was subsequently examined by M. Deshayes and Mr. Gwynd is Jeffries, who concurred in this determination; and its microout scopic structure was described by the late Prof. Quekett, which London, as similar to that of modern land shells. The singhickne specimen obtained on this occasion was somewhat crushed an the she did not show the aperture. Hence the hesitation as to in Log nature, and the delay in naming it, though it was figure vision and described in the paper above cited in 1852. Better specifiells of mens showing the aperture were afterward obtained by the In the writer, and it was named and described by him in his "Ains alrest breathers of the Coal Period," in 1863. Prof. Owen, in higray co 'Paleontology,' subsequently proposed the generic name DenZonites dropupa. This I have hesitated to accept, as expressing a few v generic distinction not warranted by the facts; but shoulaceous the shell be considered to require a generic or sub-generic disare mos tinction, Owen's name should be adopted for it. There seems as fragr however, nothing to prevent it from being placed in one of the mud de modern sub-genera of simple-lipped Pupæ. With regard to thestream. form of its aperture, I may explain that some currency has occur it been given to an incorrect representation of it, through an un only g fortunate accident. In the case of delicate shells like this though imbedded in a hard matrix, it is of course difficult to work out would the aperture perfectly; and in my published figure in the "Air In the breathers," I had to restore somewhat the broken specimens a sandy This restoration, specimens subsequently or in a in my possession. Nevertheless it was Except found have shown to be very exact. criticised by some English conchologists, and when Sir Charles ries are Lyell was about to publish his Student's Manual, he asked me that m to give him one of my best specimens to be figured. This I they co sent with micro-phc ographs of others. It seems, however, ble the that the artist or engraver mistook the form of the aperture habit and gave it an entirely unnatural appearance in the Student's Manual. That now given is taken from a photograph of the most perfect and least compressd specimen in my possession.

As already stated, this shell seems closely allied to some modern Pupæ. Perhaps the modern species which approaches most nearly to it in form, markings and size, is Macrocheilus Gossei from the West Indies, specimens of which were sent to me some years ago by Mr. Bland, of New York, with the remark that they must be very near to my Carboniferous species. Such edentulous species as Pupa (Leucochila) fallax of Eastern America very closely resemble it; and it was regarded by the late Dr. Carpenter as probably a near ally of those species which are placed by some European conchologists in the genus

dence

ology

They

anima

I hav

unbre

mode

the s

been foun suffic

trun

bori

othe

with

Pupilla.

The lowest bed in which Pupa vetusta occurs belongs to group VIII of Division 4 of my section of the South Joggins,