

Le Courier du Canada, Quebec, Monday announced, on whose sides the best authority, Andrew Stewart, ex-Chief Superior Court, Quebec, has the Catholic faith.

The Dominion general election was held last week, was carried out with all the propriety, resulted in the sustaining of MacDonald's government by a majority. Amid the confusion given by the papers of the side several of the Independents were elected will incline, it is to compute the exact majority will sustain the Government, probable, taking all things into consideration, that they will a majority of about 30 in the which, it is expected, will a transaction of business in which the fought may be judged from two men born of the Cabinet, Hon. John Carling, by a majority of 183, and Hon. Stansfeld P. Q. The majority in most cases large for the various agencies, though in a number they were exceedingly small.

The Rev. Father O'Brien delivered recently an address in Detroit, cited from an Irish New York, entitled the "The oft repeated calumny which made against the Catholic repetition of this calumny in shows the ironical nature of the journal, which in a recent that as penance for the contain sine the following are which must be made to the "wearing, 25 cents; cursing, 50 cents; disobedience, 37 1/2 cents; reading the Bible, a Protestant, 10 cents." It served that according to the lies must hold the reading of the be the greatest of sins and the Protestant the least. The many such tables of prices Protestant controversialists from each other immense prices charged for various tables generally agree in making of a Protestant the smallest list, as this way of representation invariably shocks the nervous testant reader to a degree satisfactory to the concocted catalogue. Father O'Brien that from the prices asked that this was a glaring error, lecturer also mentioned the Church as that the Pope that he directs the politics of the United States, and that some kind of arrangement Hall of New York, and that over some day to put to who is not a Catholic. Equal lighters are as few as the inventions as are the of the United States pleasure that Father O'Brien with great respect and audience, concluding almost Protestants. This provoked the spirit of faith in the United States; and in about the same way in the United States Rev. keep the conclusions that at private until the meeting Assembly next month stated that the Commission in the changes recommend, but we a changes are important not in any way impair tem which is taught in Confession. It is difficult changes can be imported in some way modify that is more than suspected doctrines of Calvinism eliminated. Presbyterians lieve in them, and it would farcical to make a show under such circumstances that the doctrine changed certainly seen ester outsider who is a of the committee to be

PAY FOR YOUR NEWSPAPER.

Cheering news for newspaper proprietors comes from Ohio. A paper in that State recently brought suit against forty-three men who would not pay their subscription, and obtained judgment for the full amount in each case. Twenty-eight of these prevented attachment by making affidavit that they owed no more than the law allowed. Under the decision of the Supreme Court, they were arrested for perjury and bond ordered in the sum of \$300 each. Six of these did not give bond and were sent to jail. This is the result of the working of the new postal law, which makes it larceny to take a paper and refuse to pay for it.—Western Printer.

An English correspondent of the Paris Union describes with enthusiasm the good work carried on at Wolvey, Hincley, by a convert, Mr. C. Arnold, aided by Rev. Austin Richardson, another convert. The correspondent states that during his residence at Wolvey, Isle of Wight, Father Richardson converted forty-seven persons, among them being his own mother.

DIED. On March 1st, Julia Maria Teresa, infant twin daughter of J. O'Meara, infant twin sister, aged 3 months.

C. C. RICHARDS & CO. GENTS.—My horse was so afflicted with distemper that he could not drink for four days and refused all food. Simply applying MINARD'S LINIMENT outwardly cured him.

CAPT. HERBERT CANN. Feb. 1887.

C. C. RICHARDS & CO. GENTS.—I have used your MINARD'S LINIMENT for bronchitis and asthma and it has cured me. I believe it the best. Mrs. A. LIVINGSTON. Lot 5, P. E. I.

Best on Earth SURPRISE SOAP. Every woman's friend. Washes the face, neck, and hands, and keeps them soft and white. It is the best soap for the skin.

Bermuda Bottled. "You must go to Bermuda. If you do not I will not be responsible for the consequences." A doctor, I can afford neither the time nor the money. "Well, if that is the case, I will not go."

SCOTT'S EMULSION OF PURE NORWEGIAN COD LIVER OIL. I sometimes call it "Bermuda Bottled," and many cases of CONSUMPTION, Bronchitis, Cough, or Severe Cold. I have cured with it; and the advantage is that the most sensitive stomachs can take it. It is the thing which commands it is the stimulating properties of the Hypophosphites which it contains. You will find it for sale at your Druggist's, in Baltimore, or write to SCOTT & BOWNE, 23-Bowling Green, London, E.C.

REAL PALMS & PALM SUNDAY. SUPPLIED BY THOMAS D. EGAN, NEW YORK CATHOLIC AGENCY.

42 BURLAY STREET, NEW YORK. For the fifteenth consecutive year I am ready to supply Real Palms for Palm Sunday, and respectfully solicit the patronage of the reverend clergy.

My arrangements for the coming Palm Sunday are, as they have been in the past, as complete as to assure thorough satisfaction to each and every one of my patrons, and secure to them prompt delivery in prime condition, and in ample time for Palm Sunday of the full quantity of Palms that may be desired.

