

without precedent that a people, or a city, or a Government, should permit the erection of a monument within its borders to glorify an invader, and your petitioners would further suggest that if it be desired to honor the heroes of that period of our history, the city of Quebec should be enriched with a monument to Sir Guy Carleton, who defended her, or the gallant Beaujeu, who raised a force to drive out the invading army and whose loyalty and devotion remain unrecognized.

“ We therefore pray your Government to take this matter into your earnest consideration, in order that the necessary steps may be taken to prevent what would be an outrage on the patriotic feelings of your people.”

This protest gives, to our sense, the very position in which Canadians, and especially Quebecers, have been placed through the unseasonable zeal of the promoters and seconders of that proposed monument. The Canadians who favor it are either ignorant of history, or too short-minded to see further than dollars and cents.

But the Women's Canadian Historical Society of Toronto is not the only society that is vehemently protesting against the erection of the proposed monument. The Lundy's Lane Historical Society of Niagara, Ontario, has sent the following letter to the Secretary of State, at Ottawa :

“ The Lundy's Lane Historical Society most respectfully begs to enter a protest against the granting of permission for the erection of a public memorial to General Montgomery, one of the leaders of the attack on Quebec, who fell before that stronghold on December 31st, 1775.

“ Montgomery had served as an officer in the British Army under General Lord Amherst, but deserted our flag to espouse the cause of the American Colonies, and was asso-