# SAVES LIFE AND LIMB

## Dr. Chase's Ointment works a remarkable cure in a stubborn leg sore that would not heal---Not a miracle but an almost incredible healing

Bedridden with a running sore on her leg, this Lunenburg, N. S., lady suffers untold agony-friends predict the loss of her limb—and maybe her life—but the recollection of the efficacy of Dr. Chase's Ointment years before came to her as an almost forgotten memory, and when, to use her own words, the sore seemed "almost beyond control"-past healing, she applied this great remedy with the faith of a child in its mother's care. Persistence and the marvellous healing power of Dr. Chase's Ointment gained the day and her testimony, unsolicited, from her own hand, affords just the evidence and will give just the confidence in its merits as an unfailing cure for all forms of skin and flesh ailments that half the suffering world is looking for -Read the testimony and take courage.



MRS. MARY N. LEVY

What Flesh Sore or Skin Torture Have You?

### "LOSE YOUR LEG AND MAYBE YOUR LIFE"----SO SAID HER FRIENDS

Some years ago I was afflicted with what the doctors named at the time Black Erysipelas. I was affected from thighs to my very toes—a very bad case and my life was at one time despaired of. I recovered from this dread disease and had no return of it, but some years later I noticed the veins on my leg under my knee were affected and very irritated. I did not pay much attention to it, as I thought it was caused by my being on my fee so much—but one evening on removing my stocking I found a little opening in my leg at a spot that had bothered me somewhat when I was recovering from the Erysipelas, and still I thought little of it, and said to myself it will not amount to much—but from that on I found every night my stocking was stuck fast to the sore spot and it kept growing until it was quite a size and became very bad. I had remembered once before using Dr. Chase's Ointment for some skin trouble, and, Oh! how I wished I had it then, for I believed that it would cure it. I used to tie a piece of cloth over the sore place, but that became very painful to remove, as it was always very fast to the leg when I got up in the mornings. The leg kept getting worse, till the opening was as large as the palm of my hand. It seemed to get almost past control and I felt it a very serious thing, for by this time I was unable to walk. I had to lay myself up. I went to Halifax to see my daughters, and while there my son-in-law advised me to see a doctor or I would likely have to have my leg amputated. In the meantime they procured some kind of a white salve from a drug store and a powder to bathe the leg with. I applied these, but the pain and the burning and the agony I suffered from their use-I thought would die that night, and felt sure I was poisoned and in my agony I tore the skin off with the bandage. I could not bear the suffering. I remembered I had a little box of Dr. Chase's Ointment in the house and I crept on my hands and knees to where it was. I got back to bed and without the rest of the people knowing anything of it I applied the Chase's Ointment, and

in quicker time than it is taking to write you this, the pain and that flery burning sensation left me and I lay down and had the most peaceful sleep I had had in weeks. In the morning I sent to the druggist's for another box 'Tell your mother not to be monkeying with that thing, but to see a doctor,' but I kept on treating the sore spot with the ointment and before I had used

the second box of it there was a marked change for the better and when I began using the third box the leg was healing rapidly, the sore closed up under the skin and a new outer skin formed over the sore and to-day I feel the matter with my leg-but I have been so nervous for fear it might return that I keep rubbing on the ointment at regular intervals. I cannot express in words how thankful I am for this great remedy, and while I can utter a word I will always have one of praise for Dr. Chase's Ointment, for I firmly believe that had it not been for it I would certainly have lost my leg and maybe my life.

"While I was suffering so with my leg and in the courre of my treatment for it I grew very low-spirited. I was not in condition of body to overcome the nervous strain and I came nearly breaking down completely, but amongst other good things that Dr. Chase has given to suffering woman-kind I had heard of Dr. Chase's Nerve Food. I secured it and found it just the medicine I needed to help me and make me strong and well again and I am to-day, at 42 years, one of the happiest of women-healed, well and strong by these great remedies, which Dr. Chase bequeathed to all who sufsufferers. I am sincerely and thankfully yours.'



**Eminent Doctors** Prescribe Dr. Chase's Ointment

Cures Erysipelas-Eczema Scalp Sores Open Sores on Body and Limbs-Bruises-Chapped Hands-Festering Sores-Ulcers-Ringworm-Rashes and a score more skin and flesh affections—A wonderful soother—Gives instant relief. Avoid substitutes. See that you get the genuine. Portrait and signature of A. W. Chase, M.D., on every box. 60 cents a box at all dealers, or Edmanson, Bates & Co., Toronto.

