

The Toronto World

FOUNDED 1854.
A Morning Newspaper Published Every Day in the Year.

WORLD BUILDING, TORONTO.
Corner James and Richmond Streets.

TELEPHONE CALLS:
Main 5308—Private Exchange Connecting all Departments.

Readers of The World will confer a favor upon the publishers if they will send information to this office of any news stand or railway train where The World is not offered.

MAIN 5308
Is The World's New Telephone Number.

FRIDAY MORNING, DEC. 9, 1910.

MAYOR AND MOB

Mayor Geary is certainly being cruelly treated. Some ill-natured people have already suggested that he made an incendiary speech at the Massey Music Hall on Wednesday evening which led to the subsequent riot and destruction of street car property. All that Mayor Geary said was this:

"If the people were not so docile there would have been breaches of the peace before this. In their exasperation the people may be guilty of breaches of the peace, which I would rather see than permit. I want to know whether the people are prepared to lie down and stand for this thing indefinitely."

It is with righteous indignation that Mayor Geary may demand what incentive to riot there is in such words. When he said he wanted to know whether the people would lie down or stand, how could a young man like the mayor, inexperienced with the temper of the mob, be expected to anticipate that the answer would be given in broken heads and fractured windows? He distinctly said he would rather not see such breaches of the peace. Thoughtless persons, or perhaps some malicious people with intent to embarrass him, proceeded to let him see what he said he would rather not see.

Mayor Geary will receive much sympathy in this attempt to hang a riot on his expression of surprise that there had not been breaches of peace before. Nor could anyone imagine that calling the people docile would stir them up to such acts of violence as followed the expression of his desire to know whether they would lie down or stand.

TOLSTOI AND RIGHTOUSNESS.

A good deal of interest ought to be taken in the memorial meeting to be held on Sunday afternoon in commemoration of Tolstoi. For twenty-five years past the great Russian has been one of the most impressive spiritual forces of the world. It does not matter in the least whether we agree with all his views or not, his effort lay with the struggle that makes for righteousness. Righteousness, in more familiar language, and in more accurate language for modern ears, is merely justice. Justice to the lowly and the lowly as well as to the high and mighty is the essence of his philosophy, whatever ramifications his doctrines may extend to. A man's experience and environment counts for much in his philosophy, and if Tolstoi was more radical than the average Canadian came to be, it must not be forgotten that he lived in a land in which the average Canadian would not care to live.

PUBLIC SERVICE PROBLEM.

Public service companies provide the immediate problem before Canada and the United States. The conflict between private and public interest which is never absent, tho it may be latent, always sooner or later results in an outbreak which invites popular attention. But the point on which the public eye should be focused is not quite that which the public service company desires to make. Its idea is that the more citizens make the immediate service, the more willing they will become to extend the franchise on promise of relief. This lure will not captivate Toronto. The city has already had experiences which have amply demonstrated that no company dominated by the notion of private advantage can ever pass beyond the motive of company profit.

Communal ownership and operation of public services and utilities has made rapid advances in popular favor in recent years. Incontrovertible as the principle is, judged by public standards, it would not have been welcomed with such general acclaim had it not been for the policy pursued by the local public service companies. The point need not be enlarged since it is patent to every citizen. There is only one way to obtain the quality of service which a modern city needs, and that is thru public ownership. Toronto suffers in its transportation simply because the service is starved that profits may be increased. Remove private interest and the problem solves itself.

HOW TO BUY THE STREET RAILWAY.

Mr. Maclean's suggestion that the quick way for the city to get the street railway is to buy the controlling shares. But because it is effective it may be tried. It is up to the mayor and the board of control to look into it and to at least consult a firm of brokers.

The control of the Montreal Street Railway was got in a few days within the last three months.

Acquisition by arbitration is a long and expensive process.

Read Mr. Maclean's interview, reprinted this morning, from last night's News.

A LESSON FOR THE POLICE.

One thing is painfully evident: some, how or other the police department fell

down on its job Wednesday night.

