

APPENDIX No. 3

Q. Yet you come here and swear that that horse was 17 or 18 years of age. Do you want to qualify that statement now?—A. Do I want to qualify it? I do not understand you.

Q. You still swear that the Bowlby horse was 16 or 17 years of age?—A. I told you a minute ago I may have been mistaken a year or two in her age.

Q. I want to ask you if you are as positive now as you were when you gave your direct evidence?—A. My opinion is the same, I may have spoken then a little more quickly than I would to-day but I would say my opinion is the same.

Q. You are more cautious to-day?—A. Should be after the examination I have seen here, should I not?

Q. Do you want to qualify the statement you made the other day which was unqualified, that the Bowlby horse was 17 or 18 years of age?—A. If qualifying means that I might be mistaken in a year or two, it would seem to be as likely to be older as younger.

Q. If Ingraham Bowlby came here and swore that the horse was under 12 years of age this spring, what would you say?—A. I would believe him.

Q. You would say you were mistaken?—A. I would say I was mistaken.

Q. Do you know Samuel B. Chute of Berwick?—A. I do.

Q. You know him?—A. Yes.

Q. Is he a good citizen?—A. Yes.

Q. Is he a good judge of horses?—A. I do not think so.

Q. You have not much of an opinion of him as a horse man?—A. As a horse man.

Q. Is he a good citizen?—A. A good man.

Q. Did you see the horses that he sold?—A. Did I see them?

Q. Yes?—A. I may have seen them, I think I seen them led into the yard that day.

Q. Did you know the two horses?—A. Not to say, know them.

Q. Are they good or fair?—A. I would suppose they would be good, because he usually has nothing else.

Q. And yet he is not a good horse man?—A. No, sir, he won't tell you he is.

Q. Does he get these good horses by good luck?—A. He sends his foreman to buy them for him.

Q. You say you don't know anything about the value of them. If Samuel Chute came on the stand and swore that in his judgment all of the horses bought at Berwick were good value for the money, what would you say?—A. I would say he erred in judgment badly.

Q. Because in your opinion they were not good value?—A. Yes.

Q. Do you know Arthur A. Vineau, of Aylesford?—A. I know there is a man there called Arthur Vineau.

Q. You do not know him personally?—A. There is a large family of those moved in, and I do not know that I would know one particularly from the other. They have only moved in just lately.

Q. Do you know E. J. McKenna of Kingston?—A. I do.

Q. Is he a good citizen, a reputable man?—A. I think so.

Q. If he should come upon the stand and swear that he personally supervised the stabling and loading of 80 horses purchased at Kingston and observed them, and that they were good horses, what would you say with respect to that statement?—A. I would say that there is no danger of his doing it.

Q. You do not believe he would do it. I see. Do you know J. H. Taylor of Aylesford?—A. J. H. Taylor?

Q. Howard Taylor?—A. Oh, yes.

Q. He is a reputable man?—A. Why, I guess so.

Q. Have you some doubts?—A. I would rather you would not ask me about the character of my neighbors.

Q. You have no hesitation in expressing it about Samuel B. Chute?

Mr. CARVELL: Or Ingraham Bowlby.

Mr. S. SELFRIDGE.