3

But in the intermediate set of circumstances.

Federalism is the true solvent." Such are the conditions of fitness for Federalism which Mr. Freeconditions of muces for the contract of the co man himself lays down, and the subject. It mons and discussed in the press, and my sincere with every other great authority on the subject. It with every other great authority on the subjects in conviction that the more thoroughly the case is seems to me evident that these conditions exist in looked into the more reasonable will those views the present case. I am glad to find that Mr. Freethe present case. I am gato. He expressly says appear. As yet they have been met for the most man appears to think so too. He expressly says appear. As yet they have been met for the most that "it (Ireland) is too far off for the same perfect part only by rhetorical "chaff." Such "chaff" is incorporation which unites the three parts of Great Britain. Yet it is so near, that while human nature remains as it is Britain will not submit to see it absolutely distinct." ...

constitute fitness for Federalism ; and we have him servant, in his article describing these very conditions as existent in the present case. Nevertheless Mr. Freeman declares that Federalism is inapplicable. I knew that this was his opinion, and in my speech in the House of Commons and in my book I so stated. But many thoughtful people were desirous of learning what was the disturbing circumstance which in Mr. Freeman's judgment, rendered inapplicable that which, on his own principles, seems so closely to apply. Mr. Freeman now reveals this circum-

stance in the following words:—
"Mr. M'Carthy," says Mr. Freeman, "does not mention that all the instances which I refer to are instances where Federalism appears not as a proposal to put a laxer tie instead of a closer one, but as a proposal to put a closer tie instead of a laxer one, or no tie at all. And in the next page I distinctly say that Federalism is out of place if it attempts either to break asunder what is more closely united or to unite what is wholly incapable of union. In short, I have always held that the Federal system is the right thing when it is a step backward. The different degrees of connection in a Federal state may be endless. The tie may be very lax.— But in the case of every successful Federation, the Federal system has appeared as a principle of union, whereas Mr. M'Carthy now preaches of it as a principle of disunion."

Such, then, is Mr. Freeman's objection to the "Home Rule" proposal, and it is the sole objection on which he relies as an answer to my argument Permit me, in the first place to observe that Mr. Freeman is in error in supposing that I did not mention this objection. I did so in the House of Commons, and I quoted the very words to which he calls attention in my book (Vide "Plea for the Home Government of Ireland." Dublin : Sullivan; 3rd Edition, page 89).

But I hope I may be allowed, without discourtesy, to remark that, prima facie at least, the objection appears weak and techinical. It seems to partake more of scholastic subtlety than of practical common sense. Surely it cannot be seriously contended that a laxer tie must not be substituted for a closer one if the laxer be more suitable. The real question must be: Is the laxer the more suitable? Either the conditions of fitness for Federalism exist in the present case or they do not. Mr. Freeman virtually useful ministry. But Heaven's decree was otherwise admits that (apart from this objection) they do exist, and everyone can see for himself that the facts of the case tally with his requirements. But, if Federalism be the right thing for us, it cannot cease to be right because the wrong thing was previously adopted.

According to Mr. Freeman's principles, Federalism would have been the "true solvent" in 1800. All the conditions he requires undoubtedly existed then; even the very technical condition as to the degree of laxity of the existing tie. The then existing "tie" was very lax, indeed, and Federalism would have been the adoption of a closer one. But the principles which Mr. Freeman approves were disregarded in the arrangement of 1800. One of the things most decisively condemned by these principles was done; namely, two communities, admittedly not homo- distinguished and excellent ecclesiastic, no one has genous, were submitted to a system suited only for homogenous communities. Two islands, distinct Andrew Connellan, P.P., Feackle. His remains will and different in a hundred ways, were treated as if be interred at one o'clock on to-morrow (Saturday) they were "counties of one kingdom" po "identity" which would enable them to be "fused together for all purposes." Opinions may differ as to whether this was or was not an error, but if once admitted (as on Mr. Freeman's principles it must be admitted) to have been an error, I submit it cannot be successfully contended that the error must be persisted in ad infinitum. If the car has been blunderingly set before the horse, must the horse never be set before the car?