Chief Grasset admits there was a lack of men; he also says that this lack of men was not because of the new hours of duty being now "successfully" tried out, in which opinion he differs from that of the man on the beat. However, the fact remains that a disorderly crowd was allowed to congregate at the city's busiest corner to hurl stones, smash car windows, and imperil the safety of the passengers for more than an hour, while other crowds, offshoots, repeated the outrages at other places. No one will dispute the courage or willingness of the police, but they seemed helpless because of lack of direction. Chief Grasset didn't show up; and his deputy and inspectors appeared to make no determined effort to clear the streets. Probably but one in a hundred in the crowd wanted to, or did, throw a stone, but the other 99 lotted around to see "the fun," and provided the necessary concealment for the rowdy bent on mischief. It was not a vicious crowd, and should have yielded to persistent pressure from the police, who, instead of keeping the crowd on the move, let it hang about and swarm back continually to the intersections. To see a stray constable on the sidewalks gently imploring "Now, pass along, gents," with the crash of glass constantly about him, was a joke, and taken as such. A few mounted policemen would have rendered valuable aid, but they were not available.

It is not the first time that the police department, as an organization, has shown incapacity in handling large disorderly crowds; it ought to be the last.

WESTERN HOSPITAL BYLAW.

The Western Hospital authorities, in respect of the civic bylaw for \$50,000 for the hospital fund, say: "The hospital asks this money from the people to be used for the poor of the city. There are very many, who when sick or injured can only be cared for properly in some institution. It is to provide some of the capital required for this accommodation that the grant is asked from the people. The amount is a very small one, and yet it will enable the management of the Western Hospital to supply fifty additional beds for city order and city rate patients. These are the ones that have first claims upon all the hospitals, as those who are in better circumstances can be treated at home in most instances. Should the constant effort of the Western Hospital to show that the confidence of the people has not been misplaced."

The Christmas Stamp

The class of patients that are to be helped by the general sale of the Christmas stamp was very nicely reflected in an address delivered by Dr. N. A. Powell, in June last, when an excursion party visited the Muskoka institutions. He said: "I might read to you my letter, received last week to week, at the hospital, the general tenor would be something like this: 'My husband is home; he is well and strong, and is working for me and our babies. I have no words to thank the Muskoka institution for what it has done for him and for us.' These are the best dividends anyone can have, and are the dividends that are being paid to those aiding in the work at Muskoka."

It is that there may be still larger accommodation for such patients that the Christmas stamp proposition is being pushed to-day. The more stamps sold, the more money to care for those in residence, and money to help increase the accommodation.

New Women's Canadian Club.

CALGARY, Dec. 8.—A Women's Canadian Club is being formed in Calgary to arouse interest in public questions among women of the city, and to prepare them for affiliation with the older Canadian clubs.

Editor Will Contest Riding.

COBALT, Dec. 8.—(Special.)—A. W. Roebuck, editor of the Cobalt Standard, is being contested for the Liberal nomination to-day as the party's candidate in this riding at the next election to the legislature.

Porch Climbers in Detroit.

DETROIT, Dec. 8.—Porch climbers last night entered the residence of Mrs. J. Elizabeth Buhl, widow of the late Theodore D. Buhl, 1610 Jefferson-ave., while the family were at dinner, and escaped with \$3,000 worth of jewelry and diamonds.

License Transferred.

The license commissioners yesterday approved the transfer of the shop license at 431 Yonge-st. from J. C. Moor to George R. Barlett. The consideration for the sale was \$22.00.



Old friends are best.

tried, tested, true, like—

OLD GOLD CIGARETTES

TORONTO SHOULD BUY OUT STREET RAILWAY CONTROL

(Civic Bonds in Payment for Shares)
Mr. W. F. Maclean Suggests This Method of Acquiring Franchise at Once—A Business Proposition and How It Could Be Carried Out.

W. F. Maclean's Suggestion

The city should get forthwith an order-in-council from the Ontario Government guaranteeing legislation at the coming session authorizing Toronto to buy shares in the Toronto Railway Company, authorizing also the issue of the city bonds, say up to ten millions, for that purpose; the bonds to be sold as needed, or better still given direct for shares on an agreed basis.

Then ask a stock broker of capital and capacity to go out and see what he can get for the controlling shares. The majority shareholders could either set the same figure or hold on and take their chances. They'd sell quick.

The city could get possession in this way in a fortnight after the order-in-council is passed.

When the News this morning in reference to the proposal he had made at Massey Hall last evening that the city should make over the street railway by a purchase of the shares, Mr. W. F. Maclean, M. P., said:

"For several years now I have suggested that the City of Toronto be given the right to buy shares in any public franchise within its bounds, and am currently endeavoring to raise money by the issue of city bonds for the purpose. Or to put it in a still more simple way, that the city be in a position to give the ownership of shares in these franchises as cash and would command a ready sale if the receiver cared to dispose of them."