## WILD SCENE IN THE HOUSE.

Maclean, of Lunenburg, who had eded him, flouted the Minister of Marine and Fisheries, gibed at the heads

MR. FOSTER'S INSINUATION. Having thrown out the charge that folliday, Drolet and McAvity had struck a melodramatic attitude and,

sonally, used these words: What is the First Minister going to cuted these men? Does he intend to try to get back the money? And if not, is it because the First Minister himself has shared in the proceeds for party purposes?" With this extraordinary statement Mr. Foster closed his speech. After he had used the words, "Is it because the First Minister has shared in the proceeds?" the Speaker arose, evidently with the intention of calling Mr. Foster to order. Anticipating the Speaker's intention, Mr. Foster exclaimed, "Wait a moment," and then added the words, "for party purposes." men? Does he intend to try

SIR WILFRID'S REPLY.

and the reasons for the attitude we have that attitude, and it is entitled to know pelled us to oppose the present motion; but before I proceed any further I may be permitted to offer the mover of the peared to lose control of himself.

such a motion, involving such irritation, in a better and more dignified parlia-mentary style."

IN ITS NAKED DEFORMITY.

Mr. Foster Makes Bitter Attack on Sir Wilfrid.

He Replies That He Never Manipulated Trust Funds.

Then Pandemonium Reigned For Some Time.

Ottawa, April 2.— Parliamentary decorum was thrown to the winds, and assnational scene, which threatened at one stage to culminate in recourse to the services of the sergeant-at-arms, occurred the shown he mamed Drolet, Holliday and had put into their pockets mone conducted with admirable temper. As on more than one occasion when the House has fiared up, it was Mr. Foster who much the match to the powder. All had gone smoothly in the debate on Mr. Doherty's resolution calling for a sweeping investigation of all the spending departments, and the members were beginning to flatter themselves on the moderation which had marked the dis
IN TTS NAKED DEFORMITY.

Having paid this deserved tribute, Sir Wilfrid proceeded: "I am sorry I canme for North Toronto, Mr. Foster, see to a point of corder, fint was howded down by the Openition, Mr. Foster, responding to the genet Dr. Clark erities, my witty and pungent. But my hon, friend in the course, of his observations, after having offered by pungent criticism, went on to address me. He stated that certain persons whom he named Drolet, Holliday and Abd put into their pockets mone which threatened at one stage to culminate in recourse to the services of the sergeant-at-arms, occurred the services of the sergeant at-arms, occurred the services of the sergeant-at-arms, occurred the services of the sergeant-at-arms, occurred the services of the services of the sergeant-at-arms, occurred the services of the services of the sergeant-at-arms, occurred the services of the se

When Mr. Foster had resumed his seat and order was restored Sir Wilfrid proceeded: "There is no man more ready to make an insinuation than the hon, member for North Toronto, and no man who smarts so much when he receives a how. Lean say n he receives a blow. I can say, Speaker," added Sir Wilfrid, with hasis, "I never manipulated the old is money. I never manipulated

ust funds."

Then the storm broke. The Libals cheered wildly at Sir Wilfrid's
ords, while from the Opposition
enches there was a chorus of angry
ies. Mr. Foster, visibly pale, jumped
o his feet, and amid the hubbuh
as heard to exclaim: "I rise to a
junt of order." ont of order. The rules of order this House demand that no man hall say an offensive thing of an

THEY JUST LAUGHED. At this declaration from the master invective the Liberals roared with

"That is a plain rule of order," continued Mr. Foster. "The right hon, gentleman has put a statement in my mouth which I never made, and in his anger he refuses to allow me to correct him."

correct him."

There was a fresh outburst of disorder, during which Mr. Foster continued to address the Speaker with much gesticulation, his words being only partially heard. In disjointed sentences he managed to gesticulate; "He prefers to make an argument from a false statement rather than take a true statement, and then he proceeded to insinuate something with reference to myself."

Renewed disorder interrupted. Mr. Foster's protest, and when Col. Tal-

Foster's protest, and when Col. Tal-bot exclaimed: "Did you share in it?" the member for North Toronto ap

resolution, Judge Doherty, my very sincere congratulation upon the manner in which he has presented this question to the House. I do not admit his premises, still less his conclusions, but after an experience of many years in Parliament

I did and I will let him know whether I shared in it."