Again, Mr. Freeman affords another answer to himself. He admits that the present tie is only a "formal" one. "The three countries," he says, "which make up Great Britain were really, as well as formally, united. Ireland is only formally united and not really." I submit that a "tie" admittedly "formal" is not of much account. At any rate, its existence cannot be of sufficient consideration to outweigh all other considerations.

But there remains the still more decisive answer to Mr. Freeman's objection, that it "begs the question" and assumes the point at issue—namely, that we propose to loosen the bonds of the empire. Now, this is the very thing which the advocates of Home Rule have, from first to last, most peremptorily depied. We say that the existing arrangement tends not to union but to disunion. We say, with Mr. Lecky, "that by uniting the legislatures it divided the nations." We hold with O'Connell, that unless readjusted it will lead to separation. We submit that the Federal readjustment, while restoring Irish freedom, would strengthen imperial unity. We quote Mr. Freeman's own teaching, that the Federal system, where the conditions for it exists, is not a source of weakness or division, but "a source of strength and a bond of union." We contend, therefore, that our proposal meets even the technical requirements insisted on by Mr. Freeman. If we were separatists we would desire to let things drift on as they are. Unless set right they will surely drift to a mutually disastrous separation. But not being separatists, we desire to constitute a real union on the only basis on which real union between nations or individuals can exist, namely, respect for the rights, principles, and liberties of both parties. This reasoning may be erroneous. If so it was open for Mr. Freeman to establish the contrary. But he has not even attempted to do so. He merely assumes the contrary, and makes this assumption the basis of his argument. It is, I submit, manifest that on whatever ground the existing arrangement is to be successfully defended, it cannot be successfully defended on the ground thus suggested by the distinguished his-

Mr. Freeman, moreover, says he is "tempted" to doubt "whether there can be confederation of two," but as he at once banishes his temptation by reminding himself of Sweden and Norway, and Austria and Hungary, as well as others nearer home, I need not dwell on this point: "

Mr. Freeman also touches on an objection very amusingly put forward in Mr. Lowe's chaffing rhetoric namely, that it would be undignified and inconvenient for Irish members of an Imperial Parliament to be disqualified from voting on English and Scotch domestic questions. But where is the special Scotch domestic questions. But where is the special indignity to Irish members if English and Scotch indignity to Irish members give up the corresponding claim to legislate for Irish domestic affairs? Surely the relicquishment ought not to be one sided. Why should Irish members are not to be one sided. Why should Irish members are not to be one sided. Why should Irish members are not to be one sided. Why should Irish members are not to be one sided. Why should Irish members are not than a year and his probable successor.

Out delay, to cansout, in the special of the earth fore, obliged reluctantly to obtain two mouths' leave fore, obl

sufficient degree of community in origin, or feeling, members have the right to control the domestic generous people of Westmeath would be the last, a half. I beg here to observe that many people have or interest, to allow the members to work together affairs of English members have no such to impute any blame to me. When I returned to cried shame on the Catholic clare. or interest, to allow the mountes that there should right as, regards Ireland? As to the supposed in up to a certain politic. It requires of community, or rather convenience, it seems enough to say that similar not be that peries the members to be fused to not be that periods dogs the members to be fused to- arrangements work elsewhere without complaint. identity, which shows the state of the state of the House of Lords, there is a considerable class of subjects on which spiritual peers do not vote. In the House of Lords, there is a considerable class of subjects on which spiritual peers do not vote. In the Imperial Federal Assemble of the Imperi munity at all Federalism is mappropriate. The cities or states had better remain wholly independent. When community rises into identity Federal-pressly provided that the representatives of one community must not vote on what concerns only the demestic affairs of spother. ism is equally mappropriate. The counties of a kingdom, demestic affairs of another community. Analogous had better both sink into the counties of a kingdom, provisions exist in the community. provisions exist in the American Congress.

I cannot conclude this letter without expressing my deep sense of the fairness and courtesy with amusing when uttered by masters of debate. But for thoughtful people arguments cannot be answered by "chaff." Mr. Freeman's article was amongst the irst real attempt to deal with the gravamen of the Here, therefore, we have Mr. Freeman in his his- question; and therefore I have ventured to trouble Here, insteaded, we have which you with this rejoinder.—I am, sir, your obedient tory laying down certain conditions as those which you with this rejoinder.—I am, sir, your obedient

JOHN GEORGE MACCARTHY. Harbour View, Cork, Aug. 31, 1874.