Corporations Put on Gum Shoes.

How is it that others acquire a franchise of a company? In nearly every case by purchase of stock. The Canadian Pacific, the Grand Trunk, and Macleod & Co. have all done this. They have the right to do this by law. The Dominion Government is free to do anything of this kind and to the Ontario Government, but a municipality is absolutely prohibited. Banks buy out other banks under the present law and anyone of their when they wish to go after a property that is controlled by a corporation can buy it out of a hundred can have it by means of purchase shares. They don't appropriate—it's been furnished, motion dismissed. Costs in the cause.

AT OSGOOD HALL

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Dec. 8, 1910.
Judges' Chambers will be held on Friday, 9th inst., from 10 to 11 a.m.

Argument on motion in Clarkson v. Sander will be resumed at 10 a.m. in single court.

Peremptory list for divisional court for Friday, 9th inst., at 11 a.m.

1. Oster v. Reamsbottom.
2. Campbell v. Toronto Ry. Co.
3. Re Boyle and Milne.
4. May v. May.

Court of appeal sittings were concluded.

Master's Chambers.

Before Cartwright, K.C., Master.

Cameron v. Driscoll—H. M. Mowat, K.C., for defendant. H. Ferguson for plaintiff. Motion by defendant to transfer action from the county court of Renfrew, to the county court of Carlton.

Judgment: The whole dispute is as to the quality of the lumber in question. This is at Ottawa and can only be seen there. Another reason is that while defendant swears to six witnesses at residents at Ottawa, the plaintiff swears to six witnesses at residents at Ottawa. The order will be made, with costs in the cause.

Kennedy v. Kennedy—W. A. Baird for R. Kennedy. E. D. Armour, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff to set aside two vesting orders of July 3, 1908, and Oct. 1, 1908. Motion dismissed with costs.

General Electric v. Reynolds—S. W. Field for defendant; the Reynolds, Hubbard (Eyre & Co.) for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order for particulars of paragraph 4 of statement of claim. Particulars having now

been furnished, motion dismissed. Costs in the cause.

Wolter Co. v. Berlin Lion Brewery, Ltd. Rees for defendant. P. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order adding Louis S. Weber as a defendant. Motion dismissed with costs.

Miles v. McKinnon—C. Cameron for plaintiff. J. P. White for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order for particulars of plaintiff's affidavit in answer to his motion for security for costs. Reserve.

Brown v. City of Toronto—T. H. Wilson for plaintiff. H. Howitt for defendant. Motion by plaintiff for the examination of plaintiff's depositions, and also a motion by defendant for an order for a medical examination of plaintiff. The plaintiff's depositions by Dr. William Brown at this time and place as the may appoint, and order on plaintiff's motion for examination de bene esse. Costs in the cause.

Single Court.

Before Falconbridge, C.J.

Re Estate of John Graham—S. W. Field for executor—F. Denton, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for an order adding Helen Amelia Graham as a defendant under C.R. 938 for an order concerning will of John Graham.

Judgment: Taking the language of the will into consideration, I think it is certain that the testator intended that George H. Graham should take the interest provided for by paragraph five of the will. The property is spoken of as being held by Mary A. Graham in trust, which is inconsistent with any theory that she should take it absolutely. Mary A. Graham does not take an absolute interest. The executor may not therefore pay or hand over to Mary all the real and personal estate. Costs to all parties out of estate.

Before Riddell, J.

Re Estate of H. M. Rose—R. L. Davies for applicant. Motion by Helen Amelia Baines for the appointment of a trustee in place of the late Caroline Rose, deceased, to act with petitioner.

Judgment: Order may go appointing Helen Amelia Baines and Robert A. Mulholland trustees under the last will and codicils of Harry Milton Rose, and vesting the estate of the said Rose in them as such trustees. This order is made under the peculiar circumstances of the case, and is not to be drawn into a precedent.

Re Jacques Estate—W. F. Macleod, K.C., for executor. F. W. Harcourt, K.C., for infants. Motion for the Settled Estates Act for liberty to sell certain land. On application satisfying the official guardian that the price is a reasonable one, order to go approving sale. Purchase money to be paid into court and mortgage to be given to accountant. Costs out of fund.

Before Middleton, J.

Re Mathe—A motion under C.R. 938 for an order constraining the will of Henrietta Mathe. Argued at Ottawa weekly court.

