

THE TORONTO WORLD.

Special Morning Newspaper
OFFICE: 11 KING ST. EAST, TORONTO

Subscription Rates:
One Year (12 Months)..... \$1.00
Six Months..... 60c
Three Months..... 35c
No charge for delivery or postage. Subscriptions payable in advance.

Advertising Rates:
FOR EACH LINE OF NON-PARALLEL
Vertical advertisements..... 10c
Horizontal advertisements..... 8c
Financial statements..... 10c
Matter..... 10c
Advertisements..... 10c

Condensed advertisements: 1 word.
Deaths, marriages and births 25c.
Special rates for contract advertisements, on reading notices, and for restricted positions.

Address: All Communications: THE TORONTO WORLD, TORONTO.
The World's telephone office No. 523.

FRIDAY MORNING, JAN. 28, 1911.

The Scott Act.
There is only one thing that is settled as regards the Scott act, and it is this: The majority of the voters in the municipalities who have had the question submitted to them are in favor of local prohibition. But two other questions are in a most unsatisfactory state first, how is the act to be enforced, second, how really is the power to make and enforce a prohibitory liquor law. Is it of the federal or the provincial authority? The act may almost sweep the counties of Ontario and some of the cities, but the great question is how it is to be enforced and which government will enforce it. It comes to be seen more and more that the law can be fairly tried.

How would it do for each provincial legislature to vote on the question as to which power should control the liquor traffic, and then having voted, send a delegate to Ottawa; and these delegates with representatives of the dominion might fix on a settlement. If they could agree to one settlement, it would be easy to get an amendment to the British North America act declaring to which authority the power belonged.

The Treaty Business at Washington.
The treaty business appears to be in rather dubious condition at Washington just now. Against the Spanish treaty the New York Chamber of Commerce has entered a very emphatic protest, which is endorsed by the whole south, we may say. A leading ground of objection is that the effect of the treaty would be to transfer to the Spanish treasury at Havana a good many millions in duties that now go to Washington, with the result of enabling Spain to hold on a little longer to her American milk cow, which will have to pay heavily for the extravaganzas and cupations of Madrid and Havana together.

Something Harder.
Editor World: If A. B. C. C., Alex. Gray (Niagara Falls), J. E. D., and A. B. T. want something harder than the sheep division question, I will give them one on practice on...
Let on Paris Francs.
Editor World: The suggestion of our friend J. E. S. in your paper yesterday send one of our German citizens to Antwerp would be valuable if Antwerp were in Germany, which it is not. If a million people understood German which they do not...
The Longest Word.
Editor World: In answer to your conundrum "to what is the longest word in the English language,"
Language is the longest.
The smallest..... J. F. P.
Editor World: The longest word in the English language is probably "anthropomorphism," a term invented by John S. Paine, a pupil and disciple of Herbert Spencer. It is used in contradistinction to "anthropomorphism," to express the tendency of the human mind to think of things as human. It is thoughtful and educated, to direct the idea of deity of his human and degrading attributes. The word is endorsed by Mr. Spencer in his essay on the "Evolution of Religion."
Harcort, Jan. 21.
The North Shore Railway.
Editor Gazette: This morning's Gazette had an editorial on the North Shore railway, which was based on something that Mr. Wainwright told a Quebec reporter I said in a private conversation a long time ago. Mr. Wainwright, if fully reported, had probably forgotten what would have been, to the public, the most interesting part of the conversation, but as I am not in the habit of repeating private conversations, I will not, even with this provocation, betray Mr. Wainwright.

Our Intersecting Railway War.
The war between the Grand Trunk and the Canadian Pacific railways does not slacken, but to all appearances grows fiercer as the time for the meeting of parliament approaches. Besides the violent attack on the latter made in an anonymous pamphlet recently issued in Montreal, something else now turns up. On Monday last the Gazette congratulated the country and all concerned on the favorable prospects of an arrangement between the two companies for the working by the C. P. R. of the North Shore road (Montreal to Quebec). The Gazette's remarks were based on an interview between Mr. Wainwright (G. T. R. manager of the North Shore), as reported in a Quebec paper. According to this report everything was lovely; and it was a matter for sincere satisfaction to know that things were going on so smoothly, and so on.

reply but also a fair indication of what the things were that had been said before, and which he deemed it necessary to reply to. The original blunder in this matter was in giving any portion of the Quebec provincial road (northern route) over to the Grand Trunk at all. The Grand Trunk had already all the railway track it could take care of, on the south side of the St. Lawrence, and the Quebec government, which sold out at all, should have sold to the Canadian Pacific. What recent developments indicate is that the attempt to keep the Canadian Pacific out of Quebec city is doomed to failure, and that those who have made it realize this very fully. It would surely have been for the general good that the Grand Trunk having already the south shore line, the North Shore line should be taken over by the Canadian Pacific. Those who fought to defeat this fair and common sense arrangement may now see the consequences. But by all means read Mr. Van Horn's letter.

