

courts within courts, *e.g.*, a Supreme Court having a "High Court Division" the title "the Appellate Division" is not so bad; and if some corresponding title could be devised for the other Division, it would be preferable to "High Court Division."

It seems to us that "First Division" would be preferable to "High Court Division," but as we have already intimated, we think there should be no Divisions at all in the Supreme Court.

Until that change is made all the ordinary proceedings in an action will be carried on "In the Supreme Court, High Court Division," but as soon as the litigants seek to appeal from an order or decision which, under the present practice, is appealable to the Court of Appeal or a Divisional Court, then the proceedings are to be "In the Supreme Court, Appellate Division."

Other appeals such as can be entertained under the present practice by a single judge will continue to be so heard in the High Court Division.

Then it may be noticed that the present Chancellor and Chief Justices are to retain their present titles, but after the Act takes effect when any vacancies in those offices arise they are to be abolished—and in the future, there is to be only a "Chief Justice of Ontario" and a "Chief Justice of the High Court." This latter title seems rather meaningless when there will be, in fact, no "High Court" of which he can be Chief Justice. However, there is no King's Bench and no Common Pleas now, though we have Chief Justices of both. We may notice that sec. 8, though it provides for abolishing the offices of "Chancellor of Ontario, Chief Justice of the King's Bench, Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, and Chief Justice of the Exchequer Division—does not appear to provide for the appointment of a corresponding number of puisne justices in their stead. We think this is a point needing the attention of the Legislature.

The Act provides that there are to be Divisional Courts of the Appellate Division. Sec. 12 (3) says a Divisional Court *shall*