

mated to the gall bladder. Before this operation was carried out it was supposed that it was not advisable or, in other words, that the small intestine should be used instead. I have found that the patients do not have diarrhoea as a consequence of the pouring of the bile into the large intestine or any digestive disturbances. When the small intestine is used it must be either drawn up over the colon or taken through the folds of its mesentery. I have anastomosed the gall bladder to the large intestine on several occasions with the most perfect results.

Owing to the advances that have been made in gall bladder surgery cholecystenterostomy stands to-day in a different position. We can now incise ducts with impunity that can not, owing to their friability, be stitched, owing to the fact that we understand the safety given by careful and thorough drainage. The operation of cholecystenterostomy must now be regarded as rather a makeshift, only to be used when a patient is in a very bad condition.

On one occasion, when operating on a young woman, I found that she already had an anastomotic opening between the gall bladder and intestine that had been produced by the bursting of an inflamed gall bladder into the bowel.

It has been stated that the relations of the hepatic artery, the portal vein and the common duct may be changed and one of the vessels may run across the duct. If the positions of these important structures are altered the removal of a stone from the common bile duct is surrounded by a new and terrible danger. The condition might be detected during operation by a careful preliminary examination of the parts. Even when the structures are normally placed the operation is a difficult one. Much assistance can be attained by a forceps that I have had made by Stevens & Sons of Toronto. It is intended to replace the fingers of the left hand, to grasp the duct containing the stone and to draw it forwards to be within easier reach and away from the important structures beneath it.

The difficulty of the operation varies with the construction of the patient. It is more difficult to perform the operation on a patient with deep ribs than on one with short ribs. In all cases a large sandbag placed under the back and the transverse oblique incision should be employed, taking care to keep the incision well down below the hepatic margin. The liver can then be pulled upwards and the stomach inwards and downwards and the colon downwards so that the field of operation may be brought well into view. It is always advisable to pack in sponges to drag down the stomach and intestines and to protect the general peritoneal cavity from infection. I find that this dragging down of the stomach is of great assistance.