At this point the whole House was wild with excitement, the Liberal side-resounding with cries of "Take your medicine," and the Conservatives calling, "Take it back." While confusion teigned Dr. Clarke rose to a point of order, but was howled down by the Opposition. Mr. Foster, responding to the cries of the members around him, again tried to intervene, "I rise to a second point of order," he exclaimed, and then he was interrupted by the Speaker, who had been for some time vainly endeavoring to make himself heard. "Let us settle the first point," he suggested.

lown." By this time several inem-bers were on their feet attempting to make themselves heard, but only themselve will ever know what they

A HEART-SEARCHING OUERY.

A HEART-SEARCHING QUERY.

"Why all of this excitement?"
shouted Mr. Foster in a lull, himself so excited that the House saw the humor of it and indulged in a laugh.

But the mirth was only momentary.

"What is your point of order?" inquired the Speaker of Mr. Foster.

"My point of order," replied Mr. Foster, "is that in order to keep the debate decent there is a rule that no member of this House is allowed to say anything disagreeable of another."

This was too much for the Liberal members, who shouted in derision at

sentiment coming from the

member for North Toronto.

Still, Mr. Foster was not to be denied: "The Prime Minister has insinuated," he said, shaking his fist at
Sir Wilfrid Laurier, "that I manipulated trust funds. I throw it back in
his test."

his teeth."

More Liberal derision.

All this time Sir Wilfrid had remained a passive onlooker of the scene, but now he intervened, only to be interrupted by Mr. Foster, who loudly insisted upon his point of order being ruled upon by the Speaker.

"The Hon. Prime Minister is ready to speak to the point of order," said the Speaker.

Foster-All right, if he speaks

A MUCH BROKEN RULE.

Sir Wilfrid — The hon. gentleman ells us that it is a rule of the House hat no man has a right to speak of-

The Speaker—I appeal to both sides of the House. This debate must be carried on with decorum.

Mr. Foster—It would have been but for the Prime Minister.

Mr. Connee—What is the point of order?

from North Toronto, says that a mem-ber must not say a disagreeable thing about another member. I do not know

Loud Liberal choors greeted this

statement.

Mr. Foster—My point of order is that the Prime Minister made an offensive statement. He made an instinuation that I manipulated trust funds, and, he added, striking the desk vigorously, "I demand a retraction."

Mr. Commee—The Prime Minister said no such thing.

MEDICINE ALL ROUND.

Again the Prime Minister attempted to make himself heard, but again Mr. Foster prevented him from proceeding. "I ask," said Mr. Foster, "that the Right Hon, gentleman retract his statement." (Opposition cheers.) He is amenable to the the rules of this House and to common decency just as much as I am, and his followers will certainly have to take their medicine. This matter has come to a head now and we will have it tried out. Several members of this House have made insinuations against me, but this is the worst that I ever heard," shaking his fist at the Prime Minister.

"Take your medicine," came back the cry from the Liberal benches; the Opposition responding with "Make him take it back" 'Call the Sergeant-at-Arms," said Mr. Gauvreau as the storm of angry

MR. BORDEN INTERVENES. MR. BORDEN INTERVENES.
With flushed face, Mr. Borden rose, apparently with the aim of restoring peace. "I think," he said, with an air of enforced calm, "we should take the debate more quietly. I certainly understood—perhaps I misunderstood the Right Hon. gentleman—I hope I did—I certainly understood him to surgest in the plainest possible way. buggest in the plainest possible way hat the hon, member for North Toonto had been guilty of the manicpation of trust funds.

"Those were not the words," cried everal Liberal members. several Liberal members.

Mr. Borden—I am perfectly willing to accept—I am bound to accept—the statement of the Prime Minister as to what he intended, but I would suggest that the words either meant that or they meant nothing. If the Prime Minister is prepared to state that he did not mean that, of course the House is bound to take his word. But if he did mean that, then I venture to think that in the interest of good order in this House it would be desirable that the Right Hon. gentleman should take that expression back.

COURTEOUS, BUT HUMAN. COURTEOUS, BUT HUMAN.

Sir Wilfrid—I am a pretty old number now of this House. I have sat in Opposition. I have sat on the treasury benches, and I am not aware no man has a right to speak of of the House, in that of gentlemen vely of another.

onel Talbot—He said "disagree-linever said an offensive word to any-

did not prosecute certain parties of was because I was afraid of the consequences, because I had shared in the proceeds.

A QUERY NOT A CHARGE.

Mr. Foster—Will you allow me?

I state here as a member of the House and I call the Speaker to witness, that if I recollect my words they were not done, and I said, "Is it because you have shared!" As I uttered the word "shared" the Speaker rose and called me to order.

Mr. Commee—You said it twice.

TROUBLE IN THE CHORUS.

