

Engagement RINGS

The J. E. Ellis Co., Ltd.,
8 King St. East, Toronto,
Established 1854.

FIFTEENTH YEAR

GOVERNMENT HOUSE.

Mr. Marter's Motion to Abolish Its Maintenance.

LIVELY DISCUSSION YESTERDAY.

Mr. Hardy Jooses, Sir Oliver Almost Mournful.

The Premier suggests that the site be sold and a new building erected in the Park, because if Ontario should demand it every other Province would follow its example—Sir Oliver considers it undesirable to make a definite declaration of the policy of the Government in this matter. He said that the House of Commons had passed a resolution in 1874, that the House of Commons should not be a permanent establishment, but that it should be a temporary one, and that it should be a permanent one only in the case of a crisis.

In the Ontario Legislature yesterday Mr. Marter moved: That in the opinion of this House the maintenance of Government House and the establishment connected therewith at the expense of the Province should, after the expiration of five years from the appointment or other earlier declaration of the term of office of His Honor the present Lieutenant-Governor, be discontinued.

He said it would be remembered that during the last Parliament he had brought forward this motion, believing that the expenditure was unjustifiable, and as far as he was concerned, with which he had no sympathy. And his views had since then been changed. He still believed that it was totally unnecessary, and that it was not in the interests of the public and that this province could do better without it. He did not want to reflect on the present occupants of Government House. The present Lieutenant-Governor had done everything in his power to popularize the office, and had gone from one end of the province to the other, wherever he was asked to attend public gatherings and entertainments, making himself accessible to the people in the most friendly manner. He had nothing to say against either the present or any former occupants of this position, but our yearly income did not meet the expenditure, and consequently the House of Commons had to vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House. He said that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The sum of \$100,000 was certainly sufficient for all purposes. The whole system was a far from a good one, and the House of Commons had to vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The whole system was a far from a good one, and the House of Commons had to vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The cost of Government House was equal to 5 per cent. on \$400,000 a year, and this was paid by those who got no benefit from it. This was class legislation and wholly out of place in a democratic country like Ontario. It should not be tolerated. The vote on the former occasion was 17 for the motion and 18 against. He was happy to say he did not now have to change his vote on this question in view of the coming election.

Never seen in Government House. Mr. Waters remarked that, though he had never been in Government House, he had never seen it. The charge for maintenance of the house for the last two years, during the last five years the total for salaries, contingencies, etc., had been \$23,205 a year and the average for 31 years had been \$15,514 a year. This figure, in his opinion, was not extravagant. If maintenance were abolished the Government would save the salary of the Lieutenant-Governor, which would be better than now was the case on this proposition.

He moved in amendment that the discontinuance of the system of maintaining Government House and the establishment connected therewith at the expense of the Province, and the adoption of such expense by the Dominion Government, a system which would greatly increase the amount paid by the people of Ontario for the maintenance of the Government House, should not be in the interests of the Province. (Applause from the Government benches.)

Mr. Oliver was the first to rise to speak. Sir Oliver Mowat began by saying that he would do all he could to save all unnecessary expenditure, but this was a question which should be decided by the House of Commons. He was fully decided, and the people should be instructed their representatives as to the policy of the Government in this matter. He did not want to be so in the end. Now this proposition was made on the basis of the election in 1894, and the political capital. And the mover wished the system to continue, with the expenditure of \$200,000 a year, on the same grounds at the same time it showed how useless the making of this motion was. During the present session there was no basis for the suggestion, that this motion had not been spent.

Mr. Marter moved in amendment that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

He said that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

AN ELECTIVE UPPER HOUSE.

THE RADICAL GOAL AS LAID DOWN BY LABOURERS.

Mr. Gladstone has a slight Cold-Chamberlain Fears That Rosebery Will Propose Abandon Home Rule—Proposed Amendments to the Address by Mr. Labouchere and Redmond—Justin McCarthy Sealed.

LONDON, March 7.—Though Mr. Gladstone had a slight cold last night he has no fear to speak of this morning, and his physicians say they do not regard his condition as dangerous at present. Last night, while walking home from the Brooks Club, where he had dined with his late colleagues in the Ministry, he was seized with a chill, and upon reaching home was at once put to bed. Mr. Gladstone objected to having a physician, saying he was only slightly indisposed, and would be as well as ever in a short time, but a doctor was called nevertheless. Another physician was summoned this morning, and one of the doctors in attendance upon Mr. Gladstone said that while the ex-Premier is in no immediate danger his illness may take a serious turn at any moment. The only danger was that he might not be able to get up. Mr. Gladstone was reported last afternoon to be very much better. After leaving Mr. Gladstone's residence, Mr. Bond stated that his patient was suffering from inflammation of the throat and a tracheitis, and had a good appetite.

