

House of Commons Prayer

[English]

AIR CANADA**FINANCIAL OPERATIONS—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS
CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION**

Mr. David Orlikow (Winnipeg North): Mr. Speaker, under the provisions of Standing Order 43 I move, seconded by the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles):

That this House urge the Minister of Transport to inquire from the officers of Air Canada as to whether they have plans to centralize all finance and accounting procedures of the company in Montreal, thus displacing Air Canada workers now stationed in Winnipeg and Toronto, and if so to urge Air Canada in light of the Prime Minister's assurances of increased federal government work in western Canada to urge that such consolidation be considered to be directed to Winnipeg.

Mr. Speaker: Under the terms of Standing Order 43 the hon. member's motion requires unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent. The motion cannot be put.

* * *

[Translation]

AIR TRANSPORT**TORONTO AREA—TABLING OF TERMS OF REFERENCE OF
INDEPENDENT STUDY COMMITTEE**

Hon. Jean Marchand (Minister of Transport): Mr. Speaker, under Standing Order 41(2) I would like to table in the two official languages the terms of reference for the independent board of examination related to the air transportation needs of the Toronto region.

Mr. Speaker: Does the hon. member for Sherbrooke wish to be recognized on motions? The hon. member for Sherbrooke.

* * *

[English]

HOUSE OF COMMONS**FORM OF PRAYER—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT
TO MOVE MOTION**

Mr. Irénée Pelletier (Sherbrooke): Mr. Speaker, in accordance with the terms of Standing Order 43 I seek the unanimous consent of the House to move a motion in connection with the prayer which Your Honour recites each day. The last time the House dealt with the form of the prayer was in 1877. That fact alone suggests to me that the matter ought to be sent to a committee of the House for consideration.

[Translation]

With the unanimous consent of this House, Mr. Speaker, I should like to move:

[Mr. Speaker.]

That a special committee of the House of Commons, comprised of members of all creeds, be instructed to examine and report on a prayer more in keeping with the ecumenical spirit of our times.

Mr. Speaker: The House had heard the motion of the hon. member. Pursuant to Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there such unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not and the motion cannot therefore be put.

* * *

[English]

NORTHERN AFFAIRS**NORTHWEST TERRITORIES SUPREME COURT—REQUEST
FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION**

Mr. Wally Firth (Northwest Territories): Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to present a motion under the provisions of Standing Order 43 dealing with a situation in my constituency which calls for an immediate assurance by the House. This can be done very briefly and without debate. I would therefore move, seconded by the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Howard):

That this House reaffirm its confidence in the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent.

Mr. Howard: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, arising from the assessment Your Honour made of the response to your inquiry whether there was unanimous consent to the motion proposed by my hon. friend from the Northwest Territories, I point out that half the cabinet were applauding the motion and obviously they were not saying no. Perhaps Your Honour should test the motion again.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby.

Mr. Howard: Then it was the other half of the cabinet that said no.

* * *

INDUSTRY**INCREASE BY FORD MOTOR COMPANY IN PRICE OF 1974
MODELS—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE
MOTION**

Mr. Edward Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the terms of Standing Order 43 to propose a motion on a matter of urgent necessity arising from yesterday's announcement by the Ford Motor Company of Canada of price increases for its 1974 models. In view of