PRICES OF REAL PALMS. When sent to other address. 25 Heads, \$1.00 20 Heads, \$1.35 50 " 5.00 30 " 3.00 100 " 7.50 400 " 28.00 Ornamental, each \$1.50 for \$7.50; 12 for \$12. Less than 25 Heads at 25 cents each. The average number used is 100 heads for 1500 persons.

WANTED. NURSE GIRL. APPLY 291 DUFFERIN AVE. SERVANT WANTED. WANTED, A GOOD GENERAL SERVANT. APPLY AT CATHOLIC RECORD.

WILSON BROS. Wholesale and Retail Dealers in GROCERIES, PROVISIONS, WINES AND LIQUORS. 398 RICHMOND STREET LONDON, ONT. A few doors south of Dundas St.

A DISTINGUISHED VISITOR.

THE BERLIN COURT PLATER AND COMPOSER, THE WORLD-RENOUNDED HENRI XAVIER SCHWARZ, ENTERTAINS THE LADIES AND PUPILS OF LORETO ACADEMY, NIAGARA FALLS, ONTARIO.

Friday afternoon, February 27, Hen. Schwarz, accompanied by Mr. Lund, director of the Buffalo orchestra, and Mr. Fred Lutz, manager of the Central Hall, Buffalo, and Mr. Casius Hucker, of Valparaiso, Chilli, honored the Academy of Loreto, Niagara Falls, by selecting his first performance on Canadian soil. The visit was delightfully informal in its character and extremely interesting. Every balcony and even the cupola, was mounted, so that the distinguished visitors might enjoy the unrivalled water scenery surrounding the central figure, the mighty cataract. Nature itself seemed to multiply its snowfields glittering in the sun, summer's fairest beauty "mimicked in fairy masonry by the edifice builders of the frost," overarched by the glorious rainbow, which seemed to appear at the desired moment to smile its welcome, formed a picture which words cannot depict but which elicited universal enthusiasm and admiration. After the visitors had enjoyed this banquet, which nature had so lavishly spread, they descended to the study hall where the bright, expectant faces of the numerous pupils from nearly every State in the neighboring Republic beamed an eloquent welcome that words were inadequate to express, for, in anticipation, they had appreciated the musical treat which awaited them. The burst of expectancy was followed by an almost reverential silence as that most poetic of modern composers approached the piano, and performed in a superbly artistic manner several of his own compositions, amongst them the much-played Polish Danes in E flat minor, which, by the way, he gave in a much slower tempo than is usually rendered on this side of the Atlantic. When he ended the first two numbers a pretty little maiden advanced and gracefully presented an exquisite floral tribute, after which he very condescendingly requested to hear one of the pupils play—a feat one will admit requiring unusual nerve on the part of a school girl, rather it was responded to by the simplicity of the convent pupil, a characteristic ever observable. As a delicate compliment to the composer, knowing, as Emerson says, "Our gifts for the most part are cold and barren because they do not represent ourselves," Miss Florence Marlon, of San Francisco, California complied by repeating his own Polish Danes, on which he congratulated her and cordially shook hands with her. At the conclusion of the performance Hen. Schwarz made a graceful speech in German, expressive of the pleasure it afforded him to perform before his youthful admirers, particularly as he would have liked to have had his own two little daughters, who are in far off Germany, studying at this institution, of which he studied away with him such pleasing recollections.

