

ness continued, and perhaps until his finances became normal after her death. This was the cause of her generosity towards her son and grandchildren. The answers of the jury were not consistent, and the conclusions, read together, were not logical. They were not, however, so clearly inconsistent or illogical that they could be ignored by the trial Judge. It could not be said that there was not evidence of a reasonable expectation of some slight benefit from the continuance of the life of Elizabeth Clark. The amount was for the jury. There should be judgment for the plaintiff in accordance with the findings; the infants' money to be paid into Court. T. R. Ferguson, K.C., for the plaintiffs. T. Herbert Lennox, K.C., for the defendants.