

missed with costs against the Petitioner; having examined the proceedings and deliberated,

“ Doth dismiss the said motion, with costs to the Petitioner’s Counsel ” :

Having come on on to be heard before this Court this day, in the presence of Counsel as well for the Appellant as for the Respondent, whereupon, and upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the said Appellant, Counsel for respondent not being called upon, this Court did order and adjudge that the said appeal should be, and the same was quashed for want of jurisdiction.

And this Court did further order and adjudge that the said Appellant should pay to the said Respondent his costs of the appeal to this Court, and that the sum of one hundred dollars (\$100), deposited by the said Appellant in the Court below as security for the costs of the said appeal, be paid to the said Respondent and applied *pro tanto* on the Respondent’s costs of said appeal.

And this Court did further order and adjudge that the original record be returned by the Registrar of this Court to the proper officer of the Court below.

Certified.

ROBERT CASSELS,
Registrar.

Mr. Speaker also informed The House, That in conformity with Section eight, Chapter thirteen, Revised Statutes of *Canada*, he had issued his Warrant to the Clerk of the Crown in Chancery, to make out a new writ of Election for the said Electoral District of L’Assomption.

Mr. Speaker further informed The House, That he had received from the Registrar of the Supreme Court of *Canada*, certified copies of the Judgments of the said Court in the following Election Appeals, viz. :—

For the Electoral District of *L’Islet*; and

For the Electoral District of *Montmorency*.

And the same were read and ordered to be entered in the Journals of this House, and are as follow :—

L’ISLET CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

Supreme Court of Canada.

THE DOMINION CONTROVERTED ELECTIONS ACT.

Election of a Member for the House of Commons for the Electoral District of *L’Islet*, holden on the 15th and 22nd days of February, 1887.

Between

FABIEN BÉLANGER,
(*Petitioner in the Court below*), Appellant ;

and

PHILIPPE BABY CASGRAIN,
(*Respondent in the Court below*), Respondent.
Ottawa, 2nd March, 1888.

To the Honourable J. A. Ouimet,
Speaker of the House of Commons of *Canada*, Ottawa.

SIR,—I have the honour to certify that annexed hereto is the certified judgment of the Supreme Court of *Canada* in the above appeal.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

ROBT. CASSELS,
Registrar, S.C.C.