

seem to have made you fret, because you cannot question their truth and dare not asperse his name. "On the genuine grounds of separation, from the Church of Rome," says that distinguished luminary of the *Church of England*, "all particular Churches are co-ordinate; they have all the same right in an equal degree, and the decisions of one are, in point of authority, on the same level with those of another." It is quite incontrovertible, that the Churches of England and Scotland are both separatists from the Church of Rome, that the grounds of separation were more numerous in the latter case than in the former, and, in short, that the one underwent a more thorough purification than the other. On these grounds, (whatever be their number or their nature) according to Blackburn, they are *co-ordinate*, equal in authority and in rights. This no doubt is revolting to the feelings of a Scotch Episcopalian, but I cannot help that, for it is the fact. And it is not because the one is in Scotland and the other in England on the footing of *National Establishments* that I view them as co-ordinate, but, as Blackburn expresses it, "on the genuine grounds of separation from the Church of Rome." In addition to all this, they have one Head—are under one Government—receive support from the same kind of revenues—and are one in principle. The establishment of the Romish along with the English Episcopacy in Canada, was an express article of capitulation, and only proves what the Bishop of Calcutta was disposed to deny, that two establishments *may exist* in one country. They are not co-ordinate on the grounds of separation from the Church of Rome. You dare not for the life of you deny that as the Churches of England and Scotland they are co-ordinate where they exist, and where do they exist but in North and South Britain? Did I affirm that the Church of Scotland had found its way across the Atlantic? If I had done so, I would have expressed myself as incorrectly as you do when you virtually say that the Church of England had found winds and waves to carry her across. The Church of Rome was once the Church of England, (to use the same phraseology) the Church of England is now the Church of Nova-Scotia and

and New-Brunswick. Scotland may be said that England and that the Church supports a false doctrine would have been reproach for my mind at correct in position vocation,

But what Kirk, which in absolute that the Church be exclusive Monarch of by you and are not quite Dr. Johnson "The collected adhering to which Christ different merits of Holy M.A. of St. John's Episcopacy as you account of institutions have, been attached the faithful with their first Nymphs, c. xvi. 5. Phil Paul writes that as our Article dria and Rome the Church