His active life; we listen to His paralles and discourses; we witness His miralles; and then we follow Him to His cross, and kneel there in faith, that on us too, in all our weakness and sin, there may fall some drops of cleansing blood; and in all this we are holding communion with Deity. Each word and act of His Human life brings before us some new truth of God's character, or about God's dealings with His creatures.

Chistis an occasion for family greetings, for kindly intercourse, and for courtesies such as ideas not occur in any other part of the year of It has a joy and brightness all its own. But Christmas has its pure meaning only for those men who are leading new lives, and who feel that God's manifestation in the flesh has to them in importance with which nothing else on this earth can ever compare, and who are daily endeavoring to exemplify the spirit and the purity of Him who was crucified.

TOKAR TAMETTE RETURN benefate

THE following remarks on Baptism in connection with the education of the young are deserving especial consideration at the present

When the doctrine of Baptismal Grace was by some philosophical observers of what was ssing, that an abstract question as to whether or that effect did not follow upon the admintration of the Sacrament, could possibly have cotted so much strong feeling as was actually the see. "What can it matter," men said "whether you pour a little water upon the forehead fant, it is right to suppose that an invisible iracle does or does not take place?" Now the newer is, that it does matter a great deal. If only value of our Lord's ordinance, and the plain ing of His Apostles were at stake, if it had een possible to connect no practical interests, as they are called with this or that settlement of the controversy, a Christian must have felt that it mattered much. But in point of fact, the practical question which was at issue was this :—Whether Christian doctrine does or does not supply a working besis for the education of children. For there was no question then, as in truth no question can reasonably be raised by Christians, touching the reality of original sin. The New Testament nd the Church are sufficiently explicit in teaching that we are born into this world with a transmitted inheritance of loss, and, in some sense, of ruin certainly attaching to us; and on this point ex-perience must be invoked with ample effect in aid of the statements of faith. But is a child, after Baptism, still without the indwelling presence; or is it true that "being by nature the child of wrath" it is hereby made "a member of Christ, the child of God, and an inheritor of the Kingdom of Heaven, in virtue of a real communication of the Holy Spirit at the administration of the initial Secrement? The answer to that question is of the utmost practical importance to the moral edu-cator. If the baptized child is in reality still unfuture gift of God's transforming and invigorating grace; what right has the moral educator to comd and unregenerate, still waiting for some ain if the child is persistently disobedient, or ill-tempered, or untruthful? The child has a right to say in its secret thoughts to its instructor nething of this kind: "On the one hand, you tell me that I am an unregenerate child, and that until God changes my heart no good can come of me. But on the other, you expect me to produce the fruits of goodness of real energetic goodness;

you expect me to be loving, and unselfish and obedient, and true. Are you not dealing with me in the same way as the Egyptians dealt with the Israelites, when they wished the Israelites to make bricks, yet did not give them straw? Surely this is not just. Of two things, one: either I am not all that you mean by unregenerate; or else you have no right to expect me to bring forth the fruits of the Spirit." A child may think a good deal which it cannot put into words and it is especially likely to be alive to the inconsistency of a religious theory which conflicts with its rudimentary instinct of justice. But if with the Church, you tell the child, that since its Baptism it is a temple of the Holy One; that by His Holy Spirit, the Lord Jesus Christ has made a home in its heart; that it must not be ungrateful to so kind and gracious a Friend; that it can obey and be truthful, and respectful, and loving, if it wills, because God enables it to be so; that it must be these things, because else God will leave it to itself;—you appeal to the child's sense both of justice and generosity. In other words, the doctrine of Baptismal Regeneration really supplies the moral leverage which is essential to our effective Christian education. "I never understood the Church Catechism "—they are the words of a very thoughtful woman,—" until I became a mother, and I felt that I had to answer to God for the moral training of my children, I do not know how I could have even set to work unless I had been sure that he was with them; that I could count upon something stronger than anything myself could give them; that I could appeal to His presence and to His gifts." (Canon Liddon's Sermon, "The Divine Indwelling a Motive to Holiness."

SUBSCRIBE AND RENEW.

New year's will soon be here: we want all to pay up their arrears to the end of this month. and send one dollar more for next year We hope all will renew and make every effort to get us new subscribers. Do not put this off until after New Year, do it immediately, get your neighbours to subscribe and forward their subscriptions with your own. Many of the Clergy, Laity and Ladies have sent us most encouraging reports, and we hear of many more making every effort to get new subscribers. There are many Parishes we have not yet heard from; we hope the clergy and friends in those Parishes are actively at work. By each subscriber spending a few hours in this good work, thousands of names would be added to our list. We hope all will make every effort at once to get us new subscribers.

Address, Frank Wootten, Proprietor, 11 York Chambers, Toronto Street, Toronto. P.O. Box 2630.

CHURCH THOUGHTS BY A LAYMAN.
No. 17.

A NEW PROPHECY.

In the Canadian Monthly for December there appears an article by Mr. Goldwin Smith entitled "The prospect of a moral interregnum." We may say by the way that the article and its tehicle are harmonious, for this magazine has for a long time been veiling its infidel sympathies under the too thin veil of impartiality. A literary organ which appeals to national sentiment and national pride for support is thus provided with an opportunity of disseminating the crude theories of shallow sceptics who are ignorant of their own literature, and the mind of Young Canada is being poisoned with their rehash of arguments which were sent to such utter corruption and rottenness years and years ago that a disinfectant ought to accompany these resurrectionist exhibitions of the

Professor Smith assumes the role of a prophet as confidently as though his predictions had not been as irregular as old Moore's almanack on the weather. He came years ago to Canada to witness the Act of Union with the States, and recently left Toronto because he could not endure the spectacle of loyalty to England which was there presented, to his discredit as a prophet. His visions are usually more akin to the vaticinations of hope than the insight of the Seer, so that as his hopes are the mere shadowed projections of fautastic prejudices, which are his private monopoly, we are not constrained to fear their accomplishment even although they are clothed in very choice English and are illuminated by brilliant but somewhat irrelevant classical allusions which are meant to stagger the vulgar

It is but a brief time since ithis writer cast doubts upon man's immortality, his views went simply to show that the effulgence of even his lantern did not reveal as much as God's revelation. The lantern is out again peering into the future with a similar result, as lanterns do not show much before or behind, and now a days it is only sad to see a man groping in the caves of scepticism lighted with the dim glimmer of human logic while the word at large walks abroad in the glad light of heaven.

Mr. Smith recently told us that he had studied the Church by the light of his lantern and his oracular decision was that we were in two Churches not one, every churchman being like Sir Boyle Roche's bird in two places at once. He was unable to see how men who differ on some points could live together in one communion, and berated both sides for not deserting the Church and thus leaving the space it occupies a mere blank. We recall these utterances as pregnant illustrations of Mr. S.'s habit of confounding the particular with the general, the common fault of all who use lanterns instead of daylight.

The last effusion in question is too long for criticism in detail, it contains an intolerable amount of mere literary "fuss and feathers." The salient points, the solid matter, may be summarized thus: 1st. Christianity is about to be pensioned off, it has fought a good fight, but humanity wants something new; 2nd. Science is taking up the wondrous tale of extinct religious and out of the debris of the Christian Temple will build an eternal structure sacred to ethical truth, i. e. unless morality and religion agree to die together; 3rd. Mr. Goldwin Smith is not quite clear whether Science can execute such a contract, doubts if it has the capital for such a job,