

committees should be able to do the work of the executive committee.

- (5) More elaborate provision could appropriately be made for the thorough investigation of the qualifications and the capacity of a given department to take up work in the graduate school, or to extend its graduate work to a higher degree. When a department applies for permission to give graduate work - that is the time to be strict and most careful in the interests of the university; after the work is in procedure it should then be assumed that the department concerned, constitutes the best group in the university qualified to judge about its affairs; if it is not, then a serious mistake has been made at an early stage, either in allowing it to start graduate work, or in ^{the adjustment of} the time available by its personnel.

- (6) While it may be desirable that there should be some recognized channel for the general supervision of the policies adopted by the various departments in their graduate work, the ^{wording} ~~wording~~ of the suggested amendments read in Faculty on February 7th. implies that the executive committees would still have a greater burden of duties and greater power than appears necessary. While there is a distinct advance in the direction of what has been called ^w autonomy in graduate policies, the retaining of such phrases as "full power", "control", etc., still places the departments in the position of being very definitely subject to the committees.

I would respectfully suggest that such terms as "deal with", "advise the departments", would be more appropriate.

The faculty as a whole should certainly have the power of veto in /