

IV.	ARGUMENTS	17
A.	Conformity of Part V.1 of the <i>Excise Tax Act</i> to the GATT 1994	17
1.	Inapplicability of Article III of the GATT 1994 to Part V.1 of the <i>Excise Tax Act</i>	17
(a)	Duality of Magazines	17
(b)	Provision of Advertising Services Is a GATS Issue	18
(c)	Article III:2 of the GATT 1994 Does Not Apply to Part V.1 of the <i>Excise Tax Act</i>	19
(d)	An Indirect Attack on Advertising Services	20
2.	Even If Article III of the GATT 1994 Were Applicable, Part V.1 of the <i>Excise Tax Act</i> Conforms to Article III	20
(a)	Article III:2, First Sentence	20
(i)	Split-run periodicals are not "like" products to periodicals containing editorial material developed for the Canadian market	21
(ii)	Part V.1 of the <i>Excise Tax Act</i> is not discriminatory	24
(b)	Article III:2, Second Sentence	25
(i)	Split-run periodicals and periodicals containing editorial material developed for the Canadian market are not directly competitive or substitutable	25
(ii)	So as to afford protection to domestic production	26
B.	Justification of Code 9958 of the <i>Customs Tariff</i> under Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994	29
C.	Non-application of Article III of the GATT 1994 to Commercial Postal Rates	30
D.	Justification of Subsidized Postal Rates under Article III:8(b) of the GATT 1994	33
V.	CONCLUSIONS	35