

Order Paper Questions

SUPPORT FUNDS TO CERCLE MOLIERE FROM 1970 TO 1975

Question No. 801—**Mr. Epp:**

Were any support funds granted to Cercle Molière by the government in the years (a) 1970 (b) 1971 (c) 1972 (d) 1973 (e) 1974 (f) 1975?

Hon. John Roberts (Secretary of State):

Fiscal Year	Amount
1969-1970	\$16,800
1970-1971	14,500
1971-1972	15,500
1972-1973	24,000
1973-1974	20,000
1974-1975	15,000
1975-1976	10,000

NEW FEDERAL BUILDING, CHARLOTTETOWN, PEI

Question No. 866—**Mr. Herbert:**

Has a design team been selected for the new building that is to be constructed in Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island by the Department of Veterans Affairs and, if so, what is their name?

Mr. Alexandre Cyr (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Public Works): No.

AGRICULTURE—GROSSE-ÎLE QUARANTINE CENTRE

Question No. 881—**Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse):**

1. In each of the past five years, have any animals from Europe been examined at the Grosse-Île, Quebec Quarantine Centre and, if so, how many?

2. For the same years, did the Department of Agriculture buy Canadian bred animals for use in the Grosse-Île's laboratories and, if so (a) how many (b) from whom (c) at what cost?

Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture): 1. Yes. 1971-72, 234; 1972-73, 227; 1973-74, 239; 1974-75, 241; 1975-76, 241; Total, 1,182.

2. Yes.

	(a)	(b)	(c)
1972	45	L. Carron, l'abattoir de Montmagny, Montmagny, Quebec	\$922.50
1973	Nil		
1974	Nil		
1975	3	Dwight Joynt, Low, P.Q.	\$525
	1	G. Lusk, Luskville, P.Q.	\$200
	2	B. Seabrooke, N. Gower, Ont.	\$250
1976	6	Walter Foster, RR No. 1, Richmond, Ontario	\$1,020

[Mr. Whelan.]

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES RESTRAINT ACT

AMENDMENT TO REMOVE CERTAIN RESTRICTIONS RESPECTING TRAINING ALLOWANCE RATES

The House resumed, from Wednesday, November 17, consideration of the motion of Mr. Andras that Bill C-19, to amend or repeal certain statutes to enable restraint of government expenditures, be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Estimates.

Mr. Bob Brisco (Kootenay West): Mr. Speaker, last week when it was necessary to terminate my contribution to the debate on this bill I had discussed certain concerns with regard to the outflow of Canadian dollars into the United States. Having said that, I would like to move on to continuing complaints, perhaps more of a keening and wailing from the Liberal benches such as we are hearing right now—

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Brisco:—that we oppose, as we should; that we criticize, as we should. If hon. members opposite have a sensible contribution to make, I suggest they wait until they hear what I have to say. I do not mind criticism as long as it is constructive, but when one has the floor and has to listen to aimless drivel from the other side it is not particularly enjoyable.

One concern advanced by the hon. member for Comox-Alberni (Mr. Anderson), that the official opposition does not offer credible alternatives, is a pack of rubbish. I suggest to the hon. member for Comox-Alberni, as I suggest to all members on the Liberal backbenches, that he read the speeches made in this House by members of the opposition on Bill C-68. I suggest that he look at the constructive criticism and the amendments that were in fact accepted by that government and introduced by the opposition.

I also suggest that members opposite look at the constructive criticism which was so effective with regard to Bill C-83. That died on the floor of this House because the criticism forced the minister to hold back rather than continue during the month of July. If the Minister of Justice was anxious to see that bill go through in the form in which it was presented, it would have gone through at that time. If the government really felt it was right, we would not have adjourned. It is not a question of the opposition wanting to spend more—as the hon. member for Comox-Alberni maintained in his speech on Bill