

*S.O. 43*

for Canada of a Garrison diversion plan that allows the transfer of Missouri River biota into the Hudson Bay basin.

**Madam Speaker:** Such a motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

**ENERGY**

OIL SUPPLIES FOR ATLANTIC CANADA—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Brian Tobin (Humber-Port au Port-St. Barbe):** Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. In view of the fact that Premier Lougheed of Alberta has at his disposal some \$6 billion in the Alberta Heritage Fund, in view of the fact that Premier Lougheed during a recent visit to Atlantic Canada discussed lending some \$100 million to Newfoundland's Premier Peckford, in view of the fact that during the same Atlantic visit Premier Lougheed discussed investing Alberta Heritage money in Cape Breton's mines with Premier Buchanan, in view of the fact that Premier Lougheed also talked with Premier Hatfield of New Brunswick about loans and investment dollars, and in view of the fact that all three aforementioned premiers have developed a sudden need to restate their support for Premier Lougheed's demand for the world price for oil produced in Alberta, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Cape Breton-East Richmond (Mr. Dingwall):

● (1410)

That this House of Commons affirms its desire to provide Atlantic Canadians with oil as cheaply as possible, despite what the premiers of Atlantic Canada are saying.

**Madam Speaker:** Such a motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

**INDUSTRY**

INDUSTRIAL BENEFITS STEMMING FROM NEW FIGHTER AIRCRAFT CONTRACT—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Dan McKenzie (Winnipeg-Assiniboine):** Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. In the recent contract signed between the federal government and McDonnell Douglas for the new fighter aircraft, the only province mentioned to receive industrial spin-off benefits is Quebec.

Given the fact that Canadians were led to believe the industrial spin-off benefits stemming from that contract would be spread equitably across Canada when, in fact, the ministers

involved have stated on a number of occasions that Quebec will receive 48 per cent of the benefits from the contract, while Ontario will receive 39 per cent and the rest of Canada will receive only 12 per cent, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Lisgar (Mr. Murta):

That the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce, the Minister of National Defence and the Minister of Supply and Services make an immediate statement on motions with regard to what Manitoba will receive in industrial benefits stemming from the McDonnell Douglas contract, and that the government call an immediate meeting with the Manitoba aerospace industry to secure adequate industrial benefits for the province of Manitoba.

**Madam Speaker:** Such a motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

**REGIONAL ECONOMIC EXPANSION**

MODERN TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM FOR ATLANTIC PROVINCES—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Hon. J. Robert Howie (York-Sunbury):** Madam Speaker, I rise, under the provisions of Standing Order 43, on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity.

Whereas the Department of Regional Economic Expansion is responsible for development programs in the Atlantic region and is insufficiently funded for this purpose, and whereas transportation disparities are a deterrent to development in Atlantic Canada, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Fundy-Royal (Mr. Corbett):

That the Minister of Regional Economic Expansion be directed to prepare and lay before this House a blueprint to put in place a badly needed modern transportation system in the Atlantic provinces as a major measure to enable the Atlantic provinces to help themselves.

**Madam Speaker:** Such a motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

**NUCLEAR DEVELOPMENT**

CALL FOR FORUM FOR PUBLIC DEBATE—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Mark Rose (Mission-Port Moody):** Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 and I shall limit myself to two "whereases."

Since the government does not appear anxious to provide an especially wide scope for public involvement in the review of Canadian nuclear policy now under way within the bureaucracy, and because what the country needs is a full public airing of all the issues and side issues touching on nuclear development, including the crucially important question of Canadian