for evaluation purposes. A given country, region, or institution might be selected, and the totality of Canadian interests in that area (and how they are manifested) could then be investigated. Bilateral strategy papers could serve as a starting point.

(ii) Should we wish to look to the future, some of the suggestions made in Section E of our plan for an evaluation plan of a reorganised Department of External Affairs (our memorandum UAP-87 to you of March 19, 1982 refers) might prove worthy of consideration as yet another possible approach to the FPFC evaluation.

5. As I pointed out earlier however, the purpose of this memorandum is not to open up here a discussion on the pros and cons of what might be a valid evaluation option or options for the FPFC program, but rather to tell you how far we had gone along this road when Reorganisation caught up with us.

J.E. Thibault, Head, Office of Internal Evaluation and Audit.