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY to the clergy of his diocese, and to which he should certainly make no allusion were it not that it made a direct allusion to Catholics. In the course of that letter the Archbishop thought well to say that he had no quarrel with the prelates of the Church of England. He did two things respecting Catholics—he made a statement concerning them, and he called them a name. That statement was that, while there was a multiplication of buildings and institutions among Catholics, there was no multiplication of souls; and he said that the Catholic Church of England was not making progress, and that he went by what he (the preacher) could not help considering a difficulty way to invent a title, he applied to them the title of "The Italian Mission." Of these things he should like to say something, and first of all about His Grace's statistics. The Archbishop said that there was a multiplication of land, and buildings, and institutions among Catholics, but there was no multiplication of souls; and the comment he (the preacher) felt obliged to make upon that statement was that the Archbishop had made an assertion which it was impossible for him to prove. He did not see how he could know anything of the kind. He was aware that amongst Catholics there were various parties, and he rather interested in statistics of that sort, and he pleaded guilty to being one of those persons himself. He might try and ascertain the facts, and he should not find himself justified in being able to lay down anything like the positive assertion which the Archbishop had made. He did not know where the Archbishop could have got his figures, and he supposed that when talking of statistics they were dealing with figures he could say when QUEEN VICTORIA CAME TO THE THRONE there were 630 priests in the whole country, and now there were over 8500. Whether the increase was proportionate to the whole increase of the population in England was more than he could say. Still, if they, instead of looking at the reign of Victoria, looked at the time in which their present Cardinal Archbishop had reigned over the diocese, he found that while in that diocese there were twenty-five years ago 210 priests, there were 357 priests in the present year's directory. He would call that statistical progress, and he supposed that the reason he was thankful for the fact that there were twenty-five years ago there were only 1100 children in the schools there were 2200 at the present time. It was true that they had had some difficulty in ascertaining whether the Catholic population of the country had much increased, and it would appear from the progress made among the totals were not much larger. He did not wish to be tied down to figures in an exact manner, because he was not speaking from full information. There was this to be said, however, that the immense increase of Irish had affected the statistics of Catholics in the country. It might be that while their numbers had increased, and that they were taken away at another time, there was no such idea as that numbers of CATHOLICS HAD BECOME PROTESTANTS. If such a statement were ever so true it would be a thing to lament, for it would mean that there would be found amongst the Catholic poor those whose circumstances were such that they had learnt to neglect their religious duties and had more or less thrown off the obligations which the Church to which they belonged entailed. It would not mean in the least that Protestants were making any accession in the shape of gains from Catholics, but that he thought would be a most absurd hypothesis. He would pass on from that to the title which pleased the Archbishop to apply when he spoke of the Catholic religion, as it appeared in England, being a new Italian Mission. To one of those words he (the preacher) had not a shadow of objection. Catholic priests were in a missionary country, but it did not speak well for England that they should have to say so. In those days when all the parishes in England were Catholic, and all the churches and cathedrals were Catholic churches, no one would have thought of calling the Catholic religion a mission. It was true that Catholic priests were sent to England, but they were sent with authority by the right person, and of course in that way they were missionaries. When St. Augustine and his companions came to England, they, too, came on a mission, and for a long time the ENGLAND WAS A MISSIONARY COUNTRY. Essentially that mission work had been going on with varying success ever since the time when it was necessary that missionaries should come to the country, and so he did not think they could call that mission a new one. From that time when Queen Elizabeth came to the throne and respect the ancient religion of England there were missionaries there and there. Then the Archbishop called it

THE LINCOLN JUDGMENT. AN INCENTIVE TO CONVERSIONS. London University, Jan. 17. Preaching at the afternoon service in the Church of the Immaculate Conception, Farm street, on last Sunday, the Rev. Father Morris, J., dealt with the judgment which had lately been given by the Archbishop of Canterbury in the Lincoln case. He said he fulfilled the request which had been made to him to deliver an address on that case with some little fear and trembling. He would not say an uncharitable nor an unnecessarily HARD WORD RESPECTING ANY ONE who was outside the pale of the Catholic Church. Therefore, if here and there he expressed himself a little too plainly in the course of the lectures which he intended giving upon the subject as to what he could not help thinking with regard to the judgment, he begged their kindly interpretation. He would deal, first of all, with that portion of the judgment which seemed to be favorable to the Ritualistic party in the Church of England. In the second place, he might take it in the opposite order, and look at it as being unfavorable to them, and see how it affected those who were of the opposite way of thinking; and, thirdly, he would deal with the authority which it was supposed to contain. Before, however, he took up the first of these subjects, he could not help referring to a letter which was addressed by

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY to the clergy of his diocese, and to which he should certainly make no allusion were it not that it made a direct allusion to Catholics. In the course of that letter the Archbishop thought well to say that he had no quarrel with the prelates of the Church of England. He did two things respecting Catholics—he made a statement concerning them, and he called them a name. That statement was that, while there was a multiplication of buildings and institutions among Catholics, there was no multiplication of souls; and he said that the Catholic Church of England was not making progress, and that he went by what he (the preacher) could not help considering a difficulty way to invent a title, he applied to them the title of "The Italian Mission." Of these things he should like to say something, and first of all about His Grace's statistics. The Archbishop said that there was a multiplication of land, and buildings, and institutions among Catholics, but there was no multiplication of souls; and the comment he (the preacher) felt obliged to make upon that statement was that the Archbishop had made an assertion which it was impossible for him to prove. He did not see how he could know anything of the kind. He was aware that amongst Catholics there were various parties, and he rather interested in statistics of that sort, and he pleaded guilty to being one of those persons himself. He might try and ascertain the facts, and he should not find himself justified in being able to lay down anything like the positive assertion which the Archbishop had made. He did not know where the Archbishop could have got his figures, and he supposed that when talking of statistics they were dealing with figures he could say when QUEEN VICTORIA CAME TO THE THRONE there were 630 priests in the whole country, and now there were over 8500. Whether the increase was proportionate to the whole increase of the population in England was more than he could say. Still, if they, instead of looking at the reign of Victoria, looked at the time in which their present Cardinal Archbishop had reigned over the diocese, he found that while in that diocese there were twenty-five years ago 210 priests, there were 357 priests in the present year's directory. He would call that statistical progress, and he supposed that the reason he was thankful for the fact that there were twenty-five years ago there were only 1100 children in the schools there were 2200 at the present time. It was true that they had had some difficulty in ascertaining whether the Catholic population of the country had much increased, and it would appear from the progress made among the totals were not much larger. He did not wish to be tied down to figures in an exact manner, because he was not speaking from full information. There was this to be said, however, that the immense increase of Irish had affected the statistics of Catholics in the country. It might be that while their numbers had increased, and that they were taken away at another time, there was no such idea as that numbers of CATHOLICS HAD BECOME PROTESTANTS. If such a statement were ever so true it would be a thing to lament, for it would mean that there would be found amongst the Catholic poor those whose circumstances were such that they had learnt to neglect their religious duties and had more or less thrown off the obligations which the Church to which they belonged entailed. It would not mean in the least that Protestants were making any accession in the shape of gains from Catholics, but that he thought would be a most absurd hypothesis. He would pass on from that to the title which pleased the Archbishop to apply when he spoke of the Catholic religion, as it appeared in England, being a new Italian Mission. To one of those words he (the preacher) had not a shadow of objection. Catholic priests were in a missionary country, but it did not speak well for England that they should have to say so. In those days when all the parishes in England were Catholic, and all the churches and cathedrals were Catholic churches, no one would have thought of calling the Catholic religion a mission. It was true that Catholic priests were sent to England, but they were sent with authority by the right person, and of course in that way they were missionaries. When St. Augustine and his companions came to England, they, too, came on a mission, and for a long time the ENGLAND WAS A MISSIONARY COUNTRY. Essentially that mission work had been going on with varying success ever since the time when it was necessary that missionaries should come to the country, and so he did not think they could call that mission a new one. From that time when Queen Elizabeth came to the throne and respect the ancient religion of England there were missionaries there and there. Then the Archbishop called it

