

Poll by poll breakdown of First Ballot voting

	PRESIDENT	VP INTERNAL	VP EXTERNAL	VP F and A	VP ACADEMIC	B of G	NUS		
ampus 4737	Olmstead 1404 Groberman 1030 Fenna 1014 O'Kurley 809 Thom 321	Bell 2217 Hadford 1266 Thomson 908	Frank 1672 Michaud 1457 Blinston 1170	Peterson 2651 Webster 1471	Bhattacharya 1802 De Jong 1515 King 1029	Rose 2249 Ford 1847	NO 3058 YES 1540		
NE 864	Olmstead 281 Groberman 219 Fenna 160 O'Kurley 120 Thom 64	Bell 441 Hadford 220 Thomson 153	Frank 334 Blinston 228 Michaud 226	Peterson 489 Webster 281	Bhattacharya 374 De Jong 256 King 173	Rose 443 Ford 331	NO 611 YES 238		
er 422	Olmstead 138 O'Kurley 122 Fenna 84 Groberman 55 Thom 16	Bell 213 Hadford 139 Thomson 48	Michaud 171 Frank 164 Blinston 66	Peterson 279 Webster 108	Bhattacharya 177 De Jong 171 King 55	Ford 234 Rose 159	NO 310 YES 105		
y 356	Fenna 103 Groberman 82 Olmstead 80 O'Kurley 49 Thom 29	Bell 155 Hadford 101 Thomson 74	Michaud 131 Frank 96 Blinston 95	Peterson 199 Webster 112	De Jong 125 Bhattacharya 117 King 87	Rose 181 Ford 134	NO 204 YES 144		
350	Olmstead 108 Fenna 82 Groberman 66 O'Kurley 59 Thom 19	Bell 167 Hadford 96 Thomson 53	Michaud 124 Frank 118 Blinston 72	Peterson 211 Webster 100	De Jong 132 Bhattacharya 131 King 61	Rose 179 Ford 132	NO 212 YES 128		
her 324	Groberman 85 Fenna 85 Olmstead 61 O'Kurley 53 Thom 22	Bell 131 Hadford 95 Thomson 71	Michaud 103 Frank 102 Blinston 86	Peterson 167 Webster 109	De Jong 121 Bhattacharya 101 King 72	Rose 160 Ford 110	NO 183 YES 127		
c 293	Olmstead 97 Fenna 73 O'Kurley 61 Groberman 39 Thom 19	Bell 151 Hadford 79 Thomson 45	Frank 117 Michaud 100 Blinston 57	Peterson 180 Webster 72	Bhattacharya 131 De Jong 85 King 55	Rose 156 Ford 107	NO 177 YES 104		
man 275	Groberman 71 Fenna 71 Olmstead 61 O'Kurley 43 Thom 11	Bell 107 Hadford 87 Thomson 51	Michaud 85 Frank 82 Blinston 76	Peterson 146 Webster 85	De Jong 91 Bhattacharya 89 King 63	Rose 124 Ford 100	NO 148 YES 115		
S 266	Olmstead 125 Groberman 47 Fenna 37 O'Kurley 27 Thom 25	Bell 150 Hadford 57 Thomson 45	Frank 120 Michaud 66 Blinston 65	Peterson 138 Webster 91	Bhattacharya 122 De Jong 69 King 54	Rose 151 Ford 86	NO 202 YES 56		
ing 265	Olmstead 111 Groberman 60 Fenna 34 O'Kurley 33 Thom 18	Bell 147 Hadford 53 Thomson 49	Frank 122 Blinston 64 Michaud 52	Peterson 153 Webster 78	Bhattacharya 120 De Jong 62 King 58	Rose 136 Ford 93	NO 188 YES 74		
SC 193	Fenna 55 Olmstead 52 O'Kurley 38 Groberman 26 Thom 17	Bell 92 Hadford 60 Thomson 30	Frank 73 Michaud 64 Blinston 41	Peterson 119 Webster 50	Bhattacharya 78 De Jong 73 King 29	Rose 99 Ford 74	NO 125 YES 63		

Continued on page 7

Reader Comment

David Craig

I had originally intended this letter to be a blast directed at both Messrs. Souddaby *et al.*, authors of "Res for Seniors" (6 Feb 79) and at Ms. Gail Brown, Director of Housing and Food Services. After talking to Ms. Brown (incidentally, is a very reasonable person), however, I am convinced that the problem is both of far wider implications and far less black-and-white than we, the residents of Lister Complex, have been led to believe. Bearing the above in mind, I proceed with this letter.

Souddaby *et al.* have, in addition to their polemic comments, libellous statements, and obscure references to some fairly valid points to offer. As noted by Mr. Torrance (8 Feb 79), the majority of seniors and freshmen (including my own) are very conscientious students doing a difficult

job to the best of their ability, there are, alas, some who seem to see their duty as that of creating hell rather than quelling it. Why then have these sub-standard seniors and chairmen not been removed? Surely it is an established principle in any organization that one of the jobs of the men on top is to remove the duds below them. Chairmen, Hall Presidents, and Lister Complex Coordinator, why have you failed to discharge this responsibility? Assistant Deans, why have you failed to force your Hall Presidents and Chairmen to discharge their duties?

Res is, indeed, our home for eight months of the year. Mr. Torrance, but I am very seldom awakened at 3 am by stereos at my home in Beloeil, Quebec. Admittedly this cruel fate has not happened to me on First Mac this year, but I understand it to be a common occurrence on certain Henday floors. This is a deplorable and intolerable situation, one which clearly demonstrates that the LHSA has not met its mandate of safeguarding the social and personal needs of the average residence student.

I fail, Mr. Gnanasiamany (8 Feb 79) to see your demonstration of Ms. Brown's "tunnel vision" in the recent re-

organization of the Ship. Admittedly, she failed to include a take-out counter and "staples" such as pizza from the plan, but I am told that that oversight will be corrected very shortly. I have no more idea than you whether hiring a full-time manager and part-time staff is a good idea or a bad one, but as Gail Brown will bear responsibility for the decision, by all means let her try it. Meanwhile, sir, I would refrain from making any rash statements which I might later regret.

Demanding Grade Point Averages of 7.0, Messrs. Souddaby *et al.*, will produce the effect you desire only if the increased GPA reflects both better leadership ability and increased personal integrity. As GPA is a measure of academic performance only, this criterion is not likely to be met. I therefore find your argument rather unconvincing.

Communication, or, rather, the lack of it, seems to permeate the entire student government of the LHSA. It is my understanding, members of said government, that you were advised that there would be major changes in Lister Hall during your orientation seminars last September. One of you even asked if student government would be allowed

to continue! Similarly, various proposals and counter-proposals were batted back and forth entirely without the knowledge of the students you supposedly represent! Did you take us for fools? Now, when things finally come to a head, you have the utter gall to blame the entire fracas on Gail Brown and have us prepare to beat hell out of the administration!

In conclusion I wish to make several proposals. First, student government *must* open up some sort of communication system between the administration of Lister Complex and the average residence student. This is the only way to discern the wants, needs, and aims of both the administration and the residence student body. Secondly, student government badly needs streamlining, with fewer seniors and a much more effective system of internal discipline. Finally, student government must be made responsible to the Director of Housing and Food Services on all matters, albeit with the right to appeal a decision to the Dean of Student Affairs. That way, and only that way, will the administration of Lister Hall be able to discharge its responsibility to the University of Alberta. Thank you.