Judgment: The Wills Act, sec. 26, applies and the will takes effect as the Sophie had died immediately after her mother. No order as to costs. A fee of \$10 for obtaining counsel's advice might properly be allowed.

expensive. They put on gum shoes and go out to buy the controlling shares.

"Before I apply my principle to the condition of affairs in Toronto, let me say that Toronto has now the right to buy shares in the Toronto Railway Company and could buy the controlling shares if she wished. Now what can Toronto do under the present circumstances? It should by legislation or by an order-in-council of the White-nay Government be free to go to a stock broker and say to him, 'What can you get for the shares of the Toronto Railway Company for me?'"

Rodolphe Forget might do it. "Say they are quoted to-day at 120, I believe that Rodolphe Forget of Montreal, who has the shares held, could deliver this control to Toronto at 140 a share, or perhaps less or perhaps a little more. He would either do the work on commission or he would do it as a speculator. So would a brokerage firm like Camarillo & Co. of this city, or O'Leary, Hammond and other like firms. Furthermore, other like firms in Montreal have bought some for five or seven points, and if they saw five or seven points in the proposition they would rush to add."

After what they see is the experience of the Electric Light people of London, and what is likely to be the experience of the Toronto Electric light shareholders, they would not see any more chances of the city expropriating or in some rather drastic manner recovering the franchise. They'd take the quick and certain profits.

"I do not for one moment believe that Mr. William Macleod and his associates in the management of the Toronto Railway would oppose a movement of this kind. They might further it. But of course, if the shareholders could all be brought together and told that by expropriation they would get a big price, perhaps \$2 per share, some day might occur, but as I said, shareholders are learners something else's days, and they are not going to take big chances fighting a municipality that is bent on recovering a franchise essential to the welfare of its citizens, and that has become a public scandal."

Ask for Order-in-Council.

In a word, let the mayor call the council together, let him at least go regard to the acquisition of these shares, then let him go to Mr. James Whitney and ask for an order-in-council guaranteeing the necessary legislation to allow the city to buy and pay for these shares and Toronto by law, and in a hundred days within two weeks! The minority shareholders would soon sell out at the same price.

"And when the gets possession of the railway she can provide at once for the clearance of the radicals, for the removal of the new portions of Toronto, and in a hundred days converting what is a public nuisance and an inferior service into a genuine public blessing."

been furnished, motion dismissed. Costs in the cause.

Wolter Co. v. Berlin Lion Brewery, Ltd. Rees for defendant. P. E. Hodgins, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order adding Louis S. Weber as a defendant. Motion dismissed with costs.

Miles v. McKinnon—C. Cameron for plaintiff. J. P. White for plaintiff. Motion by defendant for an order for particulars of plaintiff's affidavit in answer to his motion for security for costs. Reserve.

Brown v. City of Toronto—T. H. Wilson for plaintiff. H. Howitt for defendant. Motion by plaintiff for the examination of plaintiff's depositions, and also a motion by defendant for an order for a medical examination of plaintiff. The plaintiff's depositions by Dr. William Brown at this time and place as the may appoint, and order on plaintiff's motion for examination de bene esse. Costs in the cause.

Single Court.

Before Falconbridge, C.J.

Re Estate of John Graham—S. W. Field for executor—F. Denton, K.C., for plaintiff. Motion by plaintiff for an order adding Helen Amelia Graham as a defendant under C.R. 938 for an order concerning will of John Graham.

Judgment: Taking the language of the will into consideration, I think it is certain that the testator intended that George H. Graham should take the interest provided for by paragraph five of the will. The property is spoken of as being held by Mary A. Graham in trust, which is inconsistent with any theory that she should take it absolutely. Mary A. Graham does not take an absolute interest. The executor may not therefore pay or hand over to Mary all the real and personal estate. Costs to all parties out of estate.

Before Riddell, J.

Re Estate of H. M. Rose—R. L. Davies for applicant. Motion by Helen Amelia Baines for the appointment of a trustee in place of the late Caroline Rose, deceased, to act with petitioner.

Judgment: Order may go appointing Helen Amelia Baines and Robert A. Mulholland trustees under the last will and codicils of Harry Milton Rose, and vesting the estate of the said Rose in them as such trustees. This order is made under the peculiar circumstances of the case, and is not to be drawn into a precedent.