DEATH OF THE REV. ANDREW CONNELLAN, P.P.

IRISH INTELLIGENCE

FRANCE.-Seldom has it been our painful duty to record the death of on eso highly esteemed and universally regretted as this very worthy and respected clergyman. After a protracted illness superinduced by missionary labour, and endured with the greatest possible patience and resignation, he calmly breath ed his last on the morning of the 10th Sept., in the 52nd year of his age, and the 25th of his sacred ministry. By his brethren in the ministry he was held in the highest estimation, while with the laity his gentle, genial, social and affable manner, rendered him a general favorite. In 1849 after a successful course of study he received Holy Orders in the Irish College of Paris that old "Alma Mater" which has given to Killaloe as many good and respectable Priests as that or any other Diocese could boast of. In 1860, when only a comparatively short time on mission, he was promoted by the Right Rev. Dr. Flannery from the curacy of Killaloe to the pastoral charge of Feakle parish, and well and faithfully did he fulfil the trust committed to him by his reverend and distinguished Diocesan. Ever zealously and temporal interests of the people, they revered and respected him as their Pastor, and loved him and confided in him as their friend. His influence over them was productive of much good to religion. His charity, patience, and dove like meekness, were calculated to gain and soften the heart of the sinner and such were the means as most congenial to his nature that rendered the exercises of his ministry to him a real "labour of love." Though he yearned for the salvation of all, yet the infirm poor, the aged and the young were ever objects of his special care. Humble, modest, and unpretending, he liked to do good by stealth, yet very frequently without intending it did the attributes of a well regulated and highly cultivated mind, gleam forth from him to prove how well qualified he was for his high and sacred calling, and how much good he was capable and so, the unsparing hand of death has snapped that link, which for a period of 14 years, so closely and fondly united the Pastor and his Flock, their sorrow may be changed into joy by the consoling hope that their loss is his gain, and that he has been only called away from the miserable "vale of tears' to enjoy the happy invitation pronounced in the Gospel—"Well done thou good and faithful servant, because thou hast been faithful over a few things I will place thee over many. Enter thou into the joys of the Lord." The first mission of the deeply regretted deceased was to Nenagh where he spent some time; afterwards to Killaloe; and thence to the parish of Feakle, to which he was promoted as we have stated in 1860. Since the leath of the Rev. James O'Halloran in 1801, another been so deeply and sincerely regretted as the Rev. in Feakle Church, in which he so often ministered -R.I.P.-Limerick Reporter.

CLOSE OF THE MISSION BY THE REDEMPTORIST FATHERS AT DRUMCOLLOGHER.—The Mission of the Redemptorist Fathers at Drumcollogher closed on Sunday night, the 6th Sept., and it may, be truly said that, it was a happy success throughout. The sermons find no place in his "prosperity speech." They were preached by the Fathers embraced everything with starved and done to death by English jealousy and it in the range of a Christian life, and such inimitable sermons. There was a procession of the school children on Friday to the number of 280, three deep, and it was highly edifying to witness the spectaclesuch happy looking faces-all beaming with joy, well they may be, as they were going to that feast of feasts which is to be found in the Holy Communion. On Sunday his lordship the Bishop of Limcrick, administered the Sacrament of Confirmation to 402 persons. He expressed much satisfaction with the answering of the children. At seven o'clock, the Superior, Father Doyle, preached his parting sermon, and closed the Mission, amidst much emotion. The congregation was so large that while the Superior was preaching in the chapel, which was literally "packed," Father Hall preached to even a larger congregation in a field adjoining the church, and electrified those who listened to his thrilling eloquence. It would be a sorry description of the Mission if the untiring energy of the faithful, patient, enduring working in the confessional and the pulpit, of Father Doherty, was left unnoticed. The Fathers left for Limerick on the 8th Sept., when a large con-course, accompanied by the school children, in procession, escorted them out of the town.-Limerick

