modifications and changes of ecclesiastical administration, all who truly believe are constituted "ONE in Christ Jesus." The d...ne coment thus formed is undissolved, untouched by minor differences. kingdom of God is not meat and drink; but righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost; and he that IN THESE THINGS serveth Christ is ACCEPTABLE TO Gon," though he may not be approved of men entertaining unscriptural sentiments like yours. How gloriously does this view of the communion of saints, contrast with the theory of Christian unity which has such charms for you! a frigid, lifeless, exterior, organization. You may erect a different standard, and, not deigning to "consort in religious fellowship" with Methodists or Dissenters, from the haughty distance to which you retire to "avoid them," you may exclaim, " The temple of the Lord, the temple of the Lord, are WE!" assuming that the Church of England is the only Church of Christ within the British realms, you may brand as schismatics, and represent as left to uncovenanted mercy, all who are not within her pale; but every "babe in Christ" must perceive that by so doing you incur the fearful responsibility of condemning those whom God hath rereceived, and who are as "manifestly declared to be the epistle of Christ, written, not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God," as any within the pale of your own communion. How preposterous, then, are your exclusive and truly Papal pretensions! It would be well for you to reflect whether the indignant terms in which Dr. Campbell rebuked the temerity of the famous Dodwell, a man after your own heart are not as fully applicable to yourself :-

" Arrogant and vain man! what are you, who so boldly and avowedly presume to foist into God's covenant, articles of your own devising, neither expressed nor implied | admit of ludicrous associations, else one

of these principles it is that, amid all the || in his words? Do you venture, a worm of the earth? Can you think yourself warranted to stint what God hath not stinted, and, following the dictates of your own contracted spirit, enviously to limit the bounty of the Universal Parent, that you may confine to a party what Christ hath freely published for the benefit of all? Is your eye evil because he is good? Shall I then believe that God, like deceitful man, speaketh equivocally, and with mental reservations? Shall I take his declaration in the extent wherein he hath expressly given it; or as you, for your own purpose, have new vamped and corrected it? be true, and every man a liar! You would pervert the plainest declarations of the oracles of truth, and, instead of representing Christ as the author of a divine and spiritual religion, as the great benefactor of human kind, exhibit him as the head of a faction-your party."

mig

tion

Dis

are

The

as

inte

fait

sive

non

Chu

the

Hav

dee

the

sinn

the

you

spir

be n

have

then

Savi

they

ly b

have

polit

tion

not 1

exac

Chri

ratio

solil

one

Bapt

that

Esta

disse

rate

He v

him

were

tant

Fren

Chui

secti

Were I addressing one who, by direct avowal, arrogated the claim of infallibility, or who officially represented the anathematizing communion which openly affirms it as an incontrovertible axiom, that Extra ccclesiam salus non esse potest, out of her own pale there is no salvation, I should feel less surprise and indignation at the sweeping sentence of proscription which you pronounce indiscriminately upon all separatists and dissenters, and at the zeal with which you labour to convince Churchmen that however irreproachable may be their character or eminent their piety, they are "men whom Scripture bids them mark and avoid." By what principle of the Bible, pray, or of common sense, are you authorised thus to exact the implicit adoption of your dogmas, and unqualified acquiescence in your terms of Christian communion, as a sine qua non of our recognition as members of the Church of God? subject is of too lachrymal a character to