

country, the esoteric rituals of the Lodge, or any portion of them, are employed in Preceptories, there cannot remain a doubt but that the action of the Lodge, in discipline, is paramount, and, necessarily, governs. If the latter determines that a member is unfit for its associations, it would be folly to say that the Preceptory is justified in reversing or disregarding its judgment. It may be unwise that Templar Masonry should be held in subserviency to the Craft, but so long as we insist upon our present relations we do not see any escape from the conclusion that suspension or expulsion in the Lodge is *ipso facto* suspension and expulsion in the Preceptory.

Upon these remarks of your Committee for 1878:—

"The Convent General is the Supreme Body of all Templars. Our Great Priory is the peer of the United States Encampment and the Provincial Grand Bodies in the various Provinces of the Dominion are the equivalents of the various State Commanderies."

This is the comment:—

We call attention of our supreme authorities to this subject. In confirmation of this view of our Dominion brethren, we find that they have established fraternal relations with the Great Pories of England and Wales, and Ireland.

And concludes:—

Sir Knight Dartnell does not draw our attention to many of his own thoughts; it is a thoughtful production however.

England and Wales received but the briefest notice.

In remarking upon the Sir Knights of Kansas attending and aiding a temperance meeting, the following dignified rebuke is administered, and is here concurred in:—

It was never designed that Masonry should be assimilated with any other organization in conducting its affairs. It has a mission