Reporter. ADARE CHURCH, Co. LIMERICK.—The great improvements which have been made in the Catholic Church at Adare, and which have been nearly brought to a conclusion, reflect immortal credit on the memory of the late Earl of Dunraven, who bequeathed a large sum of money which has been expended by the executors of his will, in the most admirable manner possible on the exterior, and on those splendid decorations within the Church itself, and particularly about the altar which are the admiration of every visitor. Every day men of cultivation and of travel, noblemen, ecclesiastics from distant places, come to see all that is to be seen in Adare, and the Catholic Church is loudly praised beyond, perhaps, all else that is to be seen there, in the way of a renovated edifice extended, and much of it altogether new. We congratulate the trustees and executors on the success which has attended their grateful labours in this instance, which redounds in every way to their honor, as it does to the glory of God, and the exaltation of his Church,-Limerick Reporter.

LORD ROBERT MONTAGE, M.P.-Lord Robert Montague, M.P. for Westmeath, sends the following letter to the editor of the Freeman :- 6, Clifton Gardens, Folkestone, Sept. 3. Sin—My attention has been called to a letter of Father Briody in your impression of the 1st inst, in which I am charged with "deserting my post." The fact was, as stated in most of the Irish papers at the time, that my wife was taken seriously ill, and was ordered to go, without delay, to Carlsbad, in Bohemia. I was therefore, obliged reluctantly to obtain two months' leave | dition imposed by their missionaries of tender mercy

to impute any blame to me. When I returned to England I went to the House of Commons, and sought for Mr. O'Shaughnessy. Not finding him'I asked Sir George Bowyer to tell him that I had returned to England, and was to be found at Folkestone where I would be in mediated to come where stone, where I would be in readiness to come up to the House whenever I should be wanted for Irish business. Mr. O'Shaughnessy, however did not send me any notice, either of business in the House or of any meeting of the Home Rule party (he only sent me, indeed, one notice in the whole session, and that was during my absence at Carlsbad). As my absence during two months of the session had thrown me "out of the rnnning," I was not myself cognisant of the business that was to come on; butifhe had sent me "a whip" I should certainly have been at my post. Yet I had misgivings about the Coercion Bill and, although I had received no whip, I went to London to inquire, and was told by another M.P. that the Government had determined to give way, so that there would be no debate or division. In default of other information from the Home Rule party, I unfortunately believed this incorrect statement, and thus missed that important division. As to my colleague, whom Father Briody also mentions in connexion with me, I may say that I do not challenges these so-called men of God, Bishops and know what measures he has taken, nor the motives which have influenced him in taking them. He and offer to pay half the expenses, coach, railway has not spoken to me on the subject. Of one thing and hotel fares, to the men of honor to be selected however, I feel sure—that he will never do anything but what he sincerely believes to be for the good of Ireland. Moreover, as we were both elected to support the Home Rule movement, I am confident that, whatever opinions he may privately entertain, he true to the principles on which I was elected-Your | ability to write the angals of souperism in Conne obedient servant. ROBERT MONTAGU.

ROME AND IRELAND IN THE WEST! CONSTANTINOPLE AND VENICE IN THE EAST! THESE ARE THE PHISON, GEHON, TIGRIS, AND EUPHRATES OF CHRISTIAN CIVIL-IZATION !- One element of regeneration which could neither be burned by the torch of the barbarian, nor cut by his sword, nor suppressed by his tyranny, was the spiritual power of Rome. The divine element of Christianity had an indisputable vitality and unquestionable power of resistance. Christianity, to be sure, was modified in its human element by circumstances, and accommodated to new peoples, new customs, and new modifications of society.-There was a power, too, which remained unscathed during the troublous era of Europe's occupation by the barbarians of the north. It was the city of Byzantium, wherein were deposited the treasuries of sincerely indentified as he was with the spiritual and ancient civilization. These were in after-ages communicated to Western Europe through the returning Crusaders and Greek fugitives on the dismemberment of the Byzantine Empire by the Ottomaus.-The Italians who fled to the Adriatic on the approach of the barbarians and founded the city of Venice have conferred great favor on the human race through their labors in preserving and communicating civilization. The East is the debtor of Constantinople, the maritime people of the Adriatic and Northern Italy of Venice, Italy and Western Europe of Rome. But Rome had a fellow-laborer. Before Solon ruled in Athens, before Lycurgus reigned in Sparta, before Romulus beheld the seven hills in Latium, a wave of the human family had migrated westward, and though succeeding migration destroyed or transformed its debris on the Europen continent, it remained original and unpolluted on the hills and isles of Scotland and the green plains of Ireland. It was the celtic race. No aggressive power had disturbed is from its sea-girt home. Is it not worthy of Home Rule?—N. Y. Tablet,

