

Then comes a charge of forgery, to wit: "In quoting the Duke of Portland's Despatch of the 4th November, 1797, authorizing the appropriation of lands for support of Grammar Schools—we have this honest assailant of the College, deliberately substituting the word 'free' for the word 'four' in the passage where the Duke says that 'on the government grants four Grammar Schools were to constitute the first charge.' My reply is, that in the Duke of Portland's Despatch the word *four* does not once occur, but that on the contrary the following passage does occur: "He, [His Majesty George III.,] has condescended to express His Most Gracious intention to comply with the wishes of the Legislature of his Province of Upper Canada in such manner as shall be judged most effectual: first by the establishment of *Free Grammar Schools* in the districts in which they are called for." I trust that our Legislators will, while within easy distance of the journals verify this quotation. It will be found in the journal of the Assembly for 1831, appendix page 103, (York: John Casey, 1831.)

The *Telegraph's* reviewer then declares that he finds it stated (falsely) in the Pamphlet, (p. 9), that the Governor referred in the opening speech of 1830, to the support of Upper Canada College. My reply is, that the reviewer finds in the Pamphlet no statement of the kind, but that he and every one else may find (on page 9), a statement to the effect that the Governor in a *Message* (sent down to the House on Feb. 4, 1830), suggested the maintenance of Upper Canada College by Parliament—which, as a matter of fact, he did.

My accuser charges that, in citing an Address of the House of Assembly in 1831, I have quoted the Address as containing the words "Grammar School Reserves." My reply is, that here, as in other places, my amiable reviewer generously supplies the quotation marks, and then charges that the manufactured quotation is not correct. The exact words of the Legislative Address are, that His Excellency "may be pleased to communicate to the House copies of all such documents as His Excellency may be in possession of, which authorize the survey, reservation, sale or appropriation of certain lands in this Province called School Townships;" (Journal of Assembly, 1831, Friday, Jan. 21). Now, does my reviewer on the one hand deny that the Grammar School Reserves are, by the language of the Legislature, intended; or does he, on the other hand, affirm that any school reservation other than that for Grammar Schools existed in this Province in 1831? If he does not deny the one, or affirm the other, wherein can I be justly accused of falsifying the records of Parliament, when I say in my Pamphlet, (p. 10), without professing to give an exact quotation from the Address, that "*An Address was passed requesting His Excellency to lay before the House all documents relating to the Grammar School Reserves.*"

My accuser charges that in a quotation from an Address of the House in 1836, I have interpolated the word "*secret.*" My reply is that my reviewer here states what he must be fully aware is a malicious untruth. The quotation supplied in the Pamphlet, (p. 17), is strictly correct, and may be found on the journal of the House of Assembly, 1836, Wednesday, Jan. 20, p. 41, (Toronto: M. Reynolds, 1836.)