The Telegraph says: "Mr. Gladstone has placed himself in the hands of Sir James Paget, the famous oculist, and will undergo a course of treatment. He is said to be in a very bad way, and it is not probable that he will be able to get up. Mr. Gladstone was reported last afternoon to be very much better. After leaving Mr. Gladstone's residence, Mr. Bond stated that his patient was suffering from inflammation of the throat and a tracheitis, and had a good appetite."

Amendments to the Address. When the House of Commons re-assembled Mr. Labouchere will move an amendment to the Address in reply to the Speech from the Throne. The amendment will be to the effect that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

Mr. Marter moved in amendment that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

BADLY BEATEN ON THE HIGHWAY.

A Magistrate From Durham County Kicked into Insensibility and Heaved of His Money and Jewelry.

Streaming with blood, his lips swollen as from a vicious kick and with one eye closed, Samuel McCabe, a magistrate of Durham County, walked into the police station at Toronto Junction shortly after midnight this morning. He had been violently assaulted and robbed. Mr. McCabe came down on the Northern train and jumped off at the diamond crossing, not far from Davenport. Finding that he was astray in his knowledge of the locality, he enquired of the only man he saw the nearest way to the Junction. The stranger was going there and offered to accompany Mr. McCabe. They walked along the track and when near the race course the stranger fell McCabe with a violent blow under the ear and while on the ground dealt him several kicks about the head and face. He then went through his pockets, taking everything in them which included a silver watch, \$20 in cash, \$22 in promissory notes and an order on a Toronto merchant for \$4.

On recovering consciousness McCabe walked on the track in the direction of the Junction. He was followed by the man who had assaulted him. The man who had assaulted him was a tall man of probably six feet, with a dark complexion, and a very凶恶 expression. He was wearing a dark coat and a light-colored shirt. He was carrying a large bag in his hand.

ATTRACTING NOTICE IN LONDON.

A New Book That Is All the Rage in England and America.

A book that combines the best points of "An African Farm" and "Dream Life," and is as good as a new novel, is "The World as It is," by John P. McKenna, Bookeller, 50 Yonge-street. The title of it is "The World as It is." The author has developed the thought that as ships pass each other with only a single glance, so on the ocean of life human beings pass each other with only a single glance, and leave no impression on the mind.

Mr. Marter moved in amendment that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

ATTACKS ON THE GAS METER.

THE STANCO OLD FRIEND OF THE PUBLIC BEING ABUSED.

Notwithstanding the fact that though the Stanco gas meter is a very good one, it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused.

The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused.

SOME OF THE COMPLAINTS.

A citizen who lives at 822 Queen east, says: "I paid \$2.50 more this quarter than the corresponding quarter last year, and I am not sure that I am not paying too much. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused."

Mr. Marter moved in amendment that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

THE GREAT BUDGET ACT.

THE STANCO OLD FRIEND OF THE PUBLIC BEING ABUSED.

The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused.

The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused.

SOME OF THE COMPLAINTS.

A citizen who lives at 822 Queen east, says: "I paid \$2.50 more this quarter than the corresponding quarter last year, and I am not sure that I am not paying too much. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused. The Stanco gas meter is a very good one, and it is being abused."

Mr. Marter moved in amendment that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons should vote a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

The House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House, and that the House of Commons had voted a subsidy of \$200,000 a year to the Government House.

DICKSON & TOWNSEND

General Auctioneers, Valuers,
Ministers of the Gospel, and
Solicitors at the High Court of Justice.

ONE CENT.

REFORM POLICY ANALYZED.

SIR C. H. TUPPER POINTS OUT ITS INCONSISTENCIES.

OTAWA, March 7.—The fifth, and what will probably be the last, of the addresses on questions of the day before the Macdonald Club was delivered this evening in St. James' Hall by Sir Charles Tupper, who for an hour and a half held the attention of a large and intelligent audience, which frequently showed its appreciation by enthusiastic applause.

Commencing with a statement that it mattered less that his colleagues and himself should remain in office than that the party in power should be one which had confidence in the future of the country, he proceeded to draw a comparison between the two parties; and while pointing out the great development of Canada, which had taken place under the National Policy, and attributing this to the unity, loyalty and fidelity to Conservative principles of the party, he pointed out the great opposition which would meet it on the opposition of the Liberal party. He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He reviewed the recent utterances of the Liberal leaders and since the Ottawa conference, in which they had made a trade on the lines adopted by Great Britain, and the policy and contrasted it with their previous utterances. He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

The Conservative party could not stop now, he said, in its policy of protection. It must go on and continue the development and the growth of the country. He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.

He said that the Liberal party was not united in anything except in the desire to put the present administration out of power. He did not wish to be considered rude, but he could only class the Liberal party as a party of mischief, not possessing much real strength.