IRISH PROSPERITY.—Commenting on the recent "prosperity" speech of the Vicercy, the Rev. Joseph Murphy said at a meeting of the Wexford Home Rule Assocation-A few weeks ago the Viceroy went to some trouble, in this room, to prove to the world that Ireland, under English rule, was, year after year, advancing in prosperity. His Grace of Abercorn has been sent to Ireland by his Sovereign, or rather by his Sovereign's Minister, to carry on that system of "plundering and blundering" which for seventy-four years has robbed and ruined our country, and his instructions are to conceal the robbery y (falsely) proclaiming our prosperity (hear). tican, and figures can be made to prove anything. More acres under crops proves Ireland's prosperity; less acres under crops, Ireland's prosperity. Increase in number of cattle, Ireland's prosperity; decrease in number of cattle, Ireland's proserity; 100,000 emigrants yearly leaving our shores-Ireland's sure road to prosperity. A falling off for a time-a certain sign of prosperity. What says the Viceroy of our trades and manufactures? No nation like Ireland can be prosperous without them. And yet they is not in our ruler's plans that any should again flourish in Ireland. The Irish Parliament called into existence and fostered Irish manufactures. The Union came, and an eloquent speaker recounts a few of our losses. "The cotton manufactures of Dublin which employed 14,000 operatives, has been destroyel. The 3,400 silk-looms of the Liberty have been destroyed. The stuff and serge manufacture, which employed 1,491 operatives, has been destroyed. The calico looms of Balbriggan have been destroyed. The flaunel manufacture of Rathdrum has been destroyed. The camlet trade of Bandon, which produced £100,000 a year, has been destroyed. The blanket manufacture of Kilkenny has been destroyed. The worsted and stuff manufacturers of Waterford have been destroyed. The ratoen and frieze manufactures of Carrick-on-Suir have been destroyed." This is the "prosperity" which the system the Duke of Abercorn represents, entails on Ireland.

PROTESTANT FABLES CONCERNING CONNEMARA.-The following letter, in reply to the one from the Rev. Mr. Padden, Protestant clergyman in Connemara, to the Standard of the 22nd ult., has been sent to the editor of that paper, but not inserted :- SIR,-The letter bearing the signature of one of the proselytisers in Connemara is but a revival of the sneers of similar efforts to sustain a cause which has received the emphatic condemnation of Liberal Protestants as well as Catholics. To me the motive is clearhe wants to raise funds to seduce the people from the faith of their fathers-for he says :- " We need belp to encourage children to our schools and their mothers to our meetings!" This angel of tenderest mercy was found nowhere when real distress did The few who were seduced in the hour of need have abandoned the souners, and now the latter want ample means for a more ample bribe in the vain hope of bringing them back. They have been in the receipt of £20,000 a year along the western coast-at this moment they are in the receipt of £700 a month-and what is the result? Empty houses, and a gulf as greedy and absorbing as ever. Angels of tender mercy, indeed; while they had the Bible in one hand, and the meal-bag and money in the other, they allowed the poor widow and starv-ing orphan to die of hunger if they did not go to their soup dens and prayer-houses! Let there be an investigation by impartial and honorable men, Protestants or Catholics, and if this allegation be not proven I shall willingly proclaim to the world that angels of tenderest mercy ought to be respected by all classes. I have said that it is mischievous as well as heartless. It has created more ill-will, and it has alienated more powerfully the good-will of the people of the west of Ireland from the British people who contributed those abused funds, and task of obtaining an apology from Sir. J. D. Astley who are supposed to be cognizant of the cruel con-