THE LINCOLN JUDGMENT. AN INCENTIVE TO CONVERSIONS. London University, Jan. 17. Preaching at the afternoon service in the Church of the Immaculate Conception, Farm street, on last Sunday, the Rev. Father Morris, J., dealt with the judgment which had lately been given by the Archbishop of Canterbury in the Lincoln case. He said he fulfilled the request which had been made to him to deliver an address on that case with some little fear and trembling. He would not say an uncharitable nor an unnecessarily HARD WORD RESPECTING ANY ONE who was outside the pale of the Catholic Church. Therefore, if here and there he expressed himself a little too plainly in the course of the lectures which he intended giving upon the subject as to what he could not help thinking with regard to the judgment, he begged their kindly interpretation. He would deal, first of all, with that portion of the judgment which seemed to be favorable to the Ritualistic party in the Church of England. In the second place, he might take it in the opposite order, and look at it as being unfavorable to them, and see how it affected those who were of the opposite way of thinking; and, thirdly, he would deal with the authority which it was supposed to contain. Before, however, he took up the first of these subjects, he could not help referring to a letter which was addressed by

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY to the clergy of his diocese, and to which he should certainly make no allusion were it not that it made a direct allusion to Catholics. In the course of that letter the Archbishop thought well to say that he had no quarrel with the prelates of the Church of England. He did two things respecting Catholics—he made a statement concerning them, and he called them a name. That statement was that, while there was a multiplication of buildings and institutions among Catholics, there was no multiplication of souls; and he said that the Catholic Church of England was not making progress, and that he went by what he (the preacher) could not help considering a difficulty way to invent a title, he applied to them the title of "The Italian Mission." Of these things he should like to say something, and first of all about His Grace's statistics. The Archbishop said that there was a multiplication of land, and buildings, and institutions among Catholics, but there was no multiplication of souls; and the comment he (the preacher) felt obliged to make upon that statement was that the Archbishop had made an assertion which it was impossible for him to prove. He did not see how he could know anything of the kind. He was aware that amongst Catholics there were various parties, and he rather interested in statistics of that sort, and he pleaded guilty to being one of those persons himself. He might try and ascertain the facts, and he should not find himself justified in being able to lay down anything like the positive assertion which the Archbishop had made. He did not know where the Archbishop could have got his figures, and he supposed that when talking of statistics they were dealing with figures he could say when QUEEN VICTORIA CAME TO THE THRONE there were 630 priests in the whole country, and now there were over 8500. Whether the increase was proportionate to the whole increase of the population in England was more than he could say. Still, if they, instead of looking at the reign of Victoria, looked at the time in which their present Cardinal Archbishop had reigned over the diocese, he found that while in that diocese there were twenty-five years ago 210 priests, there were 357 priests in the present year's directory. He would call that statistical progress, and he supposed that the reason he was thankful for the fact that there were twenty-five years ago there were only 1100 children in the schools there were 2200 at the present time. It was true that they had had some difficulty in ascertaining whether the Catholic population of the country had much increased, and it would appear from the progress made among the totals were not much larger. He did not wish to be tied down to figures in an exact manner, because he was not speaking from full information. There was this to be said, however, that the immense increase of Irish had affected the statistics of Catholics in the country. It might be that while their numbers had increased, and that they were taken away at another time, there was no such idea as that numbers of CATHOLICS HAD BECOME PROTESTANTS. If such a statement were ever so true it would be a thing to lament, for it would mean that there would be found amongst the Catholic poor those whose circumstances were such that they had learnt to neglect their religious duties and had more or less thrown off the obligations which the Church to which they belonged entailed. It would not mean in the least that Protestants were making any accession in the shape of gains from Catholics, but that he thought would be a most absurd hypothesis. He would pass on from that to the title which pleased the Archbishop to apply when he spoke of the Catholic religion, as it appeared in England, being a new Italian Mission. To one of those words he (the preacher) had not a shadow of objection. Catholic priests were in a missionary country, but it did not speak well for England that they should have to say so. In those days when all the parishes in England were Catholic, and all the churches and cathedrals were Catholic churches, no one would have thought of calling the Catholic religion a mission. It was true that Catholic priests were sent to England, but they were sent with authority by the right person, and of course in that way they were missionaries. When St. Augustine and his companions came to England, they, too, came on a mission, and for a long time the ENGLAND WAS A MISSIONARY COUNTRY. Essentially that mission work had been going on with varying success ever since the time when it was necessary that missionaries should come to the country, and so he did not think they could call that mission a new one. From that time when Queen Elizabeth came to the throne and respect the ancient religion of England there were missionaries there and there. Then the Archbishop called it

