

Ottawa, December 5, 1932.

Sir. -

Re The King vs. Town of Carlyle. Your reference No. 3.5813.

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your letters of the 7th and 20th ultime, respectively, in the above matter, and for the consideration of your Agents, Messre. Gordon & Gordon, in Regime, to submit the following observations:

- (1) It is the contention of the Department that the original surrender by the Indians of the White Bear Reserve and dated May 22, 1908, and the lease subsequently issued to the Town of Carlyle, are both invalid.
- (2) The foun of Carlyle is at present in occupation of a considerable portion of the Unite Sear Reserve not included in the burrender of 1908. This fact is referred to in your agent's letter of August 20th last.
- (3) In addition to the proposed adjustment of the existing situation, the Town of Carlyle wishes to obtain a lease of an additional portion of the recove for summer resert development.

The Indian Act provides that leases cannot issue except based upon a surrender or release by the Indian essee, and it will be apparent, therefore, in this case, that any restrangement or any revision of the existing lease sommet be undertaken or sunsidered pending a re-submission of the whole matter to the Eard.

The Deputy Minister of Justice,

Indian Affairs. (RG 10, Volume 7542, File 29,108-8, pt. 1).

or the second second

PUBLIC ARCHIVES ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES CANADA