Re Jacques Estate—W. F. Macleod, K.C., for executor. F. W. Harcourt, K.C., for infants. Motion for the Settled Estates Act for liberty to sell certain land. On application satisfying the official guardian that the price is a reasonable one, order to go approving sale. Purchase money to be paid into court and mortgage to be given to accountant. Costs out of fund.

Before Middleton, J.

Re Mathe—A motion under C.R. 938 for an order constraining the will of Henrietta Mathe. Argued at Ottawa weekly court.

Judgment: The Wills Act, sec. 26, applies and the will takes effect as the Sophie had died immediately after her mother. No order as to costs. A fee of \$10 for obtaining counsel's advice might properly be allowed.

Open Your Account

Fit Out Here for Winter—Do It before Xmas



Boys' and Girls' Clothing
Large Stock to Select From

Women's Section

CARACUL COATS.
Special clearance of 75 Fine Caracul Coats, some plain others braided trimmed. Regular \$35, for... 25.00

WOMEN'S AND MISSES' LONG COATS.
Serges, diagonals, tweeds and broadcloths, in assorted shades. For... 15.00

LADIES' SUITS.
All the balances of this season's suits at big reductions.

CREDIT
Just \$1, \$2, \$3 Per Week

CUSTOM TAILORING on CREDIT Special Terms Arranged

Men's Section

250 COLLEGE OVERCOATS.
With "Change" collars, well made and lined. Special at... 10.50

500 OVERCOATS.
Of all materials and styles, some ulsters, others in Chesterfield, odd lines to clear at from... 7.50

500 WINTER SUITS.
All materials to select from, well tailored, to fit any size man. From... 7.50

FURS Select Your Set Now. Every Fur to Pick From

10% Off Bills
Paid in 30 Days
Queen West 318 Queen West Up to Xmas.

owed executors on passing their accounts.

Trial.

The Chancellor.

Gordon v. Moore Mountain, Limited.

R. McKay, K.C., for plaintiff. E. D. Armour, K.C., for defendant. Judgment: Plaintiffs are licensees with regard to and remove all red and white pine timber upon the locations set forth in the pleadings during the years 1909 and 1910. Defendants claim to be owners of the same area under different patents of the lands, and as mining lands, which are subject to the reservation to the crown of all the pine trees standing or being on such land as may be necessary for the purpose of building, fencing and fuel on the lands so patented, or for any other purpose essential to the working of the mines thereon, and may also cut and dispose of all trees required to be removed in actually clearing the land for cultivation. Judgment referring to the master at Sudbury to ascertain and state what damages the plaintiffs have sustained by the defendants cutting and removing timber from off the lands in the pleadings mentioned between two farms known as the plaintiffs' east and west farms, across the defendant's farm, which lies between the two farms. Judgment: The claim to an easement is now at an end and if it ever existed, the plaintiffs' claim based upon prescription, falls, and the other matters argued at the hearing need not be considered. Action dismissed with costs.

Before McCulloch, J.

McCulloch v. McCulloch—D. B. McCulloch, K.C., and C. H. Cline (Cornwall), and J. G. Harkness (Cornwall), for defendant. An action to establish a right of way either as a way of necessity or as acquired by prescription between two farms known as the plaintiffs' east and west farms, across the defendant's farm, which lies between the two farms. Judgment: The claim to an easement is now at an end and if it ever existed, the plaintiffs' claim based upon prescription, falls, and the other matters argued at the hearing need not be considered. Action dismissed with costs.

Before Mulock, C.J.; Clute, J.; Sutherland, J.

Scott v. Griffith—F. D. Kerr (Peterborough), for defendant. D. O'Connell for plaintiff. An appeal by defendant from a judgment of the county court of Peterborough of June 20, 1910. Argument of appeal resumed from yesterday and concluded. Appeal allowed in the sum of carrying the judgment by reducing the amount of damages to \$100, defendant to pay plaintiff's cost of action on the county court scale. No costs on appeal to either party.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of Peel, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Is the decree of the superior court of Marion County, Indiana, awarding the custody of the child in question to the mother of such validity and effect in Canada, as to render the father of the child liable under section 216 of the criminal code, of taking or enticing the possession of such child?" The question argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any sale, hypothec, privilege or encumbrance of or upon the said property, within the meaning of section 421 of the criminal code of Canada, and did the evidence, constitute any offence within the meaning of the said section?" The case was argued and judgment reserved.

Before Hamilton, T. J. W. O'Connor, K.C., and E. Bayly, K.C., for the crown. A case stated by the judge of the county court of York, on the question "Was there any evidence of any