

*Criminal Code*

only in Creditiste ridings that constituents are writing to their M.P. In fact, we have received letters from all over Canada.

• (8:40 p.m.)

I can declare that consciences agree on one point in Canada and even in constituencies whose representatives are Liberals, the reactions are alike.

I have even received a letter from the constituency of Chambly-Rouville. I would like to quote a few excerpts.

Richelieu, March 15, 1969. Mr. Roland Godin, M.P. for Portneuf.

Sir, I wish to write you a few words, first to commend you and your colleagues for the fine job that you are doing in the House of Commons in Ottawa—

The letter goes on in the same vein but, out of modesty, I will spare you part of it.

However, the man who signed the letter tells me:

I wrote to my member of parliament, Bernard Pilon, telling him not to vote like a puppet—

He adds in brackets: \$18,000 a year is quite a price to pay for a mere puppet. I continue:

I also wrote to the Prime Minister, Mr. Trudeau, and the Minister of Justice, Mr. Turner, asking them to leave the Liberal members free to vote according to their conscience and their faith, if they have not forgotten it.

Herewith copy of the letter I sent to the hon. Jean-Paul Deschâtelets, Speaker of the Senate, asking him to assume his responsibilities.

Mr. Speaker, not only have the constituents of Chambly-Rouville lost confidence in their member of parliament but they now mistrust the Speaker of the other place, and I give you proof of that by reading the letter in question:

Chambly-Rouville, March 14, 1969

Hon. Jean-Paul Deschâtelets,  
Speaker of the Senate  
Parliament Buildings  
Ottawa, Ont.

Dear Sir,

Please allow me to express my opinion, as well as that of the people of our beautiful county of Chambly-Rouville. And as a taxpayer of all kinds of taxes and surtaxes, direct and indirect as the case may be, I make this my duty. Our M.P., who represents us all in Ottawa, appears to have become a nothing, a yes-man, a lunkhead and a fink, since he was elected and sent in Ottawa to defend our interests and get acquainted with the legislation put before the House of Commons, so that those that are passed will be for the best interest of the people of this county who are honest, righteous and worthy of their beliefs. Such laws must also protect morals, the unwritten law and the ten Commandments written on the tables of the Law, made of stone; they will remain there

[Mr. Godin.]

for all time. I do not think that a government, a Member of Parliament or a Minister of Justice should be allowed to pass a law that would abolish the Lord's Commandments.

Mr. Speaker of the Senate, it is beyond understanding that intelligent men like Mr. Pierre Elliott Trudeau, who has said, as I read in the papers, that he was baptized and was practicing his religion, would conceive in 1968, when he was Minister of Justice, the notorious omnibus bill, hateful and degrading. And that he would put it in the hands of the present minister, Mr. John Turner, to have it baptized in parliament, where our M.P.'s are the godfathers and godmothers of the people of Canada—

And here again, Mr. Speaker, this man goes on to say that a Gallup poll has shown that 92 per cent of the Canadian people are believers, and then he states that members of the Senate will never forget that poll. And he goes on to say:

To legalize homosexuality between adults means to popularize it wilfully, between adults as well as between adults and young people, as it will not be practical to check it, meaning that policemen have no right to intervene in such cases.

To vote for this hateful and degrading bill out of false principles, is to open the door wide to other legislation contrary to the indefeasible rights of man.

This letter bears the initials of a constituent of Chambly-Rouville.

I apologize for quoting this letter before the Hon. Jean-Paul Deschâtelets sent us a copy.

In short, Mr. Speaker, under clause 7, we are simply being asked to legalize male prostitution. How did the great and noble Liberal party come to be so lenient towards unnatural acts, for it is not by granting all freedom to inverters that the evil will be checked. And to repeat that the State has no business in bedrooms is not very serious, when the crime which is being committed there endangers a whole civilization.

Since we are told again and again that the homosexual is ill, the State should act accordingly. And before accepting the money of the dear boys for the electoral fund, the Liberal party through its Minister of National Health and Welfare should have suggested adequate care.

An intelligent man, the secretary of the province of Quebec, Mr. Yves Gabias, a lawyer, and a citizen of Trois-Rivières gave us his impressions during the fall in 1967, and I quote an article published in *L'Action* in December 1967:

The provincial secretary, Yves Gabias, who is also the member for Trois-Rivières, has protested, along with many others, against some clauses of Bill C-195—