

abetted by busy-bodies and scandal-mongers; should be careful to inform themselves with a reasonable amount of intelligence and accuracy of information—when authoritative and responsible sources are open to them—before they assume to be censors in the assumed public interest of persons and of institutions known to have acted in the public interest and for public morals long before these censors had made their advent upon our community. So little used to integrity and honor themselves, some of these people would appear to have been unable to give credit for integrity and honor to those who in this case possessed both. But we forbear, though we have good title of their own giving to administer to them rebuke and castigation in the strongest language we could command.

MONTREAL, June 19th, 1876.

Mr. T. M. Taylor begs of Mr. Waite to be good enough to accept a copy of the Female Home Report which is sent to Mr. White individually rather than to the Editor of the *Gazette*, seeing the *Gazette* saw fit in its article of April 28th to take up the side of Injustice towards the Home.

This was unlike the spirit of the *Gazette* of former days towards the same Institution.

Mr. Taylor does not invite any favorable public notice of the Institution now, even if such should be felt to be due, for if asking anything of the *Gazette* it would be to show "that the charges of mismanagement of the Home were so true that they would not bear the light." Indeed any paper is welcome and invited to show cause, if it can truthfully, why the Home should be molested, and why its claim to being a well managed Institution should be denied.

In saying this Mr. Taylor is disposed to be defiant, as every honest man may well be, but he has not any wish to be rude.

THOMAS WHITE, Jun., Esquire.

The above quotation from the article referred to, (the article itself a quiet assumption and endorsement of falsehood) was a gratuitous and impertinent untruth, in unpardonable ignorance of the facts—a spurt of unfairness shot out from a paper, which, with usually considerable affectation of fairness, was in this case sadly wanting both in its spirit and practice. We all the more notice this stray shot at the Home, on account of the acknowledged traditional respectability of