

in the University.

With regard to illustrations we have at the Royal Victoria a certain number of photographs, coloured drawings, and lantern slides, which, however, are not properly indexed and classified, and I think the same is true of the General Hospital. We need a comparatively small sum of money to get this done.

The present arrangement with regard to photographs, lantern slides and microphotographs is that we have to employ the University Photographic Department to prepare us what we need in this respect for teaching, and the charges of this department are excessive. I find that such illustrations can be done more cheaply, and in the case of microphotographs much better, by the staff of the Royal Victoria Hospital than by the Photographic Department of the University. This means that the appropriation of the Surgical Department is being returned to the University in undue measure to support the expenses of the Photographic Department.

With regard to paragraph three in the same letter, concerning "ideals for the future of the Department of Surgery in regard to undergraduate and graduate study, as well as research", it is obvious that the recent action of the Standing Committee in deciding to recommend a complete change of policy along the lines of paragraph four, in your letter of March 27th, 1931, will alter fundamentally a great many things in the details of teaching. It is clear that a complete revision of our curriculum will be necessary. It will effect in particular the distribution of the amount and type of teaching to be done by the Chief, on the one hand, and by the assistants on the other. For my own part, my present intention is to propose that the Chief undertakes all the amphitheatre clinics