

(1) They submit herewith the report of the Examiners of the result of the pass and honour examinations of the first year in the Law School.

(2) Of the candidates who obtained the necessary number of marks entitling them to pass, the following are certified by the Principal to have duly attended the required number of lectures during the course, viz.:

J. F. Warne, J. F. Faulds, J. Ashworth, W. N. Tilley, D. J. Sicklesteel, W. Gow, J. Sale, A. W. Briggs, J. M. Godfrey, A. E. Hoskin, R. M. Thompson, R. J. McPherson, A. Fasken, W. Mulock, jr., D. Donald, H. C. Small, H. E. Rose, J. P. White, A. E. Bull, T. E. Godson, J. E. Irving, F. Ford, G. T. Denison, J. T. Loftus, Z. R. C. Lewis, N. Y. Poncher, J. R. Logan, W. N. Ferguson, F. G. Anderson, H. Z. C. Cockburn, C. A. Batson, H. C. Pope, M. H. East, C. T. DesBrisay, T. W. Evans, G. F. T. Arnoldi, J. D. Kennedy, W. H. B. Spotton, F. Langmuir, G. A. M. Young, T. R. Beale, G. H. Ferguson, H. E. Price, R. D. Scott, W. Hanes, W. H. Harris, W. J. Moran, W. A. D. Grant, H. M. Ferguson, J. T. White, W. M. Whitehead, W. A. Lewis, W. S. McCallum, W. A. Robinson, J. G. Burnham, J. Galbraith, E. F. H. Cross, A. N. Middleton, E. G. Stevenson, D. T. Smith.

(3) The Principal certified that the following candidate, who obtained the necessary number of marks to entitle him to pass, failed to attend the required number of lectures, but he has satisfied the Principal that the failure to attend was owing to illness or other good cause, and the committee recommend that his attendance and examination be allowed, viz.: M. H. Roche.

(4) The following candidate who failed to obtain the necessary number of marks to entitle him to pass, also failed to attend the required number of lectures, but he has satisfied the Principal that such failure was owing to illness, viz.: T. D. Dockray.

(5) The following candidates, who obtained the necessary number of marks to entitle them to pass, presented special petitions praying that their attendance be allowed for the reasons set forth therein, viz.:

B. M. Jones, W. F. Gurd, H. L. Watt, R. R. C. Pringle.

These petitions were referred to the Principal for report as to the general attendance and conduct of the applicants, and he has reported thereon, and the committee find as follows:

(1) Mr. Jones' deficiency is five lectures on Real Property. He shows that absence from three of these was due to illness, and as to the other two he was engaged by the Library Committee to act in the Library during the enforced absence of the Librarian, on the 28th and 29th days of April, and was unable to attend the lectures on those days.

(2) Mr. Gurd's deficiency is nine lectures on Contracts. The Principal certifies that attendance on the aggregate was sufficient. He accounts for the failure to attend the requisite number on Contracts by reason of illness for two weeks, preventing from attending for two weeks, as appears by certificate of medical attendant—this covers eight of the above number. The other he accounts for by onerous duties at his office at the time.

(3) Mr. Watt's deficiency is six lectures on Contracts. This occurred during the period between the 7th and 16th of December, 1891. The Principal certifies that his attendance was diligent during the remainder of the term. On his petition presented during Hilary Term, asking to be allowed his attendance notwithstanding his absence from a certain number of lectures by reason of absence from the city attending the trial of election cases, the committee ordered that action be deferred until after the examination, and to be then favourably considered if his future attendance was satisfactory.

(4) Mr. Pringle's deficiency is thirty-one lectures on Equity and thirty-four on Contracts. On his petition, presented before Michaelmas Term, 1891, showing that he applied to the Secretary at the opening of the Law School in October to receive his fee and permit him to attend the lectures, the Secretary had refused to do so because he had not been admitted to the Society (through mistake and oversight), although he was under articles. On 5th December, 1891, Convocation ordered that he be admitted as a Student-at-Law as of Trinity Term, and that he be required to make up any deficiency of lectures for the Term of 1891-2 by diligent attendance in the subsequent years, so far as practicable.

The Principal reports that he commenced attending on 2nd December, and that from that