THE LINCOLN JUDGMENT. AN INCENTIVE TO CONVERSIONS. London University, Jan. 17. Preaching at the afternoon service in the Church of the Immaculate Conception, Farm street, on last Sunday, the Rev. Father Morris, J., dealt with the judgment which had lately been given by the Archbishop of Canterbury in the Lincoln case. He said he fulfilled the request which had been made to him to deliver an address on that case with some little fear and trembling. He would not say an uncharitable nor an unnecessarily HARD WORD RESPECTING ANY ONE who was outside the pale of the Catholic Church. Therefore, if here and there he expressed himself a little too plainly in the course of the lectures which he intended giving upon the subject as to what he could not help thinking with regard to the judgment, he begged their kindly interpretation. He would deal, first of all, with that portion of the judgment which seemed to be favorable to the Ritualistic party in the Church of England. In the second place, he might take it in the opposite order, and look at it as being unfavorable to them, and see how it affected those who were of the opposite way of thinking; and, thirdly, he would deal with the authority which it was supposed to contain. Before, however, he took up the first of these subjects, he could not help referring to a letter which was addressed by

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY to the clergy of his diocese, and to which he should certainly make no allusion were it not that it made a direct allusion to Catholics. In the course of that letter the Archbishop thought well to say that he had no quarrel with the prelates of the Church of England. He did two things respecting Catholics—he made a statement concerning them, and he called them a name. That statement was that, while there was a multiplication of buildings and institutions among Catholics, there was no multiplication of souls; and he said that the Catholic Church of England was not making progress, and that he went by what he (the preacher) could not help considering a difficulty way to invent a title, he applied to them the title of "The Italian Mission." Of these things he should like to say something, and first of all about His Grace's statistics. The Archbishop said that there was a multiplication of land, and buildings, and institutions among Catholics, but there was no multiplication of souls; and the comment he (the preacher) felt obliged to make upon that statement was that the Archbishop had made an assertion which it was impossible for him to prove. He did not see how he could know anything of the kind. He was aware that amongst Catholics there were various parties, and he rather interested in statistics of that sort, and he pleaded guilty to being one of those persons himself. He might try and ascertain the facts, and he should not find himself justified in being able to lay down anything like the positive assertion which the Archbishop had made. He did not know where the Archbishop could have got his figures, and he supposed that when talking of statistics they were dealing with figures he could say when QUEEN VICTORIA CAME TO THE THRONE there were 630 priests in the whole country, and now there were over 8500. Whether the increase was proportionate to the whole increase of the population in England was more than he could say. Still, if they, instead of looking at the reign of Victoria, looked at the time in which their present Cardinal Archbishop had reigned over the diocese, he found that while in that diocese there were twenty-five years ago 210 priests, there were 357 priests in the present year's directory. He would call that statistical progress, and he supposed that the reason he was thankful for the fact that there were twenty-five years ago there were only 1100 children in the schools there were 2200 at the present time. It was true that they had had some difficulty in ascertaining whether the Catholic population of the country had much increased, and it would appear from the progress made among the totals were not much larger. He did not wish to be tied down to figures in an exact manner, because he was not speaking from full information. There was this to be said, however, that the immense increase of Irish had affected the statistics of Catholics in the country. It might be that while their numbers had increased, and that they were taken away at another time, there was no such idea as that numbers of CATHOLICS HAD BECOME PROTESTANTS. If such a statement were ever so true it would be a thing to lament, for it would mean that there would be found amongst the Catholic poor those whose circumstances were such that they had learnt to neglect their religious duties and had more or less thrown off the obligations which the Church to which they belonged entailed. It would not mean in the least that Protestants were making any accession in the shape of gains from Catholics, but that he thought would be a most absurd hypothesis. He would pass on from that to the title which pleased the Archbishop to apply when he spoke of the Catholic religion, as it appeared in England, being a new Italian Mission. To one of those words he (the preacher) had not a shadow of objection. Catholic priests were in a missionary country, but it did not speak well for England that they should have to say so. In those days when all the parishes in England were Catholic, and all the churches and cathedrals were Catholic churches, no one would have thought of calling the Catholic religion a mission. It was true that Catholic priests were sent to England, but they were sent with authority by the right person, and of course in that way they were missionaries. When St. Augustine and his companions came to England, they, too, came on a mission, and for a long time the ENGLAND WAS A MISSIONARY COUNTRY. Essentially that mission work had been going on with varying success ever since the time when it was necessary that missionaries should come to the country, and so he did not think they could call that mission a new one. From that time when Queen Elizabeth came to the throne and respect the ancient religion of England there were missionaries there and there. Then the Archbishop called it

