

## CORRECTION.

In *Bartlett v. Canadian Bank of Commerce*, *ante* 68, the decision of the Court was based upon the opinion that sufficient discovery had already been afforded to the plaintiffs. The Chief Justice of the King's Bench, delivering the judgment of the Divisional Court, expressed approval of the case of *Ontario Bank v. Shields*, 33 C. L. J. 393, but the question whether a bank teller is an officer of the bank was not actually decided.