we should not, in our anxiety to contain them, overlook what we are tryin to achieve in the United Nations and in the Disarmament Committee by way more orderly and lasting international peace-keeping machinery. In piece together the parts of this complex puzzle, I believe we are, during the months and years, going to have to rethink a great deal of what has been traditional policy.

Four-Way Relationship

I have just returned from the NATO ministerial meeting in The Hague, followed by a short visit to Germany. Together, these two vis were concerned with most of the fundamental issues in our contemporary in national life, our relations with the Communist world or worlds still bethe dominant preoccupation. For years, in NATO and in all the Western ca we have been speaking rather loosely about East-West relations. I think: time we admitted that this shorthand phrase is no longer adequate. When "East-West relations", we imply that we are thinking in terms of a bipolo the Communists and the rest of us. In fact, today there is no longer one Communist world and it is guite misleading to equate "East" with "Communi-It is equally misleading to equate "West" with "the rest of us" or even w "NATO". What is actually happening is a more complicated form of competh than our old terminology suggests, a competition in which the Chinese Com are making a determined takeover bid for the Communist leadership in Asia Africa. We must think more of a four-way relationship among the West, the Soviet Communists, the Chinese Communists and the non-aligned countries, } of the old "East-West" terms.

If there is a pause or a <u>détente</u> in our relations with the Sov Union, based on what is really a common appreciation, since the Cuban comtion, of the unacceptability of nuclear warfare -- if this is true, we can pass the same verdict on our relations with the other Communist world. He the Chinese, nuclear war is certainly unwanted but not unthinkable. Mach has pointed out that after the First World War there were 200 million Comand after the second 900 million; so he predicts that, after the third, Communism will take over what remains of the world.

I know that, apart from the Chinese Communist invasions of Tibe India and the troubles to which they are a party in Southeast Asia, there no reason to believe that the Chinese Communists would deliberately allow theories to push them over the brink. Their bark may, as we must hope, by than their bite. We have had experience before -- bitter experience -- d men whose theories were explicit and public, but we were too rationalist: believe that they meant what they said. I am not prone to believe that w the same situation now, but there are similar dangers. It does not apper present that the West's <u>detente</u> with Moscow extends to Peking, but we must continue to test the intentions of the Chinese Communists, intentions litt affected by Soviet views or aims, by all means at our disposal. In the m it would be premature and irresponsible to dismantle our defences, either materially or psychologically, even though for the time being we think the the Soviet world Western relations are showing some real, though limited, improvement.

Ł