THE LINCOLN JUDGMENT. AN INCENTIVE TO CONVERSIONS. London University, Jan. 17. Preaching at the afternoon service in the Church of the Immaculate Conception, Farm street, on last Sunday, the Rev. Father Morris, J., dealt with the judgment which had lately been given by the Archbishop of Canterbury in the Lincoln case. He said he fulfilled the request which had been made to him to deliver an address on that case with some little fear and trembling. He would not say an uncharitable nor an unnecessarily HARD WORD RESPECTING ANY ONE who was outside the pale of the Catholic Church. Therefore, if here and there he expressed himself a little too plainly in the course of the lectures which he intended giving upon the subject as to what he could not help thinking with regard to the judgment, he begged their kindly interpretation. He would deal, first of all, with that portion of the judgment which seemed to be favorable to the Ritualistic party in the Church of England. In the second place, he might take it in the opposite order, and look at it as being unfavorable to them, and see how it affected those who were of the opposite way of thinking; and, thirdly, he would deal with the authority which it was supposed to contain. Before, however, he took up the first of these subjects, he could not help referring to a letter which was addressed by

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY to the clergy of his diocese, and to which he should certainly make no allusion were it not that it made a direct allusion to Catholics. In the course of that letter the Archbishop thought well to say that he had no quarrel with the prelates of the Church of England. He did two things respecting Catholics—he made a statement concerning them, and he called them a name. That statement was that, while there was a multiplication of buildings and institutions among Catholics, there was no multiplication of souls; and he said that the Catholic Church of England was not making progress, and that he went by what he (the preacher) could not help considering a difficulty way to invent a title, he applied to them the title of "The Italian Mission." Of these things he should like to say something, and first of all about His Grace's statistics. The Archbishop said that there was a multiplication of land, and buildings, and institutions among Catholics, but there was no multiplication of souls; and the comment he (the preacher) felt obliged to make upon that statement was that the Archbishop had made an assertion which it was impossible for him to prove. He did not see how he could know anything of the kind. He was aware that amongst Catholics there were various parties, and he rather interested in statistics of that sort, and he pleaded guilty to being one of those persons himself. He might try and ascertain the facts, and he should not find himself justified in being able to lay down anything like the positive assertion which the Archbishop had made. He did not know where the Archbishop could have got his figures, and he supposed that when talking of statistics they were dealing with figures he could say when QUEEN VICTORIA CAME TO THE THRONE there were 630 priests in the whole country, and now there were over 8500. Whether the increase was proportionate to the whole increase of the population in England was more than he could say. Still, if they, instead of looking at the reign of Victoria, looked at the time in which their present Cardinal Archbishop had reigned over the diocese, he found that while in that diocese there were twenty-five years ago 210 priests, there were 357 priests in the present year's directory. He would call that statistical progress, and he supposed that the reason he was thankful for the fact that there were twenty-five years ago there were only 1100 children in the schools there were 2200 at the present time. It was true that they had had some difficulty in ascertaining whether the Catholic population of the country had much increased, and it would appear from the progress made among the totals were not much larger. He did not wish to be tied down to figures in an exact manner, because he was not speaking from full information. There was this to be said, however, that the immense increase of Irish had affected the statistics of Catholics in the country. It might be that while their numbers had increased, and that they were taken away at another time, there was no such idea as that numbers of CATHOLICS HAD BECOME PROTESTANTS. If such a statement were ever so true it would be a thing to lament, for it would mean that there would be found amongst the Catholic poor those whose circumstances were such that they had learnt to neglect their religious duties and had more or less thrown off the obligations which the Church to which they belonged entailed. It would not mean in the least that Protestants were making any accession in the shape of gains from Catholics, but that he thought would be a most absurd hypothesis. He would pass on from that to the title which pleased the Archbishop to apply when he spoke of the Catholic religion, as it appeared in England, being a new Italian Mission. To one of those words he (the preacher) had not a shadow of objection. Catholic priests were in a missionary country, but it did not speak well for England that they should have to say so. In those days when all the parishes in England were Catholic, and all the churches and cathedrals were Catholic churches, no one would have thought of calling the Catholic religion a mission. It was true that Catholic priests were sent to England, but they were sent with authority by the right person, and of course in that way they were missionaries. When St. Augustine and his companions came to England, they, too, came on a mission, and for a long time the ENGLAND WAS A MISSIONARY COUNTRY. Essentially that mission work had been going on with varying success ever since the time when it was necessary that missionaries should come to the country, and so he did not think they could call that mission a new one. From that time when Queen Elizabeth came to the throne and respect the ancient religion of England there were missionaries there and there. Then the Archbishop called it

