

scended to the servant's room. Seeing a man in the act either of getting in or out of the window, and supposing him to be a burglar, Mr. B. fired, and by a singular fatality the intruder was mortally wounded, and died almost instantaneously. It turned out that the intruder was one Felix Prior, a coachman, and a man of good character, who could hardly be suspected of burglarious intention. Nevertheless, the wire screen was found to have been forced open, and although the body was found some paces from the window, lying in the close, yet the evidence of Dr. Reddy was to the effect that the deceased, if he were in the act, or had the intention, of retreating at the time the ball struck him, might have staggered forward that distance, from the impulse of previous volition. The wound, moreover, indicated that the ball had been fired by a person on a level with the deceased, a fact which favoured the hypothesis that Prior was actually on a table below the window, inside the apartment, at the time the shot was fired.

Mr. Burrows, of course, immediately gave himself up. An inquest was held, and a verdict obtained that Mr. Burrows killed the deceased in the defence of his life and property. This verdict seeming to leave an imputation on the memory of Prior, proved very distasteful to his friends and relatives, though, it must be observed, that the real motive of Prior in endeavouring to enter the room has never been cleared up.

At the last term of the Court of Queen's Bench, Mr. Burrows was indicted before the grand jury, and a true bill found for manslaughter. Messrs. Kerr and Houghton were his counsel at the trial, Mr. Devlin appearing for the private prosecution. No new facts were elicited at the trial, and the jury found a verdict of *excusable homicide, not justifiable*, thereby clearing the character of the deceased from the imputation of felonious intention.

THE MONTREAL BAR.—The first examinations of candidates, under the amended by-laws, for admission to practice and study, took place on the 16th of October. The examinations, after this year, are to be held quarterly, and will be conducted by a number of sub-committees.

ADMISSIONS TO STUDY.

The following are the names of the gentlemen who have been admitted to the study of the law, by the Montreal Board of Examiners, since the 1st day of May, 1866.

Henry C. St. Pierre.....	7th May.
Emile Fauteux.....	"
Gustave Piché.....	"
Arthur Lacoste.....	"
A. F. D'Eschambeault.....	"
Adolphe Daoust.....	"
R. M. Howard.....	"
Stanislas Côté.....	4th June.
A. Roi.....	"
M. B. Bethune.....	"
W. J. Watts.....	"
Hector Marcheldon.....	"
J. N. Bienvenu.....	2nd July.
L. A. Brunet.....	"
A. B. Irvine.....	"
T. Vaillancourt.....	"
Joseph Dubuc.....	6th Aug.
John Keller.....	3rd Sept.
C. Arpin.....	"
L. Lafamme.....	"
A. Marsan.....	"
W. Fauteux.....	"
E. Cornwallis Monk.....	16th Oct.
Wentworth B. Monk.....	"

ADMISSIONS TO PRACTICE.

Name.	Date of Examination.	Date of commission.
Alphonse Lachapelle..	2nd April.	5th April.
Ulderic Bellemare....	7th May.	8th May.
Jean Robidoux.....	7th May.	15th May.
C. A. Geoffrion.....	4th June.	4th June.
Anthime Pilon.....	4th June.	4th June.
C. B. Carter.....	6th Aug.	5th Sept.
Thomas P. Butler....	"	27th Sept.
Pierre U. Duprat....	3rd Sept.	
Thomas A. Corriveau..	"	7th Sept.
Joseph U. Pouliot....	"	10th Sept.
R. A. A. Jones.....	"	5th Sept.
Gustave A. Drolet....	"	16th Oct.
Joseph T. St. Julien..	"	4th Sept.
Alphonse Jacques....	16th Oct.	17th Oct.
Wm. O. Farmer.....	"	17th Oct.
Louis Tellier.....	"	16th Oct.
John P. Noyes.....	"	"

H. L. SNOWDON, *Secretary.*