oried shame on the Catholic clergy for not contra-dicting all those false reports as often as, they crop up; but a little reflection will satisfy them that we were right, because our counter-reports would never reach the supporters of the vile system, nor were we guardians of their purses; and if they wish to be cheated let them be so. The statistics paraded by the soupers were contradictory and inconsistent with their own statements. At one time they declared to the world that they had 20,000 converts in Connemara, that 11,540 children were attending their schools, that 509 more precious neophytes, were lying sick at home, and that it would be perilous to bring out under the action of the air innocent babes of that description. Indeed on one occasion their numerical statistics were so wholesale that they had 1,000 more than the actual population, including priests, monks, nuns, and all. To enter into actual controversy on truth or falsehood with these persons would be a bootless task indeed, for they practically start on these, that the meal bags contain more convincing reasons than the Bible for modern conver-They frequently brought Protestant Bishops sions. from England and Ireland too to endorse their state ments, and invariably afterwards the Catholic party all, to a rigorous investigation of their statements for the purpose; that the supposed men of God and their minor satellites preferred to remain to the blissful enjoyment of their wealth created for them by this new trade in the Gospel. It is not clear to me but that when the new Church (which has alwill be as little likely as I am to do anything which ready driven to insanity the soupers) will be finmay injure the cause. I shall at all events remain ished, that I will ask some person who has time and mara. Ah! how interesting it would be to find a person in company with a great visitor from England; what sort of a house is that yonder?" meaning the Catholic chapel. "Oh, that was a Mass House, but there was no flock there for the past five years." Good, indeed, for high-toned morality from those missionaries of truth who were prophesying that neither priest nor Papist would be found on the soil of Connemara in three years' time. That pro-phecy was made over twenty years ago. The three years have rolled by and the prophecy has stolen back silently to its source of aspiration. Thus pythonic swellings, like the frog in the fable, have burst into shreds, and frauds and hypocrisy have been unmasked. If there are thousands of children going to loss and starvation here what necessity is there for transferring them or other children from bird's nest to bird's nest, from Dublin, Cork, and other towns in Ireland, to Connemara, and endeavouring to make them aliens to their parents, and denying from them even the place of their birth and giving them fictitious names, such as Eliza Tenpenny and Rebecca Farthing? If they have so many thousands in Connemara why be aggregating the children of all localities upon any one given spot, where a wealthy Englishman, or stranger, may expected, and repeating the same process different times whilst the wealthy stranger was in Connemara? If they had thousands in such abundance whence the necessity of bribing Catholic children into conversion for the day by consenting to go to the place visited by those great strangers? And, to conclude let me observe that souper missionaries are here at full work for the past twenty-six years and over. Let them answer the questions—Row many children have been baptized, and how many have died in their communion? How have they disposed of the vast sums of money they have received? For instance, the Rev. Christopher Leighton stated a few years ago that the supporters in England had realized the sum of £500,423 12s. 8d for the work of the Mission along the Western Coast of Connemara, how yearly reports not intended for Irish readers, but exclusively for their English supporters, which contain an incredible number of names as their collectors, patrons, and supporters. and amongst the names I find some who were supposed to be far and away above the suspicion of pan dering to so vile a system. No doubt the great bulk of the English people are charitable, it would be unjust to identify them with certain classes whose religious vitality is derived from their undying hatred of Catholicity; therefore, I found it my duty to warr the people in my charge not to confound the gen erous charitable English people with the founders and supporters of the soup system, which is a dis honor to religion, inasmuch as it reveals that Matorialism is the abiding principle, the aim and object of certain classes. We are all agreed that the people of England are charitable, but their credulity makes them easy victims .- Your obedient servant,-

PATRICK M'MANUS, PP. of Clifden and Dean of Tuam. The experience of the world goes-to show that if you give an Irishman a chance, he will avail himself of it, and rise rapidly. A striking illustration comes to us this week from India, where the Times' correspondent is making a tour. Here is what he says:— "I cannot help mentioning, also, as a fact that I noticed in passing through the famine district the number of Irishmen whom I found there as special officers in Government employ. This was not always so, or even so at a recent period. A little earlier India was termed a 'Scotch preserve,' and only a very few Irishmen were seen. The law of competition seems to have altered this—at least I am told that it has and the Scotchman finds himself elbowed very stoutly by Irishmen in the East. Surely it is a good omen for Ireland, and may serve to direct some of her superabundant genius and energy into useful channels." A very good omen, truly, and if she had only freedom to manage her own affairs at home, like results would very sneedily spring into existence.