THE LINCOLN JUDGMENT. AN INCENTIVE TO CONVERSIONS. London University, Jan. 17. Preaching at the afternoon service in the Church of the Immaculate Conception, Farm street, on last Sunday, the Rev. Father Morris, J., dealt with the judgment which had lately been given by the Archbishop of Canterbury in the Lincoln case. He said he fulfilled the request which had been made to him to deliver an address on that case with some little fear and trembling. He would not say an uncharitable nor an unnecessarily HARD WORD RESPECTING ANY ONE who was outside the pale of the Catholic Church. Therefore, if here and there he expressed himself a little too plainly in the course of the lectures which he intended giving upon the subject as to what he could not help thinking with regard to the judgment, he begged their kindly interpretation. He would deal, first of all, with that portion of the judgment which seemed to be favorable to the Ritualistic party in the Church of England. In the second place, he might take it in the opposite order, and look at it as being unfavorable to them, and see how it affected those who were of the opposite way of thinking; and, thirdly, he would deal with the authority which it was supposed to contain. Before, however, he took up the first of these subjects, he could not help referring to a letter which was addressed by

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY to the clergy of his diocese, and to which he should certainly make no allusion were it not that it made a direct allusion to Catholics. In the course of that letter the Archbishop thought well to say that he had no quarrel with the prelates of the Church of England. He did two things respecting Catholics—he made a statement concerning them, and he called them a name. That statement was that, while there was a multiplication of buildings and institutions among Catholics, there was no multiplication of souls; and he said that the Catholic Church of England was not making progress, and that he went by what he (the preacher) could not help considering a difficulty way to invent a title, he applied to them the title of "The Italian Mission." Of these things he should like to say something, and first of all about His Grace's statistics. The Archbishop said that there was a multiplication of land, and buildings, and institutions among Catholics, but there was no multiplication of souls; and the comment he (the preacher) felt obliged to make upon that statement was that the Archbishop had made an assertion which it was impossible for him to prove. He did not see how he could know anything of the kind. He was aware that amongst Catholics there were various parties, and he rather interested in statistics of that sort, and he pleaded guilty to being one of those persons himself. He might try and ascertain the facts, and he should not find himself justified in being able to lay down anything like the positive assertion which the Archbishop had made. He did not know where the Archbishop could have got his figures, and he supposed that when talking of statistics they were dealing with figures he could say when QUEEN VICTORIA CAME TO THE THRONE there were 630 priests in the whole country, and now there were over 8500. Whether the increase was proportionate to the whole increase of the population in England was more than he could say. Still, if they, instead of looking at the reign of Victoria, looked at the time in which their present Cardinal Archbishop had reigned over the diocese, he found that while in that diocese there were twenty-five years ago 210 priests, there were 357 priests in the present year's directory. He would call that statistical progress, and he supposed that the reason he was thankful for the fact that there were twenty-five years ago there were only 1100 children in the schools there were 2200 at the present time. It was true that they had had some difficulty in ascertaining whether the Catholic population of the country had much increased, and it would appear from the progress made among the totals were not much larger. He did not wish to be tied down to figures in an exact manner, because he was not speaking from full information. There was this to be said, however, that the immense increase of Irish had affected the statistics of Catholics in the country. It might be that while their numbers had increased, and that they were taken away at another time, there was no such idea as that numbers of CATHOLICS HAD BECOME PROTESTANTS. If such a statement were ever so true it would be a thing to lament, for it would mean that there would be found amongst the Catholic poor those whose circumstances were such that they had learnt to neglect their religious duties and had more or less thrown off the obligations which the Church to which they belonged entailed. It would not mean in the least that Protestants were making any accession in the shape of gains from Catholics, but that he thought would be a most absurd hypothesis. He would pass on from that to the title which pleased the Archbishop to apply when he spoke of the Catholic religion, as it appeared in England, being a new Italian Mission. To one of those words he (the preacher) had not a shadow of objection. Catholic priests were in a missionary country, but it did not speak well for England that they should have to say so. In those days when all the parishes in England were Catholic, and all the churches and cathedrals were Catholic churches, no one would have thought of calling the Catholic religion a mission. It was true that Catholic priests were sent to England, but they were sent with authority by the right person, and of course in that way they were missionaries. When St. Augustine and his companions came to England, they, too, came on a mission, and for a long time the ENGLAND WAS A MISSIONARY COUNTRY. Essentially that mission work had been going on with varying success ever since the time when it was necessary that missionaries should come to the country, and so he did not think they could call that mission a new one. From that time when Queen Elizabeth came to the throne and respect the ancient religion of England there were missionaries there and there. Then the Archbishop called it

