

elapse before the spelling of the Province would be reduced to the level which has made so notorious the spelling of the Education Office.

The second charge preferred against the Superintendent is that of altering the figures of Examiner Daly over his own signature. This charge, though one of so grave import, constituting as it does a crime punishable by law, as well as a grave offence against the special enactment which, in all its details, the Superintendent is sworn to administer righteously, is at the same time one on which it is not necessary that I dwell at very great length, for the simple reason that the proof is so convincing and covers exactly the same ground as the first charge, so far as Examiner Daly's envelopes are concerned. The proof, therefore, of charge No. 1, as respects this Examiner's envelopes, is also the proof of this charge. Every liberty seems to have been taken with this Examiner's work. If any alterations were necessary to be made in order to accomplish his purpose, Father Daly's envelopes were those practised upon. With no other Examiner were such liberties taken—a fact, as it appears to me, into which both Father Daly and the Government should institute careful enquiry as to the cause of such a proceeding, which in the minds of not a few is and will be regarded as a circumstance more significant than should prove palatable either to the Government, that gentleman himself, or the clerical portion of his co-religionists in this city. In the case of the other Examiners, he could not so practice upon them with impunity, as they keep a private record which is an effectual check against any such irregularity. It may be, and doubtless is, the fact that no such check is kept by Father Daly, else he would have referred to it to establish the Superintendent's innocency. If he has any such private record as the other Examiner's keep, it will be very easy to determine from it what were the original numbers on these envelopes which now show marks of alteration, as well as those which are spoken of as, and doubtless are, duplicates of the original.

The third charge, which is *concealment of the envelopes mutilated by his alterations*, would require the presence of witnesses at the time in the office for POSITIVE proof. Of these, however, there was none. Apart, then, from the conviction of the truthfulness of it—which the proof of the two preceding charges, and all the circumstances of the case, including the disposition, so strong in the human mind, to conceal guilt, convey—apart from these, my chief proof lies in the fact, which may possibly be now forgotten, that very shortly after I made complaint last September, and received the instructions which I did from the Premier, I told Mr. Robertson that I was unable to make any comparison of one of the memos. and envelopes of Station G, (Baddeck), in consequence of an envelope being missing. Mr. R. immediately told me to ask him for it, which I did, and three days after received an envelope corresponding to the one which had been missing. As this circumstance may be forgotten by Mr. R., the