Stanley, the African explorer, is accounted responsible for the failure of the German proposals to carry at the Congo conference. Stanley probably believes in the superiority of the African speaking races and thinks the motives of the latter, being invariably more liberal, are usually the best, especially for a new country.

The Dominion Churchman has a fair article on the authority of the conference. From the Trinity college standpoint, we believe that the view is spreading amongst her supporters that Trinity could be a cluster of colleges about the provincial university, and being such be of greater use to the church than she is at present in her isolated position. The Provincial Body and Senator Allan and their fellow-governors of Trinity are desirous of placing this institution at the head of the Anglican education in Ontario; and such an ambition is in itself better calculated to this than that of federation and settlement in the Queen's park.

With his election to the U. S. senate from New York Mr. Evans is already being looked upon as the presidential choice of the next national republican convention.

For the three weeks that have elapsed since New Year's day the revels in the iron trade over the border have been numerous and important. Most of these romps of work have been on lower wages; but that was inevitable. Iron is down in the States, and it must stay down for a while, for the simple reason that the effect of the tariff would bring in English iron by shiploads and throw American iron works out of occupation. Iron will continue to be made in the United States, and in large quantities, too, but only on condition that production be cheapened. The days of "boom" prices for iron are over for a while.

Editorial Comment.
The honest, the independent and the correctness of my course, it was my own suggestion that the matters in dispute between myself and the Standard Publishing Company be referred to any unbiased, intelligent outside party. In the opinion of the arbitrator, I was not entitled, under the terms of the agreement, to make any advertisement taken in trade. I bow to the ruling and accept the decision, although the custom of the trade in the office of paper at the same time as the Baptist, is in favor of the discount allowed to all the other heads of departments in the advertising business. The favorable terms that could be expected were not taken into consideration. After a careful review of the whole case, from my stand-point, I see no reason for changing my reason as to the right of contract, but I see no reason for regret at not having a distinct understanding from the outset in reference to these matters. My own judgment I doubtless made mistakes, and gave opportunity for unfavorable inference and suspicion in my own writing. The Standard is a paper of high character, and the people understand German which they do not. J. E. T.

That Allowance to the Rectors.
The plea put forth by the committee of St. James for an allowance of \$1000, calls for a word of comment. It is stated that the church is "out of proportion to the habitual necessities of the congregation." Now we beg to remark that St. James was sought for many many years to be changed into a cathedral, and in 1857 was erected into a cathedral building, a sort of pro-cathedral chapel, in fact, for the exclusive use of a very small number of the members of the church. It was a class church in a double sense, socially and theologically, a cathedral it never was. Had those in charge of St. James honorably carried out their responsibility by accepting of a cathedral for cathedral purposes, the church of England in Ontario would have been enormously the gainer, and all of us much the better for the absence of St. James. St. James was made a centre of bitterness and its chief power has been mischievous.

New York Markets.
Jan. 22—Cotton quiet; middling uplands 11 1/2c; Orleans 11 5/8c. Flour—Receipts 11,000 bbls; daily sales 12,000 bbls; unchanged. Rye flour and cornmeal unchanged. Wheat—Receipts 17,000 bush; spot shade higher, options irregular, closing shade higher; sales 200,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2 spring, 95c; No. 2 red, 94c; No. 2 soft, 92c; No. 1 white, 94c. Eye corn, No. 2, 75c; No. 1, 74c. Barley, No. 2, 70c; No. 1, 69c. Oats—Receipts 3,000,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Rye—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Hops—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Sugar—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Coffee—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Tea—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Lard—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Butter—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Pork—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c. Bacon—Receipts 1,200,000 bush; spot, exports 122,000 bush, futures, 22c; No. 2, 49c; No. 1, 48c.

From the London Express.
Greatly are the letters of Birmingham flourishing as we see the trade to remain, even though it is under a cloud now and again, it seems strange to remember that at one time, and in three regions, the button trade of "the top-shop of Europe," was based at Birmingham, was bolstered up by act of parliament, and all button makers there were forbidden to sell their wares under the name of "Birmingham" without the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr. King for 25 years, that Birmingham button was an illegal transaction, the button was the same as that which is now being sold, but covered with cloth, instead of wood, and the law was enforced, by declaring it illegal, under a fine of 40 shillings per dozen, to sell buttons under that name, which said buttons were affixed and by-hung a tale. The tale was told by Dr. D. D. D. in 1835, and was then an occurrence so recent as only to be numbered by weeks. However Mr. Shirley, says the doctor, and plain Mr.