THE LINCOLN JUDGMENT. AN INCENTIVE TO CONVERSIONS. London University, Jan. 17. Preaching at the afternoon service in the Church of the Immaculate Conception, Farm street, on last Sunday, the Rev. Father Morris, J., dealt with the judgment which had lately been given by the Archbishop of Canterbury in the Lincoln case. He said he fulfilled the request which had been made to him to deliver an address on that case with some little fear and trembling. He would not say an uncharitable nor an unnecessarily HARD WORD RESPECTING ANY ONE who was outside the pale of the Catholic Church. Therefore, if here and there he expressed himself a little too plainly in the course of the lectures which he intended giving upon the subject as to what he could not help thinking with regard to the judgment, he begged their kindly interpretation. He would deal, first of all, with that portion of the judgment which seemed to be favorable to the Ritualistic party in the Church of England. In the second place, he might take it in the opposite order, and look at it as being unfavorable to them, and see how it affected those who were of the opposite way of thinking; and, thirdly, he would deal with the authority which it was supposed to contain. Before, however, he took up the first of these subjects, he could not help referring to a letter which was addressed by

THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY to the clergy of his diocese, and to which he should certainly make no allusion were it not that it made a direct allusion to Catholics. In the course of that letter the Archbishop thought well to say that he had no quarrel with the prelates of the Church of England. He did two things respecting Catholics—he made a statement concerning them, and he called them a name. That statement was that, while there was a multiplication of buildings and institutions among Catholics, there was no multiplication of souls; and he said that the Catholic Church of England was not making progress, and that he went by what he (the preacher) could not help considering a difficulty way to invent a title, he applied to them the title of "The Italian Mission." Of these things he should like to say something, and first of all about His Grace's statistics. The Archbishop said that there was a multiplication of land, and buildings, and institutions among Catholics, but there was no multiplication of souls; and the comment he (the preacher) felt obliged to make upon that statement was that the Archbishop had made an assertion which it was impossible for him to prove. He did not see how he could know anything of the kind. He was aware that amongst Catholics there were various parties, and he rather interested in statistics of that sort, and he pleaded guilty to being one of those persons himself. He might try and ascertain the facts, and he should not find himself justified in being able to lay down anything like the positive assertion which the Archbishop had made. He did not know where the Archbishop could have got his figures, and he supposed that when talking of statistics they were dealing with figures he could say when QUEEN VICTORIA CAME TO THE THRONE there were 630 priests in the whole country, and now there were over 8500. Whether the increase was proportionate to the whole increase of the population in England was more than he could say. Still, if they, instead of looking at the reign of Victoria, looked at the time in which their present Cardinal Archbishop had reigned over the diocese, he found that while in that diocese there were twenty-five years ago 210 priests, there were 357 priests in the present year's directory. He would call that statistical progress, and he supposed that the reason he was thankful for the fact that there were twenty-five years ago there were only 1100 children in the schools there were 2200 at the present time. It was true that they had had some difficulty in ascertaining whether the Catholic population of the country had much increased, and it would appear from the progress made among the totals were not much larger. He did not wish to be tied down to figures in an exact manner, because he was not speaking from full information. There was this to be said, however, that the immense increase of Irish had affected the statistics of Catholics in the country. It might be that while their numbers had increased, and that they were taken away at another time, there was no such idea as that numbers of CATHOLICS HAD BECOME PROTESTANTS. If such a statement were ever so true it would be a thing to lament, for it would mean that there would be found amongst the Catholic poor those whose circumstances were such that they had learnt to neglect their religious duties and had more or less thrown off the obligations which the Church to which they belonged entailed. It would not mean in the least that Protestants were making any accession in the shape of gains from Catholics, but that he thought would be a most absurd hypothesis. He would pass on from that to the title which pleased the Archbishop to apply when he spoke of the Catholic religion, as it appeared in England, being a new Italian Mission. To one of those words he (the preacher) had not a shadow of objection. Catholic priests were in a missionary country, but it did not speak well for England that they should have to say so. In those days when all the parishes in England were Catholic, and all the churches and cathedrals were Catholic churches, no one would have thought of calling the Catholic religion a mission. It was true that Catholic priests were sent to England, but they were sent with authority by the right person, and of course in that way they were missionaries. When St. Augustine and his companions came to England, they, too, came on a mission, and for a long time the ENGLAND WAS A MISSIONARY COUNTRY. Essentially that mission work had been going on with varying success ever since the time when it was necessary that missionaries should come to the country, and so he did not think they could call that mission a new one. From that time when Queen Elizabeth came to the throne and respect the ancient religion of England there were missionaries there and there. Then the Archbishop called it

THE LINCOLN JUDGMENT. AN INCENTIVE TO CONVERSIONS. London University, Jan. 17. Preaching at the afternoon service in the Church of the Immaculate Conception