THE COUNT DE JARNAC IN TIPPERARY .- At the meeting of the Tipperary Union Farming Society on the 10th Sept., the Count de Jarnac of Thomastown, Ambassador from the Court of France to the Queen of England, &c, was received with every mark of the greatest enthusiasm and respect. The Count was appointment as Ambassador from France by Marshal JacMahou; and at the banquet in the evening he delivered a speech fraught with the most patriotic sentiments, in which he quotes the lines (altered) of Goldsmith :-

Where'er I roam, whatever climes to see, My heart, untravelled, fondly travels to thee; Still to poor Erin turns in ceaseless pain, 'And drags at each remove a lengthening chain.

-Limerick Reporter. Information Wanted of James Horan, of Ballydrennan, Nenagh, County Tipperary, who left Ircland for the United States 36 years ago. Any information will be thankfully received by his sister, Mary Horan, in care of Pat Bryan, Island-bridge factory, Dublin,

Information Wanted of Jane Myers, nee Leecy formerly of Sandwith-street, Dublin: when last heard of (15 years ago) owned an eating-house in Rosevelt-street, New York. Any information will be thankfully received by her brother, Hugh Leecy, 3 Mark's-court Dublin.

The Cork Examiner states that the first act of Brook Richard Brasier, Esq., of Ballyellis, Mallow on coming of age, was to give to the Very Rev. Dr. Downes, P.P., rent free for ever, a beautiful site for a new Church to his Catholic tenantry in the town of Kilmallock.

The Freeman's London correspondent states that one of the Home Rule members has undertaken the for his late extraordinary reference to the party. A vacancy has occured on the Board of CommisGREAT BRITAIN.

THE NEW CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY-THE POPE ON MATERIALISM.—The Archbishop of Westminster and the twelve suffragan bishops of England recently issued a pastoral letter concerning the new Catholic University, of which Monsignor Capel is the rector: The text of this important and interesting document has been placed in my hands, and its substance will be found below. The prelates, after saying in their pastoral that, at the command of the Pope, they have undertaken the institution of this University, go on to say:—"When the Catholic Church in England had received once more its perfect hierarchical order, it had still to complete its internal organization. The Vicars Apostolic, and the faithful with them, had labored in poverty, and under penal laws, and despite of every kind of obstruction, to provide education for our Catholic youth. The ancient universities, the colleges, and grammar schools founded before Henry VIII., were lost to the Church. The impoverishment of the Catholics of England was in nothing more sensible than in the absolute privation of the means of culture. During the last hundred years, by self-denial, heroic constancy of purpose, and unyielding courage in the face of all difficulties, the Catholics of this country succeeded in laying the foundation of five colleges, which at this day are our chief and noblest monuments of those times. The college of St. Edmund traces its existence back for a hundred years. St. Cuthbert's, at Ushaw, disputes priority with St. Edmund's. Both have passed their century. St. Mary's, Oscott, was founded about eight years ago. The College of SS. Peter and Paul, at Prior Park, was founded about the year 1829. These four colleges were the work of the Vicars Apostolic, and perhaps no nobler evidence is to be found in England of their wisdom, foresight and faith. It was, indeed, an act of confidence worthy to be not only admired but imitated, when a Bishop of literal poverty laid the first stone of St. Cuthbert's College, on a bare hill overlooking the towers of Durham. It arose even then a work of small proportions. It is now a magnificent pile of many quadrangles, exceeding in its magnitude many of our ancient colleges. the fifth great college existing amongst us is the work of the Society of Jesus. In magnitude and appointments the college at Stonyhurst would worthily rank with the foundations of our Catholic ancestors. Such is at this time our provision for the higher education of Catholic youth. . . . The Catholic Church as the moter altrisque scientiarum has known how to press onward in raising the efficiency of its students, and in promoting the habits of conscientious and industrious intellectual cultivaton. What it has done it can still; what it did once in England it can do again. It has no need to go out of its own field to seek for an impulse or a stimulus to higher aims. Moreover, it retains-and we sorrow for England when we say it—it alone retains the method of study which has created the Christian civilization of the world. It retains, as a first axiom of truth, that all scionces, sacred and secular, flow from one source, and, as a first principle of the higher education of man, that these sciences can never be put asunder. The Christian philosophy, which made the ancient universities in vigor and solidity what they were, has given place to aphilosophy which claims as its perfection that it begins by lestroying all belief. From this sceptical development of the national intellect, penal laws, social exile, exclusion from public and national schools of learning have saved us. What the Supreme Pontiff laid down

