

years, under these circumstances, which, to say the least, seem to justify the statements of Dr. Rice. But Dr. Rice had a protracted debate with Mr. Campbell some eight years ago. That discussion furnishes no authority for the above sweeping charges. Mr. Campbell most emphatically maintained the regenerating influences of the Holy Spirit. All candid men have decided, that upon that subject he was, at that time, as sound and as orthodox as Dr. Rice, or any other man in the evangelical world. And it is notorious, too, that during the last decade of years, at the shortest, no man in our country has waged more earnest, if more successful warfare against all forms of Unitarianism: or has asserted and sustained the divinity of Messiah with more cogency and distinctness, than Mr. Campbell. And his opposition to Universalism, it is equally notorious, has been firm, constant, uncompromising and exterminating. In short, excepting his "metaphysical nonsense" on the design of Baptism, which we ascribe solely to his Presbyterian education—on all other great and fundamental truths of our holy religion, we unhesitatingly declare that we esteem Mr. Campbell as orthodox as any man of his day and generation. We do not say that this has been *always*, but that it is *now* the case. This is no hasty opinion of ours. It has been deliberately formed, after a careful and critical investigation. We published it more than six years ago, and hundreds can bear witness, that since then, publicly and privately, everywhere and upon all suitable occasions, we have expressed the same sentiment. Our maxim is—'Let justice be done, if the heavens fall.' We scorn the meanness, adopted by too many, of trying to put Mr. Campbell down by the 'mad dog' cry. Respect to the truth, to say nothing of the services of Christianity, forbids such a course. But enough on this point."

OUTSPOKEN.

The *Shepherd of the Valley* is a paper published for the Roman Catholics in St. Louis. The editor, laying aside the usual caution and reserve which characterize the Papal leaders generally in this country, speaks out his sentiments in plain language.

Having taken us to task for sympathizing with the cause of freedom in Europe, in the same number of his paper he discusses the question of religious toleration. That our readers may see the true spirit of Romanism, we make some extracts. Speaking of the Romish Church, he says:

"The Church, we admit, is, of necessity, intolerant; that is, she does every thing in her power to check, as effectually as circumstances will permit, the progress of crime and error. Her intolerance follows necessarily from her claim of infallibility."

Again:

"These sects, where they have not the power to tyrannize, are sufficiently prudent to disclaim the will; the Church, which is always the same, disclaims indifferentism as a false and dangerous principle, and under no circumstances does she seek to conciliate the populace at the expense of truth. She has important doctrines to propagate;