and proved the truth of it. Canada Shipping Co. v. Mail Printing and Publishing Co., in Review, Sicotte, Johnson, Cimon, JJ., April 30, 1885.

Testamentary executor—Grounds for removal from office—Mala fides and dishonesty

—C. C. 917, 282, 285.

Held, That a testamentary executor, whose administration exhibits dishonesty or bad faith, may be removed from office. Dishonesty on the part of the executor is shown in the present case; (a) by his placing obstructions in the way of the administration of the estate, in order to favor another estate in Which he has a greater interest; (b) by concealing from his co-executor a debt due by him to the estate; and (c) by his pleading in defence to an action by the estate, that he had been party to an evasion of the law, which plea, if successful, would destroy a security given to the estate. Mitchell et al. v. Mitchell, in Review, Torrance, Gill, Mathieu, JJ., Nov. 30, 1886.

APPEAL REGISTER—MONTREAL. Monday, March 21.

Schlbach & Stevenson.—Heard on merits. C.

Robillard & Dufaux.—Heard on merits. C.

Joyce & The City of Montreal.—Heard on merits. C.A.V.

Tuesday, March 22.

Cie de Navigation de Longueuil & Les Commissaires d'Ecole de Longueuil.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment, rejected.

Lapalme & Barré.—Motion to quash writ of appeal granted. Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment rejected.

from interlocutory judgment, rejected.

Leduc & Beauchemin.—Judgment confirmed.

Cooper et al. & McIndoe.—Judgment confirmed.

Motion for leave to appeal to Privy

Council, granted.

Gifford es qual. & Harvey et al.—Judgment

Taylor & Gendron.—Judgment confirmed.
Fellows Medical Co. & Lambe es qual.—Motion for substitution granted. Costs reserved.

Lowrey & Routh.—Heard on merits. C.A.V.

Durham Ladies' College & Tucker.—Case settled out of court.

Gilman & Exchange Bank of Canada.—Heard on merits. C.A.V.

Beaudry & Courcelles Chevalier, & Lord et al.
—Part heard.

Wednesday, March 23.

The Queen v. Cole or Bowen. (Two cases).— Heard on reserved case. C.A.V.

Dorion & Dorion.—Heard on motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment. C.A.V.

Beaudry & Courcelles Chevalier, & Lord et al.
—Hearing on merits concluded. C.A.V.

Ross & Brulé.—Heard. C.A.V.

Thursday, March 24.

Allan & Merchants Marine Ins. Co.—Motion for dismissal of appeal, granted for costs.

Massue & Corporation St. Aimé.—Heard. C.A.V.

Primeau & Giles.—Heard. C.A.V. Giles & Jacques.—Heard. C.A.V.

Saturday, March 26.

The Queen v. Cole or Bowen. (Two cases).—Conviction maintained.

Cie de Navigation de Longuevil & Cité de Montréal, & Taillon, Atty. Gen.—Judgment confirmed, Cross, J., diss.

Lebeau & Poitras.—Judgment reversed, each party paying his own costs in all the courts.

Canadian Pacific Railway Co. & McRae.— Judgment confirmed.

Robillard & Dufaux.—Appeal dismissed without costs.

Mail Printing Co. & Canada Shipping Co.— Judgment confirmed.

Fraser & McTavish.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment. C.A.V.

Judah & Boxer.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment. C.A.V.

Charbonneau & Charbonneau.—Appeal dismissed, no proceedings being taken within the year.

Jodoin & Lanthier, & Jodoin et al.—Petition for reprise d'instance granted.

Ryan & Sanche.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment. C.A.V.

Monday, March 28.

Dorion & Dorion.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment granted,