisfactory (m) the profit per ordinary share and is this profit considered satisfactory (n) the ratio of inventory turnover and is this ratio considered satisfactory?

2. What are Polymer's plans with respect to diversification of its activities?

3. Does Polymer intend to set up production units in Quebec and, if not, for what reason?

Return tabled.

UNSOLICITED MATERIAL RECEIVED BY NEWSPAPERS FROM GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS 1968 AND 1969

Question No. 1,485—Mr. Whiting:

1. How many newspapers across Canada, both weeklies and dailies, received unsolicited material such as newsletters, press releases, books and other documents, from various government departments during the years 1968 and 1969?

2. What were the actual mailing costs involved?

3. How much did it cost the respective departments to produce this material in the years 1968 and 1969?

4. How much of a saving could be realized by these departments, apart from mailing costs, if these unsolicited services to newspapers were cancelled?

Return tabled.

UNIVERSITY STUDENTS—SUMMER EMPLOYMENT

Question No. 1,541—Mr. Yewchuk:

1. How many applications has the federal government received from university students seeking summer employment, to date?

2. How many university students have been hired by the federal government for summer employment?

3. Has the federal government proceeded with any special programs which will provide university students in Canada with summer employment, aside from employment opportunities in the federal government?

Return tabled.

INCENTIVE GRANTS TO FAIREY COMPANY LIMITED

Question No. 1,601—Mr. Broadbent:

1. For each year 1948 to 1969, what federal government subsidies, grants or incentives were awarded to the Fairey Company Limited of Halifax?