

larly to the attention of the plain people of Upper Canada. We have been rather astounded at hearing of the Petition of the *Right Reverend the Lord Bishop* of Toronto, and of the Memorial of John, by *Divine Permission, Bishop* of the aforesaid city. 'Let me not, I pray you, accept any man's person; neither let me give flattering titles unto man. For I know not to give flattering titles: in so doing my Maker would soon take me away.'

IV. I am not a member of the Episcopal Church of England, because of the large and unsuitable *emoluments* bestowed on and received by most of the bishops of the church. The revenues of the various English and Welsh bishops are as follows,—and the account is from the Report of the Commissioners appointed to inquire into the Ecclesiastical Revenues of England and Wales, and published in 1835 :

	Net income.		Net income.
CANTERBURY	£19,182	Lincoln	£4,542
London	13,929	Llandaff	924
Winchester	11,151	Norwich	5,395
St. Asaph	6,301	Oxford	2,648
Bangor	4,464	Peterborough	3,103
Bath and Wells	5,946	Rochester	1,459
Bristol	2,351	Salisbury	3,939
Chichester	4,229	Worcester	6,569
St. Davids	1,897		
Ely	11,105	YORK	12,629
Exeter	2,713	Durham	19,066
Gloucester	2,262	Carlisle	2,213
Hereford	2,516	Chester	3,261
Lichfield and Coventry	3,923	Sodor and Man	2,555

Here is £159,482 divided among 27 officers of the church, being, on an average, £5,536 10s. each man. Surely, on the article of income, there is no resemblance to the apostles. If this property belong to the church, the church should improve the talent, and not cast it away. To waste so much money, when it might be applied to the promotion of the glory of God by the propagation of the Gospel in pagan lands, and when the want of money is the great hindrance to the furtherance of the truth, is iniquity, and cannot be justified. Yet I blame not the men who receive the incomes, so much as the church which bestows them; and hope that the men use better their talent than the church which so profusely shares it among them. 'I will not be burdensome to you; for I seek not yours, but you.'

V. I am not a member of the Episcopal Church of England, because I cannot approve of spiritual men meddling needlessly in *civil affairs*. The bishops of the church taking seats in the House of Lords, and arguing and voting on all kinds of secular questions, is an impropriety against the religious offices which they fill. As the Roman state got on very well without the interference of the apostles or their immediate successors, and as the church prospered in Rome for 300 years without the emperors, and in the colonies without the assistance of the proconsuls; so the British senate and churches would suffer no loss, if the bishops should withdraw from