

MR. HANSELL: I fancy the witness is giving us the best case that comes to his mind to illustrate the point. I rather feel, you see, that they were prosecuted for being members of an illegal organization and having literature in their homes. The point I am trying to establish is that it is not just -- I do not care about the Defence of Canada Regulations or the attitude of the government or the department -- it is not just to prosecute people for getting together and worshipping God as they choose. That is not just.

MR. ANDERSON: I think everyone agrees with that, speaking for the department.

MR. HANSELL: The point I fancy that the witness is trying to make is that is what happens.

MR. DUPUIS: No.

MR. HANSELL: I would like to know if that is what happens, and I might suggest that a case that would last five hours, where one witness is on the stand for five hours, would be a case much more significant than simply meeting to worship God.

MR. MAYBANK: I do not know.

WITNESS: I can --

BY MR. MAYBANK:

Q. What were you going to say? A. I think I can answer that question. When that case came up a book was found in the house and the point that the Crown wanted to make was this, that this woman was one of Jehovah's witnesses. This woman was on the stand for five hours and the examination was proceeded with in this way. We will suppose the book was "Salvation." The Crown attorney was very very thorough, he read a paragraph and then he would say to the witness, "Now, Mrs. Powley, do you believe this?" She would say, "Well, yes, I believe that." Then he would turn over the next page and say, "Do you believe this?" And by going through the