The Mr. Drolet, Mr. Holliday and Mr. McAvity because I had shared in the proceeds for party purposes, He meant to say that to me personally.

Dr. Sproule—No objection was taken at the time.

Sir Wilfrid—And now when I tell him that I will not tolerate being insulted in this House he becomes still more of the said. "Is it because you have shared!" As I uttered the word "shared" the Speaker rose and called me to order.

TROUBLE IN THE CHORUS.

EAPLIANATION SUFFICIENT.

Sir Wilfrid—All the explanation which the hon, gentleman gives me is that he said I shared in the profits for the party interest. That is to say, instead of putting it in my pocket I put it into the pocket of the party. Sir, I will not accept any such accusation as that. (Loud Liberal cheers.) Then, sir, I said I never manipulated any trust funds. I never touched any money, political or otherwise, and my money, political or otherwise, and aving said that much I can only prowe are too old Parliamentarians ster has indulged in. I never car-to fight, but I am not afraid of a

with cries of "Sit down."

Nope I Sir Wilfrid-What is your point of order?

Sir Wilfrid-What is your point of order?

THAT FORGOTTEN RULE AGAIN.

Mr. Foster—It seems to be laughed aning or of all little, but there is a dule of order?

THAT for (quoting from the book of rules): of aning or of any person administering the government of Canada, or use offensive words either against the House or of any person administering the government of Canada, or use offensive words either against the House or of any person administering the government of Canada, or use offensive words either against the House or against any member thereo?" Now, the Right Hon, gentleman turned to did with seemed to be perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the point of the Honse, as it was perfectly apprehing to the honse, an

like these: I was asking why it was not done, and I said, 'Is it because you have shared' the speaker rose and called me to order.

Mr. Commee—You said it twice, 'Mr. Taylor Ob, you keep quiet, Mr. Commee—You had better keep quiet, Mr. Foster—There is a gentleman (morning to Mr. Commee) who sits there and insults me.

Mr. Foster—There is a gentleman (morning to Mr. Commee) who sits there and insults me.

Mr. Foster—I think the Sergeant-at-Arms should take care of him.

A great uproar followed this suggestion.

Proceeding, Mr. Foster said; 'I' was explaining that the Speaker was about to call me to order when I finished my sentence exactly as I intended, and I said 'for the party or the interest of the party?'

Renewed disorder.

Sir Wilfrid—All the explanation which the hon, gentleman had not shave spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I would not have spoken to me as he did I have no nestitation in saying that in speaking as I did I applied my words to the hon, member for North Toronto.

Sir Williad the applied my words to the hon, member for North Toronto. SiR WILFID WITHDRAWS. There may be an inference to the form which the hon, member for North Toronto. SiR WILFID WITHDRAWS. There should be no misuader standing on this point, I am merely trying to apply the rule. No offensive word can be used by any member, but the hon, the hon thave spoken as I did. I have no nesting the try to rule of the Hou

ably."

Sir Wilfrid — Is he willing to be judged by that rule?

Mr. Foster—Certainly.

Sir Wilfrid — He had better sid down quietly and accept it. Is his memory so short that he does not re
I have tried to carry on debate in this House. I have tried to be to struct funds.

Cries of "The cap must fit."

Sir Wilfrid — He had better sid house, heat, sir, I am human after all.

(Loud Liberal cheers.) When an own quietly and accept it. Is his memory so short that he does not re
if I did not take a certain course, if I accept that he asked me if I did not prose
to a statement made by the heat manufactor of the carry on debate in this House for the carry on debate in this House. I have tried to be to suffer you. Will find the see questions subritted to you by the heat five mine debate in this House, heat, it also have tried to be the same members of this rule to the House, and the long enterman within the last five mine the seminary of the same members of the same members of the same for your by the heat five questions subritted to you be the heat five questions subritted to you by the heat five questions subritted to you be the heat five questions subritted to you by the heat five questions subritted to you by the heat five question

tinetly admitted that he intended them to apply to the hon, member for North Toronto.

phenations on both sides, the debate had better proceed.

MR. FOSTER'S ACTUAL WORDS.

Despite the fact that Sir Wilfrid had the floor, and remained standing, Mr. Foster arose and attempted to address the chair, amid cries of "order" and "sit down," "take it back," etc.

In the meantime Sir Wilfrid had secured a transcript from Hansard of the words used by Mr. Foster. "Here is what Mr. Foster said this afternoon," he continued: "Is it because you share in it—just wait, Mr. Speaker—for party interest and for party advantage?" That is what he calls not offensive. Well, I can leave that to the judgment of the House, and get back to the general subject."