as to the true basis of scientific education we still possess as an heirloom from our forefathers. We turn therefore, to you to aid us in this vital necessary, and arduous work. It is a work for the whole of England, and we appeal to you. As the pastors of the whole flock in England, we are responsible for the maintenance of the Catholic education of both clergy and laity. We are bound to hand it on as we have received it, pure and unchanged, without contact with modern and dangerous methods, untainted with the aberrations of science, talsely so-called, and with philosophy at variance with faith. We remind you once more of the warnings of the Supreme Pontiffaddressed to parents who expose their sons to the dangerous influence of systems contrary to the faith. Unless our system of education be completed, as an arch is tied by its key-stone, with a sound course of higher studies the Catholic youth of England, many of them at least, would not only enter life and its professions without adequate information, but would e compelled to seek their last studies from teachers and systems at least external to the unity of truth." Apropos of this subject, and as a comment also upon the recent materialistic pronunciamento of Professor Tyndal at Belfast, it may be interesting to read the following extracts from a recent letter of the Pope to the Chevalier Travaglini, M. D., who has established in Italy a school of philosophy and medicine combined, the members of which are required to be Catholics. The Pope says :-- "We have already, on a former occasion, felicitated you on your having formed the resolution of recalling medical science to the salutary principles of philosophy, from which it has too long gone astray, and on your intention of effecting this by means of physicians themselves, who are too often the authors and propagators of the errors of materialism. We congratulate you on your design to endeavor to re-establish the true doctrine as to the essence and orgin of things, principally with reference to human nature, about which medicine is concerned, so that to a great extent, it is found that the remedial means is derived from the same source as the ailment. And now we rejoice at the success which has attended your plans, and at the fact that Italian men of science, to the number of 100, have given their names to the nascent academy—a fact which seems to justify the prestige that at no distant time it will attain even more brilliant successes. We perceive with great pleasure that you are firmly resolved not to receive as members or associates any but such as are willing to defend the doctrines maintained and taught by the holy councils and this Apostolic See, and especially presented with an address of congratulation on his) the principles of the Angelical Doctor relating to the union of the intellectual soul with the human body; relating, also, to the substantial form and to the essence of matter. It is thus only that the mis-chief done by materialism to religion and science can be repaired. Under the influence of the truth. science herself will become emancipated from the darkness of error, and will go forward in the paths of . true progress."-Corr. of New York World. A "Scene" IN A GLARGOW POLICE COURT .-- A SOME-

what strange and fortunately not very common scene occurred, in the Southern Police Court, Glasgow, a few days ago, between the presiding magistrate-Bailie Young-and Mr. W. B. Faulds, writer. Mr. Faulds acted as agent for a woman who was charged with sheebeening, and succeeded in getting his client off. During the progress of the evidence, Bailie Young checked Mr. Faulds once or twice for putting statements into the mouths of witnesses, and received as a reply from Mr. Faulds that it was not his custom to do so, but in putting leading questions he was only imitating the example set by the Bench. A similar bandying of words occurred on the Bailie speaking to Mr. Faulds a second time on the same subject. Bailie Young in a somewhat emphatic manner said to Mr. Faulds he had no right to put leading questions, while the latter retaliated by saying he cared little for such remarks proceeding from such an authority, and that if his honor knew more about law, he would think otherwise.

The Manous of Ripon.—The Bien Public, of Ghent, says:—"The conversion of Lord Ripon appears to us, in the midst of the mourning of the Church, persecuted in its chief and its mission, as a